

SACCO BASED FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT TAKING SAVINGS AND CREDIT CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES IN KENYA

Abstract :

In Kenya, Deposit-Taking Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies (DT-SACCOs) have faced significant challenges that have adversely impacted their financial performance. While existing literature has linked financial performance to financial characteristics, there remain conceptual and contextual gaps in empirical research. These gaps prompted the current study to examine SACCO-based financial characteristics as a tool for enhancing the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya. The study employed a descriptive research design, targeting a population of 190 DT-SACCOs. Using purposive sampling, 181 SACCOs that had been operational since 2018 were selected for analysis. Secondary data from the period 2018 to 2023 were utilized, and a quantitative approach was applied to generate both descriptive and inferential statistics. Panel regression was used to estimate the direct relationship between SACCO-based financial characteristics and financial performance, while also evaluating how the Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority risk regulations moderated this relationship. The study concluded that capital adequacy had a statistically significant positive effect on financial performance, indicating that stronger capital buffers enhance profitability. In contrast, asset quality and financial investments showed statistically significant negative effects, suggesting that poor loan quality and mismanaged investments negatively impact financial outcomes. Liquidity, however, was positively associated with financial performance, underscoring the importance of maintaining adequate liquidity reserves. Moreover, the relationship between SACCO-based financial characteristics and financial performance was significantly moderated by SASRA risk regulations, highlighting the critical role of regulatory oversight in shaping financial outcomes. Based on these findings, the study recommends that DT-SACCOs in Kenya should: maintain optimal capital adequacy levels to safeguard financial stability; prioritize careful asset quality management and regular loan monitoring to develop sound lending policies; optimize financial investments to ensure sufficient funding for operational expenses; and maintain adequate liquidity levels to meet financial obligations and improve overall performance.

Keywords: Asset quality, Capital Adequacy, Financial Characteristics, Financial Performance, Financial Investments, Liquidity, SACCO

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies (SACCOs) play a crucial role in promoting socioeconomic development, particularly among impoverished populations (Denje & Olando, 2021). While banks serve 14.2% of the banked population in Kenya, SACCOs serve 13.1%, and microfinance institutions (MFIs) serve 1.7% (Alliance for Financial Inclusion [AFI], 2017). SACCOs contribute to poverty reduction and economic stimulation by providing their members with much-needed credit (Bolton, 2019). Among SACCOs, Deposit-Taking SACCOs (DT-SACCOs) are the most prominent financial intermediaries, striving to achieve sustainable growth through efficient resource management. To maintain a stable, robust, and resilient SACCO sector, it is essential to assess the financial health of DT-SACCOs in Kenya (Thisaranga & Ariyasena, 2021). Financial reporting and analysis transform raw data into actionable knowledge, aiding decision-makers in determining the future trajectory of these organizations (Maulana & Andrianingsih, 2020).

Extensive research has identified several financial attributes that significantly influence the performance of DT-SACCOs. These attributes serve as foundational elements for services such as currency exchange, payment processing, and risk management (Mutunga & Gatawa, 2021). Many studies utilize frameworks such as PEARLS (Protection, Effective Financial Structure, Asset Quality, Rates of Return and Costs, Liquidity, Signs of Growth) or CAEL (Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Earnings, Liquidity) to assess SACCOs' financial performance (SASRA, 2022; Esomar & Titioka, 2021; Simkhada, 2017).

SACCO-based financial characteristics are internal financial resources managed by SACCO leadership, and their effectiveness largely depends on the operational competence of managers (Okumu & Oyugi, 2016). While internal capacities have traditionally been emphasized, external regulatory measures, such as those introduced by the Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA), increasingly influence these financial characteristics. The CAEL framework, encompassing capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments, and liquidity, has been developed by SASRA to define the financial metrics unique to SACCOs (SASRA, 2022). Given that DT-SACCOs are regulated by SASRA, this study adopts CAEL as the basis for analyzing SACCO financial characteristics.

Capital adequacy is vital for protecting member deposits and creditors from financial losses (Ojili, 2023). To mitigate risks, SASRA mandates minimum capital ratios: a core capital ratio of 10% of total assets, a ratio of 8% of total deposit liabilities, and an institutional capital ratio of 8% of total assets (Chepkirui, Waweru, & Oboka, 2021). Additionally, each SACCO must maintain a minimum core capital of Kshs 10 million (Mwangi, 2020).

Asset quality assesses the health of a SACCO by evaluating the impact of non-productive and delinquent loans, as well as other non-income-generating assets (Silva, Santos, & Ranciaro, 2023). To minimize financing of idle assets, SASRA sets a maximum non-performing loans (NPL) ratio of 5% of gross loans (SASRA, 2022).

Financial investments refer to the funds generated after taxes within a given period, often measured by the interest margin relative to gross income (Denje & Olando, 2021). Effective management of financial investments is critical to ensuring that DT-SACCOs can finance growth initiatives and maintain financial stability. Poorly managed investments increase loan losses and exposure to market risks (Mohamed, Akims, Nyachae, & Mbugua, 2023).

Liquidity management is crucial for DT-SACCOs to meet reserve requirements and withdrawal demands while minimizing idle cash balances (Tangdialla & Sanda, 2021). SASRA regulations mandate that DT-SACCOs maintain a minimum liquidity ratio of 15% of total deposit liabilities and 10% of current liabilities (Onyekwelu, Chukwuani, & Onyeka, 2018; SASRA, 2022).

Recent studies demonstrate that DT-SACCOs in Kenya have experienced substantial growth in market share and profitability. For example, the asset base of Kenyan DT-SACCOs increased from Kshs 442 billion in 2017 to Kshs 627.68 billion in 2020 (SASRA, 2020). However, the market share growth of smaller-tiered DT-SACCOs has slowed, impacting their viability and competitiveness (SASRA, 2020).

Performance, as a complex construct, is influenced by various characteristics, including an organization's reputation, operational efficiency, economic goals, and long-term survival (Nguyen Dung & Huyen, 2021). Financial performance is often evaluated using accounting-based metrics such as Return on Sales (ROS), Return on Equity (ROE), or Return on Assets (ROA), which provide readily accessible data for measuring success (Tomislava, Marko, & Damir, 2021; Nguyen et al., 2021). However, conflicting findings in the literature regarding the influence of SACCOs' financial characteristics on DT-SACCO performance in Kenya have prompted further investigation into SACCO-based financial characteristics as a framework for financial analysis.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Deposit-Taking Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies (DT-SACCOs) in Kenya play a pivotal role in fostering rural development, reducing poverty, and enhancing citizen welfare (Bee, 2016). Despite their importance, these organizations have faced significant challenges that have negatively impacted their financial performance. This is evidenced by a decline in return on assets (ROA) from 2.45% in 2016 to 1.59% in 2021, which has resulted in the revocation of licenses for several DT-SACCOs. While existing research highlights a relationship between SACCOs' financial characteristics and the financial performance of DT-SACCOs, empirical studies reveal gaps in methodology, context, and conceptual frameworks. As a result, there is limited understanding of how SACCO-based financial characteristics influence the financial performance of DT-SACCOs specifically within the Kenyan context.

1.3 Objective of the Study

The main objective of the study was to assess the effect of SACCO based financial characteristics and financial performance of Deposit Taking Savings and credit co-operative societies in Kenya.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Literature

The theories anchoring the research included; Financial Intermediation Theory, Liquidity Premium Theory, Bad Management Hypothesis and Pecking Order of Capitalization.

