

## Original Research Article

# Pharmacognostic Study and Phytochemical profiling of dichloromethane extracts of the leaf and stem of *Vernoniaconferta* Benth. and *Vernoniacolorata* Drake. Asteraceae

---

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The study determines various taxonomic, pharmacognostic and phytochemical standards helpful to ensure the identity, purity, safety and efficacy of *Vernonia colorata* and *Vernonia conferta*.

**Study Design:** To study these two species of *Vernonia* for their epidermal cell shapes, stomatal types and distribution, trichome types, chemomicroscopic and fluorescence characters, flow properties, ash values, extractive solvents and phytoconstituents of dichloromethane fractions using GC-MS.

**Place and Duration:** This study was conducted at the Department of Pharmacognosy and Natural Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Uyo, Uyo from June 2023 to December 2023.

**Methodology:** The pharmacognostic characterization was determined following the guidelines given by the World Health Organization (WHO). Parameters determined included microscopy, powder microscopy, chemomicroscopy, micromeritic properties, ash values, extractive values, fluorescence analysis while phytochemical analysis was done using Gas Chromatography coupled to Mass Spectrometer.

**Results:** Epidermal cell shapes were both irregular with undulate and sinuous anticlinal wall pattern for both *C. colorata* and *C. conferta* respectively. Stomatal distribution was hypostomatic with paracytic stomata on the abaxial surface for *C. conferta* while amphistomatic with anomocytic stomata in *C. colorata*. Stomatal index was highest in *V. conferta* with 27.31 % and least in *V. colorata* 21.52 %. Unicellular trichomes were observed in *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* epidermal surfaces. The chemomicroscopic study revealed the presence of lignin, starch, cellulose, oils, calcium oxalate crystals, mucilage and protein for both leaf and stem respectively. The fluorescence characteristics showed the presence of different colours supporting the presence of various phytoconstituents for both leaf and stem. The quantitative epidermal studies, chemomicroscopic and fluorescence characteristics revealed characteristic features for the drug. The flow properties for both leaf and stem were poor. The total ash values for both leaf and stem ranges between (2.40 – 9.30 and 10.60 – 11.06), acid-insoluble ash values were low, moisture contents were between 8 - 14 %w/w, water and methanol extracted more constituents. In total, 12 chemical constituents each were recorded for the leaves of

*V. colorata* and *V. conferta*, respectively, while 10 and 12 were also recorded for the dichloromethane stem extracts of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta*, respectively.

**Conclusion:** This study is useful in pharmacognostic standardization of *Vernonia colorata* and *Vernonia conferta*.

**Keywords:** *Vernonia conferta*, *Vernonia colorata*, GC-MS, dichloromethane, micromeritic; pharmacogostic.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Most research findings on plant source for medicines end up without the researcher reaching a conclusive indication of the implicated chemical name or structure for the cure claimed connecting to the folklore use. Many researcher stops at just authenticating the claimed folklore use of the crude extract of the plant. According to some estimates, almost 80 % of the present-day medicines are directly or indirectly obtained from medicinal plants [1]. Countries are encouraging the use of plant-based medicinal products in their healthcare systems. For example, Natural Health Product Regulations of Canada for the plant-based product in healthcare encourages usage of modern technology and evidence-based scientific support towards promoting medicinal plants and the associated products [2]. However, a key obstacle, which has hindered the acceptance of the alternative medicines in the developed countries, is the lack of documentation and stringent quality control. There is the need for documentation of research work carried out on traditional medicines [3]. With this background, it becomes extremely important to make an effort towards standardization of the plant material to be used as medicine. The process of standardization can be achieved by stepwise pharmacognostic studies.

Historically, natural products discovered from plants and their derivatives have provided numerous clinically useful medicines. The value of natural products in new drug delivery will continue to be significant in the years to come, mainly because of intrinsic chemical diversity [4].

The genus *Vernonia* named after William Vernon, an English botanist, who first identified this genus in Maryland is one of the largest genus found predominantly in Africa and South America with about 1000 species of herbs and shrubs in the family, Asteraceae [5]. Some species are edible, economically valuable and owing to its large nature, some botanists divided this genus into several distinct genera [6].

*Vernonia colorata* is endemic to tropical and southern African countries. The leaves have are shown to possess some medicinal uses as it has been used in folk medicine to treat diabetes mellitus, cough, fever, hepatitis, gastritis, stomach pain, gastrointestinal disorders, venereal diseases and skin eruptions [7, 8].

