

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJDS_123171</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Obscure Fact of Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic Acid (EDTA)</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Review comments</p> <p>Title: title of the article is not appropriate with aim and objectives of the study</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <p>Background: Background is not explaining clearly the need of the study</p> <p>Material &amp; method :explained in abstract is not clear and 10 &amp; 15 min immersion of samples in different irrigants was not explained clearly.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> One of the sentence is repeated twice - The smear layer formed during mechanical instrumentation inhibits the effectiveness of irrigants, medicines, and root canal filling materials in dentinal tubules. 2</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The smear layer created by mechanical instruments reduces the efficacy of irrigants, medications, and root canal filling materials in dentinal tubules.3</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Need of the study is not explained properly.</li> </ul> <p>Material and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Methodology was not clearly explained.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Not mentioned about cross head speed and load applied by UTM.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Commercial names of the products used in the study are not mentioned.</li> </ul> <p>Results &amp; discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis is not explained properly</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Discussion is incomplete due to lack proper reference articles</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Results were not discussed and reason for the results not explained in detail.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Sheetal Ghivari</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Halgekar Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, India</b></p>