2.1.1 Financial Intermediation Theory

The Financial Intermediation Theory proposed by Gurley and Shaw (1960) states that the banking industry, as an intermediary in any economy, has a significant impact on its expansion and growth by means of saving, identifying prudent choices, and putting forth sound corporate control, especially in the early phases of economic development and in environments with weak institutional frameworks (Mayowa, 2020). The financial intermediaries came into being specifically to remove these expenses, at least in part Diamond and Dybvig (1983), for example, envision financial institutions essentially as an alliance of depositors shielding savers from risks that may endanger their liquidity. As a result, individuals who accumulate savings entrust these intermediaries with managing their available resources so that they can be invested in whatever ventures they deem feasible. Depositors are free to withdraw their money at any moment according to predetermined guidelines (Diamond, 1991; Leland & Pyle, 1977). Consequently, there is a need to guarantee that a lending institution maintains a satisfactory level of liquidity. With regards to the present study, the Financial Intermediation Theory is crucial for establishing a connection between capital adequacy as well as liquidity and DT-SACCO performance.

2.1.2 Financial Growth Theory

Bagehot first proposed the Financial Growth Theory in 1973, which claims that a positive and successful economic expansion is predicated on the existence of financial improvement. Pursuant to the theory, the establishment of a successful current financial system encourages and creates an atmosphere that is conducive to income development, including exceptional financial outcomes (Musau, 2018). A DT-SACCO can, as noted by Bagehot (1973), boost overall productivity, mobilise savings, create and expand liquidity, encourage investment, and reallocate resources from stagnant, outdated industries to thriving, contemporary ones. According to Sparatt (2013), a company's financial performance, financial investments model level, and composition can all have an impact on how well it performs. According to Demirgüç-Kunt, Beck, and Honohan (2008), financial participants should prioritise accounting-based business performance by utilising the financial investments model, which guarantees financial accessibility. It has been shown that obtaining inexpensive, easily available, and secure financing is necessary to improve business performance and reduce the gap between income and poverty. Based on the financial growth idea, DT-SACCOS financial performance is impacted by asset quality and financial investments.

2.1.4 Bad Management Hypothesis

The idea that people can act deliberately and that accomplishing so may culminate in managerial practices that are likely to foster such behaviour in people is the basis of the bad management hypothesis theory, from which its outcomes are derived (Ghoshal & Moran, 1996). In accordance with the bad management theory, when it comes to tracking and supervising borrowers after loans have been granted to ensure that agreements are followed, they may not be as competent as they should be in valuing the collateral pledged against the loans. Additionally, they might choose an unusually high number of loans with negative or low net present values (Shaturaev, 2022). Low-cost efficiency is therefore anticipated to emerge either as a Granger cause or prior to the occurrence of more NPLs, given the bad management theory. Though they foresee a different temporal sequence, the bad luck hypothesis and this theory concur that NPLs will decrease cost effectiveness. To tackle the growing issue of non-performing loans, the company needs to ensure that an effective asset quality process is in place (Tan & Floros, 2019). The Bad Management Hypothesis highlights the critical role of maintaining asset quality. Poor management practices can directly lead to the accumulation of subpar assets, which in turn can affect the financial health and regulatory compliance of a financial institution. This is particularly relevant in SACCOs, where asset quality is crucial for maintaining financial health and regulatory compliance.

2.2 Empirical Review

In this section, specific empirical research and corresponding investigations that were recognized as useful in linking financial performance of financial characterisers were carefully examined.

Studies on Capital adequacy and Financial Performance were conducted where that by Ojili' (2023) investigated secondary panel data obtained from audited financial accounts using census sampling and descriptive and inferential statistics. A substantial inverse relationship between capital sufficiency and financial performance was shown by regression analysis. Mwangi (2020) performed research on capital adequacy preservation adopting descriptive study design to show that DT-SACCO had significant improvement in their financial performance when capital adequacy was present. Research by Onyango (2018) discovered that capital adequacy has a substantial and detrimental effect on the financial performance. However, there were methodological issues with the study's extremely small sample size of 14 DT-SACCOs that could have prevented the results from being applied to a larger population. As a result, the current inquiry will make use of every DT-SACCO. The research investigation conducted in Kenya by Cheryoiti (2016) demonstrated a robust relationship between capital adequacy and financial performance.

Effects of financial investments and financial performance was assessed by Mohamed et al. (2023), in their research, to demonstrated that financial investments had a major influence on Kenya's commercial banks' financial performance. Meanwhile research by Hakizakubana (2021) concentrated on how Rwandan commercial banks' financial outcomes were affected by the CAMEL rating system. Financial investments were shown to be negatively correlated to financial performance. Denje and Olando (2021) explored the relationship between Kenya's Islamic banks' financial performance and the CAMEL grading system to reveal financial investments as positively influencing Islamic banks' financial performance, while liquidity had a significant negative impact

In line with the findings of research by AL-Najjar and Assous's (2021), asset quality ratios, based on CAMEL grading, had no bearing on the total quantity of deposits held by banks. The study conducted in by Thisaranga and Ariyasena (2021) demonstrated that asset quality significantly and favourably affects competitive performance while research by Boateng (2019) showed that asset quality had a significant influence on the performance of Ghanaian banks. Sile, Olweny, and Sakwa (2019) found that financial performance and the standard of reserves were significantly correlated. In research by Lawal et al. (2018), the findings support earlier studies by showing that when banks have payment delays or when non-performing loans (NPLs) perform poorly, the quality of their assets is noticeably lower. In their research, Nyabaga and Matanda (2020), showed that, albeit having a modest positive effect on ROA, leverage greatly raised ROE while that by

Kimutai (2022) demonstrated that SACCO management safeguards member deposits and considers collateral when determining loan eligibility. The results in research by Muriuki (2022) show that DT-SACCO's financial performance is significantly and favourably impacted by liquidity while Shibutse, Kalunda, and Achoki's (2019) showed that liquidity has a favourable and significant influence on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs. Masika (2018) showed a clear and positive correlation has been shown between the business liquidity and the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs that take deposits as Ullah (2019) demonstrated that liquidity improves performance. The study conducted by Onyekwelu, Chukwuani, and Onyeka (2018) showed that bank profitability ratios and liquidity had a favourable association.

Using a descriptive study methodology, Buluma, Kung'u, and Mungai (2017) evaluated the impact of SASRA regulations on the financial performance of the DT-SACCOs in Nyandarua County, Kenya to demonstrate that the application of SASRA laws improved the financial performance.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

As explained by Kothari (2012), a research design serves as a comprehensive roadmap, sometimes called a framework or blueprint, that directs the entire study. In order to successfully answer the study issues, this design is essential. Given the current study's emphasis on documenting a particular occurrence, a descriptive survey methodology was used. Creswell and Creswell (2018) believe that a descriptive survey methodology is a good fit for the study's goals because it is especially useful for explaining specific phenomena or outlining the existing situation. To evaluate the impact of SACCO based financial characteristics on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya from 2018 to 2022, panel data regression analysis was employed. This method was chosen because it accommodates both individual-specific variables and the integration of time series with cross-sectional data. The panel data approach offers several advantages: it provides increased degrees of freedom, reduces multicollinearity among variables, enhances variability, and improves the efficiency of the estimates. By accounting for individual-specific effects and temporal changes, panel data regression allows for a more nuanced and accurate analysis of the financial performance determinants for DT-SACCOs.