*Vernonia conferta* found in Guinea to Southern Nigeria on abandoned farm lands have been also implicated in folkloric medicine as a diuretic, treatment of infections, skin diseases, abdominal pains and jaundice amongst many other usefulness [7]. These two species are used in Akwa Ibom State ethnomedicine for both culinary and medicinal uses and also, are

usually found to be unduly substituted owing to mistaken identity most especially when collection is undertaken by persons who lack the required knowledge of plants' identification thus creating confusion in their ethno botanical applications. It is on this premise that this study was designed to report the detailed pharmacognostic, physicochemical and phytochemical evaluation of the leaf and stem of *Vernoniacolorata* and *Vernoniaconferta*. These established parameters will be useful in complete authentication and standardization of herbal samples from these species, which can guarantee the quality and purity of the drug and maintain its therapeutic efficacy.

## **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **2.1 Collection and Identification of Plants Materials**

The fresh samples of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* were collected in from Uyo and Ikono local governments of Akwa Ibom State, respectively and preserved in FAA (Formalin Acetic Acid). The plants were identified and authenticated by Dr. Imoh I. Johnny in the Department of Pharmacognosy and Natural Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Uyo, and voucher specimens deposited in the herbarium with the numbers "UUPH B16<sub>(1)</sub> and UUPH B17<sub>(1)</sub>" for *C. colorata* and *C. conferta*, respectively. The collected leaves and stems were washed under running tap water, rinsed with distilled water, chopped into pieces, dried under shade at room temperature. The dried leaves and stems were pulverized using Sonik SON electric blender, sieved through 350 micron sieve size and stored in airtight bottles to avoid interference from some natural factors such as moisture, microorganisms, humidity etc. before use.

### **2.2 Microscopic Evaluation of the Leaf**

#### **2.2.1 Qualitative microscopy**

For anatomical studies, the standard median portion of the well expanded matured leaf was obtained. Epidermal peels of both adaxial and abaxial surfaces were made by placing the leaf on a clean glass slide with the surface to be studied facing down. The specimens were irrigated with water holding it downward from one end and then the epidermis above the desired surface was scrapped off carefully with sharp razor blade. The loose cells were then washed off with water and the epidermis was stained in 1 % aqueous solution of safranin-O for 2-3 minutes and washed again in water to remove excess stain and mounted in 10 % glycerol on a glass slide and covered with a glass cover slip before viewing with an Olympus CX21 binocular microscope. Photomicrographs were taken from good preparations using the Olympus CX21 binocular microscope fitted with an MD500 Amscope microscope eyepiece camera. Measurements were done at  $\times 10$  while  $\times 40$  for photomicrographs [9].

#### **2.2.2 Quantitative Microscopy**

Quantitative microscopic parameters such as leaf constant studies including stomatal length and width, stomatal pore length and width, guard cell length and width, stomatal number, stomatal index, epidermal cell length and width, epidermal cell number, epidermal cell thickness, vein islet number, vein termination number, areole length and width and trichome length and width were carried out using standard procedures [9]. All measurements were made using a calibrated ocular micrometer and thirty (30) microscopic fields chosen at random were used and data presented as mean  $\pm$  Standard Error of Mean (SEM).

The stomata index (S.I) was determined using the formula: Stomatal Index (SI) =  $S/E + S \times 100$  Where: S = number of stomata per unit area E = number of epidermal cells in the same area [9].

### **2.2.3 Evaluation of Powders**

Chemomicroscopic studies of the coarse powders of the leaf and stem were carried out to study the microscopical characters as well as their chemomicroscopic [10].

The fluorescent analysis of the studied *Vernonia* dried leaf and stem powders was carried out using the standard method [11]. The physicochemical parameters such as moisture content, ash values (total ash, acid insoluble ash, water soluble ash), soluble extractive values viz. ethanol, ethyl acetate, methanol and water were performed according to the WHO prescribed guidelines on quality control methods for medicinal plant materials [12]. The micromeritic characteristics of leaf and stem powder studying bulk density, tap density, angle of repose, Hausner's ratio, Carr's index and pH were determined according to standard methods [33]. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy was carried out on the crude dichloromethane extracts according to standard methods [8]. All experiments were repeated at least three (3) times except for the quantitative microscopy where thirty (30) determinations were done. Results were reported as Mean  $\pm$  SEM (Standard Error of Mean).

