

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_123664
Title of the Manuscript:	Sacco Based Financial Characteristics And Financial Performance Of Deposit Taking Savings And Credit Co-Operative Societies In Kenya
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Sacco-Based Financial Characteristics and Financial Performance of Deposit-Taking Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies in Kenya" is highly importance given the increasing global significance of savings and credit cooperatives".	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate and well-aligned with the content.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fairly comprehensive, though there is room for improvement to enhance its clarity and impact.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure and subsections are organized appropriately.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While the manuscript is scientifically sound and technically robust, there is ample room for suggestions and improvements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally adequate, but incorporating more current and relevant sources would strengthen the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Regarding the quality of English for scholarly communication, the language is adequate, but significant improvements are necessary to enhance clarity and readability.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gyanendra Prasad Paudel
Department, University & Country	National College, Tribhuvan University, Nepal