3.2 Empirical Model

Using a panel data regression model, the study produced an empirical model (Hue, 2015). Employing multiple regression analysis (MRA), this investigation aims to develop a model to estimate the DV in terms of its predictors. Among these are the moderated effect and the direct effect models.

3.2.1 Direct effect Model

The study estimated a direct effect model on relationship between SACCO based financial characteristics and financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya using; capital adequacy, effective financial structure, and liquidity as the IVs, as expressed below.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation 3.1}$$

Where:

Y = Financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya, is the dependent variable,

X₁ = Capital adequacy of DT-SACCO,

X₂ = Asset quality of DT-SACCO,

X₃ = Financial Investments of DT-SACCO,

X₅ = Liquidity of DT-SACCO,

β₀ is a constant (which is the value of dependent variable when all the independent variables are 0).

β₁₋₆ is the regression coefficients or change induced by X₁, X₂, X₃, X₅ and X₆

ε_{it} is the normal error term.

3.2.2 Moderation Effects Model

The significance of one variable in the analysis dictate the effects of the other variable, and this is how moderation effects are typically explained, as an interaction between variables or factors (Keppel & Zedeck, 1989). Given that they can vary the direction or intensity of the association between an outcome and a predictor, moderator variables have the power to raise, decrease, or modify the influence of the predictor. The study will assess the moderating effect of SASRA risk regulations on relationship between SACCO based financial characteristics and financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya. The estimates of model had SASRA risk regulations denoted by M_t as moderating variable at time t. Moderating effect was modelled by getting the product effect of independent variables and moderating variable

This is the expression for the reduced model, also known as the direct effect model, in which the independent variable directly influences the dependent variable:

Step one direct model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation 3.2}$$

Where:

Y_{it} = Financial performance of DT-SACCOS in Kenya, is the dependent variable,
 X_1 = Capital adequacy of DT-SACCO,
 X_2 = Asset quality of DT-SACCO,
 X_3 = Financial Investments of DT-SACCO,
 X_4 = Liquidity of DT-SACCO,
 β_0 is a constant (which is the value of dependent variable when all the independent variables are 0).

β_{1-6} is the regression coefficients or change induced by X_1 , X_2 , X_3 , and X_4

ε_{it} is the normal error term.

Step two inclusion of the moderator as independent variable

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 M + \varepsilon \dots \text{Equation 3.3}$$

Step three interaction effect

as captured in model ii

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_1(X_1 * M) + \beta_2(X_2 * M) + \beta_3(X_3 * M) + \beta_4(X_4 * M) + \varepsilon \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation 3.4.}$$

Where

$\beta_1(X_1 * M) + \beta_2(X_2 * M) + \beta_3(X_3 * M) + \beta_4(X_4 * M)$ = interaction of moderator and independent variables

Threshold: if the r^2 of the moderating model is more than the r^2 of the direct effect model, then the moderator has an effect.

3.4 Target Population

The 190 DT-SACCOS that had been functioning in Kenya between 2018 and 2022 were the study's target population (. SASRA, 2016; SASRA, 2017, SASRA, 2020; SASRA, 2022)

3.5 Sample Design

Purposive sampling was used in this study despite the target population being larger than 100, and SACCOS that have been operational since 2018 was included in the inclusion criteria. As a result, all 181 Kenyan DT-SACCOS that were included in the target population served as respondents in the study.

3.6 Data Collection Instrument

Owing to the essence of financial research, secondary data was used in the research. This data came from statistics SASRA, the regulatory authority in charge of DT-SACCO supervision. The data was gathered using the institutional tool on Appendix I. Due to the fiscal significance of the research variables, past data was mainly employed to inform the selection of secondary data.

3.7 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were produced using a quantitative method in accordance with the objectives of the research, utilizing means, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation. Tables were used to display the results, which were then explained in narrative. In this research, the financial performance, which was DV, was measured as net income (gross income)/total assets. The IVs were; capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments and liquidity. Capital adequacy was assessed by computing the ratio of core capital to total assets, which measures the proportion of a financial institution's core capital relative to its total assets. Asset quality was evaluated using the ratio of non-performing loans (NPLs) to gross loans, which indicates the proportion of loans that are not generating income compared to the total amount of loans issued. Financial investments were analysed by calculating the ratio of interest margin to gross income, reflecting the proportion of income derived from interest margins relative to the total gross income. Liquidity was determined by the ratio of total loans to total assets, which indicates the proportion of total loans relative to the institution's overall assets, providing insight into the organization's liquidity position.

Based on the model below, Pearson correlation and a panel regression model was used to investigate the relationship between a number of independent factors and a dependent variable. The panel regression model was used because it allows for the investigation of interactions between IVs, which took into consideration a greater number of factors that can affect the DV.

4. RESULTS AND FINDINGS

4.1 Descriptive Analysis

Analysing the data using quantitative analysis, the research produced descriptive statistics. Subsequently descriptive statistics were availed for each variable to explain it and how it relates to SACCOS as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Analysis by Descriptive Statistics

	Financial Performance	Capital Adequacy	Asset Quality	Financial investments	Liquidity	SASRA Risk Regulation
N	873	873	873	873	873	873

Mean	14.27%	10.01%	11.13%	44.61%	69.36%	35.87%
Std. Deviation	4.67%	10.75%	12.49%	24.11%	17.33%	7.22%
Minimum	0.00%	-49.22%	0.00%	-52.08%	0.00%	8.55%
Maximum	50.00%	75.29%	92.88%	98.08%	170.27%	75.14%

Source: Field data (2024)

In light of these considerations, a total of 873 observations were collected. The results encompass detailed information regarding the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs for the period from 2018 to 2022, as well as data on the CAEL indicators. Each of these metrics was presented as a percentage, providing a standardized measure of performance across the various indicators. This approach facilitates a clear understanding of the financial health and operational effectiveness of the DT-SACCOs during the specified timeframe.

The results show that financial performance for the period, which was measured using ROA, was ranging between 0%(Min) and 50% (Max) while the average financial performance was 14.27% and the variation for the mean was 4.67% (M = 14.27%; SD = 4.67%). Based on these results, the lowest deviation from the mean was high at 9.90%. On, average, the level of financial performance was registered at 14.27%, meaning that for every Kshs. 1 invested in the SACCO asset, the DT-SACCOs lost 14 shillings and 57 cents on average. Financial performance that deviated significantly from the mean was indicated by a SD of 4.67% and a MIN variance of 9.90%. A positive ROA indicated good financial performance in the management of the available assets for DT-SACCOs. This suggests that returns on their own assets were obtained by Kenyan DT-SACCOs.

The capital adequacy statistics (M=10.01%, SD=10.75%) indicate that, on average, capital adequacy was 10.01 percent with an SD of 10.75%; the minimum variation from the mean was -0.64%. The analysis indicates that, on average, DT-SACCOs allocated Ksh 10.01 for every Ksh 1 of loss incurred due to risks and other liabilities. This reflects a cautious approach to risk management and financial stability. The capital adequacy ratios observed in the study ranged from -49.22% to 75.29%, showing a substantial variation of 124.21%. This wide range highlights significant differences in how DT-SACCOs manage their capital relative to their total assets. These findings show an average of 10.01% which was above the threshold of 10% surged by SASRA (SASRA (2022)). According to the guidelines set by SASRA (2022), the minimum required capital adequacy ratio is 10%. The capital adequacy maintained by the DT-SACCOs in this study generally met or exceeded this requirement, suggesting that these organizations have maintained sufficient capital to support their operational stability and resilience.