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 Qualitative and Quantitative Microscopy**

The summary of the leaf qualitative and quantitative micro-morphological characters for the abaxial and adaxial surfaces of the leaves of *V.conferta* and *V.conferta* are shown in Tables 1. While the photomicrographs for the venation, abaxial and adaxial surfaces and trichome were presented in Figure 1. The results of the extractive values for the leaf and stem are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The results of the micromeritic were represented in Table 2. Tables 3 and 4 showed results of the fluorescence properties for the leaf and stem of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* while moisture contents and ash values of the leaf and stem of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* are shown in Table 5. Chemomicroscopic result is presented in Table 6. GC-MS analysis of DCM extract for both leaf and stem of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* are represented in Tables 7 – 10 with their chromatographs.

The quantitative anatomical analysis in Table 1 showed that the stomatal length and width were highest in *V. colorata* with  $26.89 \pm 0.85 \mu\text{m}$  and least with  $16.88 \pm 0.41$  on the abaxial surface. Stomatal pore length was highest in *V. colorata* with  $22.39 \pm 0.63 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $9.63 \pm 0.28 \mu\text{m}$ . Guard cell length on the abaxial surface was highest in *V. colorata* with  $14.57 \pm 0.60$  and least on the adaxial surface with  $10.65 \pm 0.31$ . Stomata pore was widest in *V. colorata*  $7.66 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$  and least with  $7.66 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$  on the abaxial and adaxial surfaces respectively. Stomata number was highest in *V. conferta* with  $69.1 \pm 3.03 \mu\text{m}$  on the abaxial and least with  $9.90 \pm 0.78 \mu\text{m}$  on the abaxial of *V. colorata*.  $\mu\text{m}$  respectively. Stomatal index was highest in *V. conferta* with 27.31 % and least in *V. colorata* 21.52 %.

Venation studies revealed that the highest areole length was recorded in *V. colorata* with  $166.09 \pm 1.66 \mu\text{m}$  adaxial surface and least in *V. conferta* with  $45.38 \pm 1.59 \mu\text{m}$  adaxial surface while on the abaxial surface it was highest in *V. colorata* with  $113.69 \pm 5.48 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $106 \pm 9.53 \mu\text{m}$ . Areole width on the adaxial surface was highest in *V. colorata* with  $144.37 \pm 7.99 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $38.12 \pm 0.55 \mu\text{m}$  while on the abaxial surface it was highest in *V. colorata* with  $81.60 \pm 4.87 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $57.60 \pm 8.49 \mu\text{m}$ . Vein termination number on the adaxial surface was highest in *V.*

*colorata* with  $10.90 \pm 0.43 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $2.90 \pm 0.29 \mu\text{m}$  while the abaxial surface it was highest in *V. conferta* with  $5.80 \pm 0.34 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. colorata* with  $2.70 \pm 0.16 \mu\text{m}$ .

The vein islet number on the adaxial surface was highest in *V. colorata* with  $1.90 \pm 0.29 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $1.50 \pm 0.18 \mu\text{m}$  while on the abaxial surface it was highest in *V. colorata* with  $38.40 \pm 2.06 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* with  $2.90 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ .

**Table 1: Quantitative micro-morphological characters for the adaxial and abaxial surface of leaves of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata***

Parameters	Leaf surface	<i>V. conferta</i>	<i>V. colorata</i>
Stomatal Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$26.55 \pm 0.35$
	Abaxial	$25.51 \pm 0.46$	$26.89 \pm 0.85$
Stomatal Width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$16.88 \pm 0.41$
	Abaxial	$12.05 \pm 0.51$	$16.20 \pm 0.78$
Stomatal Pore Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$19.39 \pm 1.45$
	Abaxial	$9.63 \pm 0.28$	$22.39 \pm 0.63$
Stomatal Pore Width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$6.91 \pm 0.16$
	Abaxial	$2.16 \pm 0.59$	$7.66 \pm 0.25$
Stomatal Number	Adaxial	-	$9.9 \pm 0.78$
	Abaxial	$69.1 \pm 3.03$	$36.1 \pm 2.94$
Guard Cell Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$10.65 \pm 0.31$
	Abaxial	$12.31 \pm 0.43$	$14.57 \pm 0.60$
Guard Cell Width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$6.94 \pm 0.18$
	Abaxial	$3.19 \pm 0.92$	$12.72 \pm 0.20$
Stomatal Index (%)	Adaxial	-	-
	Abaxial	27.31	21.52
Length of Trichome ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$58.22 \pm 2.06$
	Abaxial	$84.38 \pm 5.56$	$207.85 \pm 3.09$
Width of Trichome ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	-	$10.72 \pm 0.47$
	Abaxial	$9.89 \pm 0.31$	$19.05 \pm 0.13$
No of Trichome	Adaxial	-	$1.4 \pm 0.17$
	Abaxial	$5.9 \pm 0.55$	$8.5 \pm 0.67$
Epidermal Cell Number	Adaxial	$493.9 \pm 3.17$	$408.20 \pm 2.88$
	Abaxial	$138.9 \pm 1.21$	$258.6 \pm 10.24$
Epidermal Cell Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	$36.12 \pm 1.26$	$36.21 \pm 1.96$
	Abaxial	$47.34 \pm 2.58$	$29.76 \pm 1.46$
Epidermal Cell Width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	$15.39 \pm 0.99$	$22.82 \pm 1.31$
	Abaxial	$14.4 \pm 1.14$	$521.66 \pm 1.66$
Epidermal Cell Wall Thickness	Adaxial	$1.79 \pm 0.64$	$1.98 \pm 0.24$
	Abaxial	$3.02 \pm 0.09$	$4.79 \pm 0.09$

