

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_123857
Title of the Manuscript:	GENITAL NODULAR SCABIES: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community due to its novel approach to genital nodular scabies, which addresses a crucial gap in the existing research. The study's methodology is robust and provides new insights that could influence future research directions and practical applications. Personally, I appreciate this manuscript for its thorough analysis, as it not only contributes valuable data but also stimulates further discussion and exploration in the field. However, I find that some aspects of the study could benefit from additional validation or clarification to strengthen its overall impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is appropriate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its adherence to rigorous methodological standards and detailed case documentation. The study employs well-established diagnostic criteria and treatment protocols, ensuring that findings are based on reliable and validated practices. The manuscript contributes valuable insights to the field and upholds the integrity of clinical research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dipanjan Chakraborty</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry, India</b>