It was found that the asset quality which was measured using NPL ratio, NPLs to gross loans was between 0.00% and 92.88% (Min=0.00%; Max = 92.88%). On average, the value of asset quality was high (M=11.13%). When expressed as a function of the ratio of NPLs to gross loans, the maximum level of suggested value asset quality was 5% (SASRA, 2022). As a result, the average asset quality of 11.13% for the SACCOs in the study exceeded the established threshold, indicating a relatively high level of asset quality compared to regulatory standards. Asset quality, in this context, refers to the proportion of non-performing loans (NPLs) relative to the total gross loans, and a ratio of 11.13% signifies that a notable portion of the loans were classified as non-performing. With an asset quality ratio of 11.13%, the Kenyan DT-SACCOs allocated Ksh 11.13 for every Ksh 1 spent on non-performing loans. This allocation represents the amount set aside as a loss provision to cover potential losses from these non-performing loans. Essentially, for each unit of currency invested in loans that are not generating expected returns, the SACCOs reserved Ksh 11.13 to mitigate the financial impact of these NPLs. This provisioning reflects a proactive approach by the SACCOs to manage and cushion the financial risks associated with non-performing loans. By setting aside this amount, the SACCOs aim to safeguard their financial stability and ensure that they have sufficient reserves to absorb potential losses from loans that are not performing as expected. This practice is crucial for maintaining the financial health of the DT-SACCOs and for ensuring their ability to continue lending and supporting their members, even in the face of adverse loan performance.

Overall, the higher asset quality ratio and the substantial provisioning demonstrate that the Kenyan DT-SACCOs are implementing effective risk management strategies to address the challenges posed by non-performing loans, thereby enhancing their resilience and operational sustainability. For each Ksh. 1 in NPL, Ksh. 11 and 13 cents were set aside for loan loss. The lowest separation from the mean was -1.36%, according to the standard deviation of 12.49% (SD=12.49%). Since it was higher than 5%, the asset quality was essentially inadequate or inappropriate (SASRA, 2021). These events indicate that Kenyan DT-SACCOs have a high level of non-performing loans. In accordance with SASRA (2022), DT-SACCOs are required to maintain their NPL ratios below 5%. The data indicates that the amount of high-level non-performing loans is increasing. It is frequently connected to DT-SACCO's failures.

The findings for financial investments (Min=-52.08%; Max=98.08%, M=44.61%; SD=24.11%) indicate that 98.08% was exhibited as the best financial investments, with an average rating of 44.61% and a standard deviation of 24.11%. The lowest financial investments were -52.08% with 20.50% was the least amount of

deviation from the mean. In accordance to the findings, the DT-SACCOs could have earned 44 shillings and 61 seven cents for every Ksh. 1 they invested. These SACCOs' operations might be adequately supported by such financial investments, which would also sustain appropriate levels of capital and allowances. The financial investments of 44.61% indicated a moderate level of financial investments in all respects.

The average liquidity was found to be 69.36% (M=69.36%; SD=17.33%; Min=0.00%; Max=170.27%) in the results. On average, 69.36% of the cash equivalent assets were available for use. As such, the DT-SACCOs were obligated to repay short-term debt. The DT-SACCOs were able to use their liquid assets to pay Kshs 69.36 towards the Ksh.1 short-term liability. The SD was 17.33%, the lowest value was 0.00%, and the highest value was 170.27%. Liquidity, in this context, refers to the availability of liquid assets and the ability to meet short-term obligations without incurring substantial costs. Muriuki's research highlights that higher liquidity enables DT-SACCOs to manage their operational needs more effectively, thus improving their overall financial performance. By maintaining sufficient liquid assets, DT-SACCOs can respond swiftly to financial demands and capitalize on opportunities, leading to better profitability and financial performance. In contrast,

Based on the results, the SASRA Risk Regulation level was at an average of 35.87% with a minimum variation of 7.22% from the mean while the minimum value being 8.55% with a maximum of 75.14%.

4.2 Inferential Analysis

Using inferential statistics, the study investigated the relationship between SACCO based financial characteristics and the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya, with a significance level set at 5%. Correlation analysis determined the nature and strength of relationships between the study variables, while multiple regression analysis (MRA) was utilized to construct a predictive model for estimating future financial performance based on the identified relationships. This comprehensive inferential approach enabled a thorough examination of the interactions between the financial characteristics of SACCOs and their financial performance outcomes.

4.2.1 Pearson's' Correlation analysis

Before performing the (MRA, the study conducted a correlation analysis to determine whether there were statistically significant relationships between the IVs), capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments, and liquidity and the DV, which is financial performance. The findings of this preliminary correlation investigation are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Analysis byCorrelation

	Financial performance	Capital adequacy	Asset quality	Financial investments	Liquidity	SASR Risk Regulation
Financial performance	1					
Capital adequacy	0.4866	1				
	0.0000					
Asset quality	0.1938	0.1266	1			
	0.0000	0.0002				
Financial investments	0.2451	0.1578	0.4483	1		
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Liquidity	0.5958	0.4001	0.4153	0.3190	1	
	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
SASRA Risk Regulation	0.0407	-0.0338	0.0707	0.0749	0.0337	1
	0.2301	0.3182	0.0367	0.0270	0.3205	

Source: Field data (2024)

Table 2 presents the significant relationships between the independent variables (IVs) and the dependent variable (DV). Specifically, the correlations observed are as follows: capital adequacy with financial performance ($p < 0.01$; $r = 0.4866$), asset quality with financial performance ($p < 0.01$; $r = 0.1938$), financial investments with financial performance ($p < 0.01$; $r = 0.2451$), and liquidity with financial performance ($p < 0.01$; $r = 0.5958$). All p-values are below 0.05, indicating statistically significant relationships. However, SASRA Risk Regulation was not correlated to financial performance ($p = 0.230$; $r = 0.0407$). These results corroborate findings from previous research. Mwangi (2020) found a significant positive impact of capital adequacy on financial performance, highlighting that adequate capital contributes to improved financial outcomes. The positive relationship between asset quality and financial success was also supported by studies conducted by Boateng and Denje (2019) and Orlando (2021), which demonstrated a strong association between asset quality and financial performance. Similarly, Muriuki (2022) found that liquidity has a substantial and beneficial

impact on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs. Among the variables, liquidity exhibited the highest correlation with financial performance ($r=0.5958$), which is classified as moderate, given that correlation coefficients in this range (0.3 to 0.6) indicate a moderate association. Capital adequacy also showed a moderate correlation with financial performance ($r=0.4866$). In contrast, financial investments ($r=0.2451$) and asset quality ($r=0.1938$) demonstrated weaker correlations, as their values did not reach the moderate threshold of 0.3.

4.2.2 Regression analysis

To aid in the creation of a model that explains financial performance Using each of these variables in combination, a model for explaining financial performance needed to be created, this research evaluated the association between all of the IVs; and financial performance using MRA with Table 3 for the corresponding outcomes.

