

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_123390
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological and Clinical Profile of Work-Related Dermatoses in the Artisanal Fishing Ports of Conakry, Guinea : Cross-Sectional Study.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>1. Title and Abstract Title: The title clearly reflects the study's focus on the epidemiology and clinical profile of work-related dermatoses in artisanal fishing ports in Conakry. It is informative but could be more concise. Abstract: The abstract provides a clear overview of the study's purpose, methods, results, and conclusion. However, it could benefit from a more structured format, such as clearly defined sections (e.g., Objectives, Methods, Results, Conclusion) to enhance readability and coherence.</p> <p>2. Introduction The introduction could benefit from a more detailed discussion on the global and local health impact of occupational dermatoses in fishing communities. The literature review is adequate but could be enriched by citing more recent studies and expanding the discussion of occupational hazards.</p> <p>3. Methods Study Design: The use of a cross-sectional, descriptive study design is appropriate for the research objectives. However, the lack of microbiological examinations and epicutaneous tests, acknowledged in the discussion, limits the diagnostic precision of the dermatoses. Data Collection: The study employed a multidisciplinary medical observation, which is a strength. However, more details on the standardization of dermatological examinations and the specific criteria for diagnosing different types of dermatoses would add rigor to the methodology. Ethics: Ethical considerations are mentioned, but more details regarding informed consent, confidentiality, and any approvals from ethical boards would strengthen the ethical credibility of the study.</p> <p>4. Results Figures and Tables: The tables and figures enhance the presentation of data, though some figures could be more detailed or better labeled. Data Analysis: While the statistical methods (e.g., percentages, means) are appropriate, the lack of deeper statistical analysis (e.g., multivariate analysis) weakens the ability to identify potential confounding factors. For instance, analyzing associations between dermatoses and specific occupational roles, working conditions, or preventive measures would be insightful.</p> <p>FINAL RECOMMENDATION The study is valuable and provides important data on occupational health in artisanal fishing. However, it requires revisions, particularly in methodological objectivity, deeper statistical analysis, and a more action-oriented conclusion.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Muntazir Naqvi
Department, University & Country	Pakistan