Table 3: Analysis by Regression Coefficients

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 873		
				F(8, 864) = 151.13		
Model	0.4844	8	0.0606	Prob > F = 0.000		
Residual	0.3462	864	0.0004	R-squared = 0.5832		
				Adj R-squared = 0.5794		
Total	0.8306	872	0.0010	Root MSE = .02002		
Financial performance	Coef.	Std. Err	t	P> t	[95% Conf	Interval]
Capital adequacy	0.0970	0.0287	3.3900	0.001	0.0408	0.1533
Asset quality	-0.2299	0.0277	-8.2900	0.000	-0.2843	-0.1754
Financial investments	-0.0660	0.0265	-2.4900	0.013	-0.1180	-0.0140
Liquidity	0.2886	0.0299	9.6400	0.000	0.2299	0.3473
year						
2019	-0.01276	0.00218	-5.850	0.000	-0.0170	-0.0085
2020	-0.00366	0.00215	-1.700	0.089	-0.0079	0.0006
2021	0.00249	0.00219	1.140	0.256	-0.0018	0.0068
2022	0.04229	0.00333	12.680	0.000	0.0357	0.0488
_cons	-0.00351	0.00160	-2.100	0.028	-0.0066	-0.0004

Source: Field data (2024)

The results indicate that the model used for estimating financial performance, which incorporates capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments, and liquidity, is statistically robust, as evidenced by a p-value of less than 0.05 ($p < 0.01$). This analysis, guided by the beta values from equation 3.2, was designed to test the null hypothesis ($H_0: \beta_i = 0$), which posits that the coefficients for capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments, and liquidity are zero. Conversely, the alternative hypothesis ($H_a: \beta_i \neq 0$) suggests that at least one of these coefficients is non-zero. The rejection of H_0 in favor of H_a occurs when the p-value is below 0.05. In this case, the p-value does not exceed 0.05, indicating that at least one of the beta coefficients is significantly different from zero, as supported by the results ($p < 0.01$, $F = 151.13$). Consequently, H_a is accepted and H_0 is rejected, demonstrating that the data is sufficient to affirm that one or more of the independent variables—capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments, and liquidity, significantly influence the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs at a significance level of $\alpha < 0.05$.

The coefficient of determination (R^2) is 0.5832, indicating that the combined effects of capital adequacy ($p = 0.001$), asset quality ($p < 0.01$), financial investments ($p = 0.013$), and liquidity ($p < 0.01$) account for 58.32% of the variance in financial performance. This implies that the model, which includes these variables, explains a substantial portion of the variability in the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. Therefore, the financial performance of these SACCOs can be predicted with considerable accuracy based on changes in capital adequacy, asset quality, financial investments, and liquidity.

The study investigated the hypotheses listed below;

H_{01} : Capital adequacy does not have a statistically significant effect on financial performance of DT-SACCO in Kenya.

The capital adequacy statistics ($\beta=0.0970$; $p=0.001$) show a p-value of less than 0.05. Therefore, capital adequacy is crucial for assessing Kenyan DT-SACCOs' financial performance, and H_0 shows that there is

sufficient evidence to conclude that capital adequacy was not zero at the $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level. The results show that capital sufficiency has a major positive effect on DT-SACCO's financial performance in Kenya. These results corroborate those of Cheryoit's (2016) study, which discovered a substantial and favourable impact of capital adequacy on financial performance. A current financial economics-based capital structure percentage takes into account capital adequacy, which is derived from market securities, and capital level management. The organization decides to purchase more stocks based on this. However, the findings differ with those in the research by Ojili (2023). Research by Ojili (2023) demonstrated a negative association between capital sufficiency and financial performance, suggesting that DT-SACCOs with higher capital ratios are more profitable than those with lower levels since they require less external investment. Consequently, the research came to the conclusion that capital adequacy negatively affected financial performance. To evaluate the effect of asset quality, the following hypothesis was used;

H₀₂: Asset quality does not have a statistically significant effect on financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya.

The analysis of asset quality data reveals a coefficient (β) of -0.2299 with a p-value less than 0.01. This p-value is well below the 0.05 threshold, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (H_0) which posits that the coefficient for asset quality is zero. Consequently, at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, there is substantial evidence to affirm that asset quality has a significantly effect on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya. The negative coefficient suggests a detrimental impact of asset quality on the financial performance of these SACCOs. Specifically, poor asset quality, as indicated by a high level of non-performing loans (NPLs), is associated with lower financial performance. These findings are aligned with the research by Lawal et al. (2018), which demonstrated that subpar performance of NPLs results in very low asset quality, thereby adversely affecting financial outcomes. Additionally, they imply that operating efficiency is significantly positively impacted by capital asset quality. Reducing costs can lead to increased bank profits because it improves bank fortunes and increases efficiencies. On the other hand, the outcomes differed from the study conducted by Thisaranga and Ariyasena (2021) which discovered that asset quality had a positive and significant impact on competitive performance. However, Sile et al.'s (2019) research demonstrated a statistical correlation between asset quality and financial performance, whereas Boateng's (2019) study discovered that asset quality had a substantial impact on financial performance. Nevertheless, this study's results were different from Thisaranga and Ariyasena's (2021) finding that asset quality positively and significantly impacted competitive performance. Sile et al.'s (2019) study, on the other hand, found a statistical association between asset quality and financial performance, while Boateng's (2019) investigation found that asset quality significantly influenced Ghanaian banks' success.

The study tested the way financial performance of affected by financial Investments using the hypothesis;

H₀₃: Financial investments do not have a statistically significant effect on financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya.

The analysis of financial investments indicates a coefficient of ($\beta = -0.0660$; $p = 0.014$), with the p-value falling well below the 0.05 significance threshold. Given that the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis (H_0), which asserts that the coefficient for financial investments is zero, is rejected. Thus, at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, the evidence strongly supports the conclusion that asset quality significantly influences the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya. The negative coefficient suggests that financial investments have an adverse impact on the financial performance of these SACCOs. The study conducted by Mohamed et al. (2023) highlighted a significant relationship between financial Investments and financial performance of commercial banks in Kenya. Their findings suggest that increased financial Investments have negative effect on financial performance, underscoring the importance for lending institutions to explore additional profitable ventures beyond their traditional intermediation activities. However, The findings imply that lending institutions should explore new profitable business ventures beyond their traditional intermediation activities, as higher financial investments are positively correlated with enhanced financial stability. In relation to hypothesis testing, the study investigated the impact of liquidity on financial performance, assessing how this variable interacts with established financial hypothesis.

H₀₄: Liquidity does not have a statistically significant effect on financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya

Given that the p-value was less than 0.05 and the results ($\beta=0.2886$; $p<0.01$) align with this observation, the null hypothesis (H_0) was rejected. At a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, there is sufficient evidence to support the assertion that liquidity significantly affects the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. The study revealed a significant positive impact of liquidity on the financial performance of these institutions. This finding corroborates Muriuki's (2022) research, which also indicated that liquidity has a significant and positive influence on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs. Furthermore, Kimutai (2022) highlighted that SACCO management carefully considers collateral and protects member savings when making lending decisions, underscoring the importance of liquidity in maintaining the financial stability and operational efficiency of SACCOs. The analysis underscored the critical role of favorable repayment terms and stringent financial reporting criteria in enhancing loan repayment rates. Effective internal controls, which have a profound impact

on SACCOs' financial performance, must therefore be rigorously implemented. These findings are consistent with Shibutse et al.'s (2019) research, which highlighted the substantial effect of liquidity on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs. The study's results are notably significant and positive, reinforcing the notion that liquidity plays a crucial role in the financial stability and success of Kenyan deposit-taking SACCOs. This is further supported by Masika's (2018) investigation, which identified a strong positive correlation between firm liquidity and financial performance. Additionally, Ullah (2019) corroborates these findings, emphasizing the substantial impact of liquidity on the financial outcomes of SACCOs.

The regression model is;

$$Y = -0.00351 + 0.0970X_1 - 0.2299X_2 - 0.0660 + 0.2039X_4 \dots\dots\dots(i)$$

Such that

Financial performance = -0.00351 + 0.0970(capital adequacy) - 0.2299(asset quality) -0.0660(financial investments) + 0.2886 (liquidity).

Financial performance decreases by 0.00351 when all of the f IVs are zero. Therefore, before SACCO based financial characteristics, the consistent amounts of financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs are -0.00351. The coefficients for asset quality ($\beta_1 = -0.2299$) and financial investments ($\beta_1 = -0.0660$) in the regression model reveal a negative influence on the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya. Specifically, a one-unit increase in asset quality results in a decrease of 0.2299 units in the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. Similarly, a one-unit increase in financial investments corresponds to a decrease of 0.0660 units in financial performance.

In contrast, the coefficients for liquidity ($\beta_1 = 0.2886$) and capital adequacy ($\beta_1 = 0.0970$) demonstrate a positive impact on financial performance. A one-unit increase in liquidity leads to a 0.2886-unit improvement in the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. Likewise, a one-unit increase in capital adequacy results in a 0.0970-unit improvement in financial performance. Conversely, a reduction of one unit in either liquidity or capital adequacy results in a proportional decrease in financial performance by 0.2886 and 0.0970 units, respectively. Overall, while asset quality and financial investments are associated with negative changes in financial performance, liquidity and capital adequacy contribute positively to the financial outcomes of DT-SACCOs in Kenya.

The analysis reveals that capital adequacy and liquidity exhibit a positive relationship with the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs, as indicated by their positive coefficients. Specifically, improvements in capital adequacy or liquidity are associated with enhanced financial performance. An increase in either factor leads to a corresponding increase in the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. Thus, strengthening capital adequacy or liquidity directly benefits the financial stability and success of these institutions.

Conversely, asset quality and financial investments show negative coefficients, indicating a negative relationship with financial performance. This implies that any increase in asset quality or financial investments is associated with a decline in the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. Conversely, a decrease in asset quality or financial investments would be linked to an improvement in financial performance. Hence, while capital adequacy and liquidity positively influence financial performance, asset quality and financial investments have an adverse effect.

4.2.3 Moderating effect of SASRA risk regulations

The research then used SASRA risk regulations as a moderator variable the effect of SACCO based financial characteristics on financial performance and then tested the hypothesis;

H₅: SASRA regulations do not have a moderating effect on relationship between SACCO based financial characteristics and financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya

The results for tested first stage were as captured in Table 2. Based on those results, there was a significant effect of o relationship on SACCO based financial characteristics (p<0.01) and the effects was 58.32% (r²= 0.5832). The confirms a that there was relationship moderate.

The study then set to confirm whether moderator variable, SASRA risk regulations was an explanatory variable to obtain Table 4.

Table 4: SASRA risk regulations as independent variable

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 873
				F(9, 863) = 135.23
Model	0.4860	9	0.0540	Prob > F = 0.000
Residual	0.3446	863	0.0004	R-squared = 0.5851
				Adj R-squared = 0.5808
Total	0.8306	872	0.0010	Root MSE = .02002

Financial performance	Coef.	Std. Err	t	P> t	[95% Conf	Interval]
Capital adequacy	0.0950	0.0286	3.32	0.001	0.0388	0.1512
Asset quality	-0.2270	0.0277	-8.19	0.000	-0.2815	-0.1726
Financial investments	-0.0627	0.0265	-2.36	0.018	-0.1147	-0.0106
Liquidity	0.2904	0.0299	9.72	0.000	0.2318	0.3491
SASRA risk regulations	-0.0383	0.0193	-1.98	0.048	-0.0763	-0.0004
_cons	-0.0033	0.0016	-2.040.	0.042	-0.0064	-0.0001

Source: Field data (2024)

These results show that the SASRA risk regulations had a significant effect of n financial performance (p=0.048). More so, the relationship between the IVs in addition to SASRA risk regulations with financial performance was significant (p<0.01) where the effects of capital adequacy; asset quality, financial investments and liquidity in addition to SASRA risk regulations on financial performance was 58.51% ((r²= 0.5851). So, SASRA risk regulations was explanatory variable which caused an increase of 0.19% from 58.32% to 58.51%. So, step three was done after confirming that there was relationship to moderate and that SASRA risk regulations was explanatory variable. This yielded Table 5.

Table 5: Moderating Effect SASRA risk regulations

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	873	
Model	0.4860	9	0.0540	F(9, 863) =	135.23	
Residual	0.3446	863	0.0004	Prob > F =	0.000	
Total	0.8306	872	0.0010	R-squared =	0.5858	
Financial performance	Coef.	Std. Err	t	P> t	[95% Conf	Interval]
Capital adequacy	0.0940	0.0288	3.26	0.001	0.0375	0.1506
Asset quality	-0.2271	0.0279	-8.15	0.000	-0.2817	-0.1724
Financial investments	-0.0597	0.0267	-2.14	0.026	-0.1121	-0.0073
Liquidity	0.2898	0.0300	9.65	0.000	0.2309	0.3488
SASRA risk regulations	-0.0407	0.0198	-2.05	0.040	-0.0796	-0.0018
Capital Adequacy x SASRA risk regulations	0.4768	0.7557	0.63	0.528	-1.0064	1.9600
Asset Quality x SASRA risk regulations	0.7195	0.7815	0.92	0.357	-0.8143	2.1533
Financial investments x SASRA risk regulations x	-0.4166	0.7319	-0.57	0.569	-1.8532	1.0200
Liquidity xSASRA risk regulations	-0.6286	0.7036	-0.89	0.372	-2.0096	0.7523
Year						
2019	-0.0131	0.0022	-5.98	0.000	-0.0174	-0.0088
2020	-0.0041	0.0022	-1.87	0.061	-0.0083	0.0002
2021	0.0021	0.0022	0.94	0.349	-0.0023	0.0064
2022	0.0416	0.0034	12.38	0.000	0.0350	0.0482
_cons	-0.0031	0.0016	-1.89	0.059	-0.0062	0.0001

Source: Field data (2024)

In this study, R² measure in Table 6 and Table 7 were important for explaining the moderator effect where change in R², was 0.0026 given that from Table 8, R² was 0.5858 and 0.5832 in Table 9 (0.5858 – 0.5832 = .0026). This indicates a 0.26% increase in variation on the impact of SACCO based financial characteristics on financial performance initiated by SASRA risk regulations. Hence, the variation of the SACCO based financial characteristics' influence on financial performance, which was controlled by SASRA risk regulations, was 0.26%. According to statistical analysis, there is no significant difference (p < 0.01) between the financial performance of SACCOs and the moderating influence of SASRA prudential norms. The association between

SACCO based financial characteristics and financial performance is thus found to be significantly moderated by SASRA risk regulations, according to the evidence ($p=0.040$).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

According to the study, capital sufficiency has a major and favourable impact on Kenyan DT-SACCOs' financial performance. With capital sufficiency explaining 10.42% of the difference in financial performance, this influence is statistically significant. In particular, the financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOs varies by 0.1042-units for every unit change in capital adequacy. Sufficient capital is essential for maintaining and overseeing institutional assets. Capital adequacy in the combined regression model contributed 9.70% ($\beta=0.0970$) to the model's overall explanatory power.

Given the prevalence of NPLs, the study arrived at the conclusion that asset quality has a statistically significant negative influence on Kenyan DT-SACCOs' financial performance. For every Ksh. 1 cost of non-performing loans, Kenyan DT-SACCOs with asset quality of 11.13% allotted a loss provision of Ksh. 11 and 13 cents. Considerable reserves are made to protect non-performing loans (NPLs) in the event that provision loan losses above a predetermined threshold. This negatively impacts the DT-SACCOs' financial performance and leads to subpar results. The challenges with the NPL have adversely affected the cost of shareholders' equity in this case. Asset quality contributes for 22.99% ($\beta=0.2299$) of the variance in the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya, suggesting a statistically significant negative effect on the group's financial performance.

In conclusion, financial investments have a statistically significant negative effect on the financial success of Kenyan DT-SACCOs; for every Ksh 1 invested, the DT-SACCOs were able to earn 44 shillings and 61 seven cents. These SACCOs' operations could be adequately funded and acceptable levels of capital and allowance could be maintained with such financial investments. The findings demonstrated that financial investments explain 6.60% ($\beta=0.0660$) of the variation in financial performance and has a statistically significant negative impact on Kenyan DT-SACCO financial performance. This indicates that Kenyan DT-SACCO's financial performance would fall at a rate of 0.0660 when financial investments increased by a single unit.

The study concludes that there is a considerable positive association between the liquidity and the financial success of Kenyan DT-SACCOs. Liquidity accounts for 28.86% ($\beta=0.2886$) of the fluctuation in Kenya's financial performance. DT-SACCOs had an average of 69.36% cash on hand in their financial assets. The DT-SACCOs can utilise their liquid assets to pay Kshs 69.36 for every Ksh1 in short-term liabilities because they are required to repay short-term commitments.

Based on the study's findings, Kenyan DT-SACCOs have shown a lot of reason for concern regarding their application of SASRA prudential principles. It is clear that these organisations must act swiftly in order to meet the requirements of sufficient capital, the calibre of their assets, potential for profit, liquidity, and strict oversight of their lending function. Consequently, the SACCO based financial characteristics are appropriate for assessing the financial performance of DT-SACCOs in Kenya and supporting these entities in complying with SASRA prudential rules.

5.3 Recommendations

These institutions should implement quality financial investments strategies to enhance their financial performance and reduce the amount of high-performing loans that are non-performing. These tactics ought to prioritise cautious lending, efficient loan disbursement and recovery procedures, and successful collection of disbursed monies.

The study recommends that in order to manage capital adequacy and develop institutional capital (core capital), Kenya's DT-SACCOs should employ the optimal investing strategy. Since the capital adequacy is already over the required level, it is necessary to increase the value of the asset portfolio while keeping the capital adequacy at the optimal institutional capital value. It should be mentioned that utilising the best institutional capital investment plan is necessary to improve financial performance.

The investigation additionally suggests that the DT-SACCOs practise careful asset management and regularly monitor loan management in order to build sound lending policies that don't hurt the company's bottom line. They should be aware of how the credit policy will impact their business beforehand to make sure that shareholder equity deposits are used wisely and that value is maximised. the calibre of its holdings as well as the development of NPLs and credit losses, which may result in monetary losses. The DT-SACCOs ought to be less passionate about making riskier loan awards.

The study also recommends for the DT-SACCOs to conduct a detailed analysis of the financial metrics used to evaluate their financial investments; focusing on identifying the metrics which most accurately reflect financial investment success and how these metrics would positively correlate with DT-SACCOs' overall financial performance. They should importantly evaluate how financial investments affect liquidity and cost management.

The study additionally recommends that DT-SACCOS stick to the suggested liquidity levels. They should concentrate on loan recovery, loan monitoring, and liquidity management to make sure their lending methods are effective.

Additionally, this research suggests that DT-SACCOS employ interest gap analysis to assess the profitability of their investments by balancing rate-sensitive and interest-sensitive assets. Utilizing Financial Intermediation Theory, DT-SACCOS can better evaluate liquidity risk and align cash flows and asset availability with liability repayment schedules.

Finally, the study recommends that Kenyan DT-SACCOS utilize financial characteristics to identify areas requiring particular focus. This approach will assist management in complying with SASRA risk regulations.

5.3 Recommendations for Further Study

The investigation found that the DT-SACCOS have maintained steady liquidity despite their inadequate financial performance. This unexpected incident has an unidentified cause. Further investigation is therefore necessary to ascertain the peculiar liquidity and financial performance patterns of Kenyan DT-SACCOS, which may be connected to the guiding principles of the DT-SACCOS

The analysis revealed an inverse relationship between financial performance and financial investments, as measured by interest margin/gross revenue, despite the obvious contrary. Given that SASRA recommends a number of financial investments indicators, this study recommends further research be conducted on the financial investments and financial performance of Kenyan DT-SACCOS in order to explain this anomaly.

REFERENCES

- Alliance for Financial Inclusion (2017). *Meeting the financial needs of the agricultural sector through prudentially regulated Cooperatives and credit cooperatives in Kenya*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Alliance for Financial Inclusion. Retrieved from www.afi-global.org
- AL-Najjar, D. & Assous, H. F. (2021). Key determinants of deposits volume using CAMEL rating system: The case of Saudi banks. *Plos ONE*, 16(12), 0261184. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261184>.
- Bagehot, W. (1973). *A description of money market*. London: Lombard Street.
- Boateng, K. (2019). Credit Risk Management and Performance of Banks in Ghana: the 'Camels' Rating Model Approach. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 8(02), 41-48
- Bolton, L. (2019). *Economic impact of farming cooperatives in East Africa*. K4D Helpdesk Report 535. Brighton, UK: Institute of Development Studies
- Buluma, F. C. O., Kung'u, J. and Mungai, F. N. (2017). Effect of SASRA regulations on financial performance of Nyandarua County's deposit taking SACCOs in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 5(7), 614-636
- Cheryoiti, (2016). Long Run Relationships between Stock Market Returns and Macroeconomic Performance: Evidence from Turkey. *Global Finance Journal*, 10(1), 91-101.37
- Creswell, J. W. & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* 5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Demirgüç-Kunt A., Beck, T. & Honohan P. (2008). *Finance for all? Policies and Pitfalls in Expanding Access*. Policy Research Report. Washington: World Bank
- Denje, G.R. & Olando, C. O. (2021). CAMEL Rating system and financial performance of Islamic banks in Kenya. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 21(17):37-53
- Diamond, D. & Dybvig, P. (1983). Bank runs, deposit insurance, and liquidity. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91 (June), 401-19.
- Diamond, D. (1991). Monitoring and Reputation: The Choice between Bank Loans and Directly Placed Debt. *Journal of Political Economy*, 99, 689-721.
- Esomar, M. & Titika, B. (2021). Pearls analysis to assess the health level of Hati Amboina service office (KP) Saumlaki Cooperative Credit Union (CU). *The Accounting Journal of Binaniaga*. 6(1) 19.26. 10.33062/ajb.v6i1.415.
- Ghoshal, S., & Moran, P. (1996). Bad for practice: A critique of the transaction cost theory. *The Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 13-47. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258627>
- Hakizakubana N. J. P. (2021). *CAMEL Rating Model and Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Rwanda* (Master Research Project, Kenyatta University)
- Keppel, G., & Zedeck, S. (1989). *Data analysis for research designs: Analysis of variance and multiple regression/correlation approaches*. New York: W H Freeman. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1989-97473-000>.
- Kimutai, D. (2022). *Liquidity management and financial performance of deposit taking saccos in Kericho County, Kenya* (Masters Research Project, University of Nairobi).
- Kothari C. (2012). *Research Methodology*. New Delhi : Vikas Publishing house Pvt. Ltd .
- Lawal, T. T., Oluoch, O. & Muturi, W. (2018). Effect of asset quality on the operational efficiency of deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 6(6), 149-161.
- Leland H., & Pyle, D. (1977). Informational Asymmetries, Financial Structure and Financial Intermediation, *Journal of Finance*, 32, 371-387.
- Masika, D. (2018). *Effect of firm characteristics on financial performance of deposit taking saccos licensed by SASRA in Nairobi County, Kenya* (Masters Research Project, Kenyatta University).
- Maulana, W. & Andrianingsih, V. (2020). Implementation of pearls analysis to measure the financial performance of cooperatives. *Indonesian Journal of Accounting and Governance*, 5(2), 69-88.

- Mayowa A. (2020). *Theory of Financial Intermediation*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345762472> THEORY OF FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION.
- Mlawasi, A. K., Simiyu, E. M. & Atheru, G. K. (2023). Financial Risk and Profit Persistence of Deposit-Taking Savings and Credit Cooperatives in Kenya. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 7(1), 22-43. <https://doi.org/10.53819/810181024121>.
- Mohamed, M. S., Akims, M. A., Nyachae, S. M., & Mbugua, L. (2023). Camel Rating Model and Financial Stability of Commercial Banks in Kenya. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 23(22), 396–407. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2023/v23i221160>
- Muriuki, V. M. (2022). *The effect of liquidity on the financial performance of deposit-taking sacco's in Nairobi County, Kenya* (Masters Research Project, University of Nairobi)
- Musau, S. M. (2018). *Financial inclusion and stability of commercial banks in Kenya* (Doctoral Thesis, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya).
- Mutunga, M. M. & Gatawa, J. W. (2021). Firm characteristics and financial performance of deposit taking savings and credit co-operatives in Nairobi City County, Kenya. *International Research Journal Publishers*, 3(3), 772-787.
- Mwangi, R. W. (2020). *Maintaining Capital Adequacy in Savings and Credit Co-peratives in Kenya* (Master Research project, United States International University – Africa, Nairobi, Kenya)
- Myers, S. C. (1984). The capital structure puzzle. *Journal of Finance*, 39, 575-592.
- Nguyen X. T., Dung, L. E. & Huyen, G. T. (2021). Firm Financial Performance: A Review on Accounting & Market-Based Approach. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention (IJBM)*, 10(1), 08-11.
- Nyabaga, R. N. & Matanda, J. W. (2020). Effect of Firm Characteristics on Financial Performance of Listed Commercial Banks in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 2020, 10(3), 255-262.
- Ojili, I.K. (2023). Capital Adequacy and Financial Performance of Deposit Taking Savings and Credit Cooperative Societies in Western Region, Kenya. *American International Journal of Business Management (AIJBM)*, 6(7), 187-193
- Onyango, C. (2018). *Capital Adequacy and Financial Performance of Deposit Taking Savings and Credit Societies in Meru County* (Master Research project, University of Nairobi)
- Onyekwelu, U. L., Chukwuani, V. N., & Onyeka, V. N. (2018). Effect of liquidity on financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 9(4), 19-28.
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2016). *The SACCO Supervision Annual Report, 2015*. Nairobi: Kenya: Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). Retrieved from www.sasra.org.ke.
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2017). *The SACCO Supervision Annual Report, 2016*. Nairobi: Kenya: Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA).
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2018). *The SACCO Supervision Annual Report, 2017*. Nairobi: Kenya: Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA).
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2019). *The SACCO supervision annual report 2018. An annual statutory report on the operations and performance of Deposit-Taking SACCO Societies (DT-SACCOs) in Kenya*. Nairobi, Kenya: SASRA. Retrieved from www.sasra.org.ke
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2020). *The SACCO supervision annual report 2019. An annual statutory report on the operations and performance of Deposit-Taking SACCO Societies (DT-SACCOs) in Kenya*. Nairobi, Kenya: SASRA
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2021). *The SACCO supervision annual report 2020. An annual statutory report on the operations and performance of Deposit-Taking SACCO Societies (DT-SACCOs) in Kenya*. Nairobi, Kenya: SASRA
- Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA). (2022). *The SACCO supervision annual report 2021. An annual statutory report on the operations and performance of Deposit-Taking SACCO Societies (DT-SACCOs) in Kenya*. Nairobi, Kenya: SASRA
- Sharif, S., & Khan, M. N. (2015). Capital Structure Theories and Leverage Behavior of Pakistani Firms. *Journal of Independent Studies and Research*, 13(2), 147-162.
- Shaturaev, J. (2022). *Bad Management Hypotheses Are Demolishing Management Practices*.
- Shibutse, Robert & Kalunda, Elizabeth & Achoki, George. (2019). Effect of Liquidity and Dividend Pay-out on Financial Performance of Deposit Taking SACCOs in Kenya. *Integrated Journal of Business and Economics*. 3(1), 297. 10.33019/ijbe. v3i3.230.
- Sile, N. K., Olweny, T. & Sakwa, M. (2019). Asset quality as a determinant of commercial banks financial performance in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, VII (2), 413-424
- Nyabaga, R. M. & Matanda, J. W. (2020). Effect of Firm Characteristics on Financial Performance of Listed Commercial Banks in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 10(3), 255-262
- Silva, A., Santos, J. F., & Ranciaro Neto, A., (2023). Performance of Brazilian credit unions: An analysis from PEARLS indicators. *Revista de Administração Mackenzie*, 24(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-6971/eRAMR230057.en>.
- Simkhada, N. O. (2107). Indicators for Measuring Performance of Financial Cooperatives in Nepal. *Journal of Business and Management Research*, (2(1), 66-86.
- Sparatt, S. (2013). *Financial regulation in low-income countries. banking growth with stability* (5th ed.). London: Prentice Hall.
- Tan, A. Y. & Floros, C. (2019) Risk, competition and cost efficiency in the Chinese banking industry. *International Journal of Banking, Accounting and Finance*, 10 (2). 144-161.
- Tangdialla, R & Sanda, A. 2021. Analysis of the health level of cooperatives based on the PEARLS indicator in Sibarrungcusauan cooperatives. *Journal of Economix*, 9(1),
- Thisaranga, K.D.I.U & Ariyasena, D.L.M.N.K. (2021). Effect of camel model on bank performance: With special reference to listed commercial banks in Sri Lanka. *International Conference on Business Research*, 3(2021), 188-213.
- Ullah, H. (2019). The Impact of Liquidity, Firm Size and Fixed Assets on the Profitability of Sugar Mills in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business Management*, 4(4): 114-121