Areole Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	$45.38 \pm 1.59$	$166.09 \pm 3.13$
	Abaxial	$106.67 \pm 9.53$	$113.69 \pm 5.48$
Areole Width ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Adaxial	$38.12 \pm 0.55$	$144.37 \pm 7.99$
	Abaxial	$57.60 \pm 8.49$	$81.60 \pm 4.87$
Vein Termination Number	Adaxial	$2.9 \pm 0.29$	$10.90 \pm 0.43$
	Abaxial	$5.8 \pm 0.34$	$2.7 \pm 0.16$
Vein Islet Number	Adaxial	$1.5 \pm 0.18$	$1.9 \pm 0.29$
	Abaxial	$2.9 \pm 0.25$	$38.40 \pm 2.06$

Mean  $\pm$  SEM of 15 determinations




Figure 1. (A): *C. colorata* Adaxial surface  $\times 10$  (IEC: Irregular Epidermal Cell; AnoS; Anomocytic stomata; AbS; Abnormal stomata; (B): *C. colorata* Abaxial surface  $\times 10$  (IEC: Irregular Epidermal Cell; AnoS; Anomocytic stomata. (C) *C. conferta* Adaxial surface  $\times 4$  (UAWP: Undulate anticlinal wall pattern; IEC; Irregular Epidermal Cell. (D) *C. conferta* Abaxial surface  $\times 10$  (SAWP: Sinuous Anticlinal Wall Pattern; PS; Parasitic stomata; IEC: Irregular Epidermal Cell. (E) *C. conferta* (UT: Unicellular trichome; Bfk: Biforked termination, QAe; Quadrangular aereolation, LT; Linear vein termination. (F) *C. conferta* Abaxial surface  $\times 10$  (UT; Unicellular trichome

### 3.2 Evaluation of Powders

The results of the micromeritics, fluorescence analysis, ash values and chemomicroscopy are summarized in Tables 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively while the extractive values for *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* are presented in Figures 2 and 3, respectively.

### 3.2.1 Micromeritic Evaluation

The powdered samples of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* were evaluated for micromeritic features. The results are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2: Micromeritic evaluation of powdered leaf and stem of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata*.**

Micromeritic Parameters	Plant Part	<i>V. conferta</i>	<i>V. colorata</i>
Bulk Volume (mL)	Leaf	38.33 ± 0.41	48.33 ± 0.40
	Stem	52.00 ± 1.22	66.67 ± 0.41
Tapped Volume (mL)	Leaf	27.67 ± 0.41	36.83 ± 0.74
	Stem	34.67 ± 0.82	46.0 ± 0.71
Bulk Density (g/mL)	Leaf	0.26 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 2.40
	Stem	0.19 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.00
Tapped Density (g/mL)	Leaf	0.36 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.00
	Stem	0.28 ± 0.00	0.21 ± 0.00
Hausner Ratio	Leaf	1.38 ± 0.01	1.33 ± 0.02
	Stem	1.52 ± 0.07	1.49 ± 0.06
Carr's Index (%)	Leaf	28.01 ± 2.73	25.03 ± 1.18
	Stem	32.47 ± 3.39	32.8 ± 2.78
Diameter of Heap (cm)	Leaf	6.88 ± 0.04	7.31 ± 0.04
	Stem	7.3 ± 0.21	8.08 ± 0.08
Height of Heap (cm)	Leaf	2.03 ± 0.11	2.9 ± 0.07
	Stem	3.2 ± 0.14	2.37 ± 0.12
Flow Time (sec)	Leaf	18.67 ± 1.01	33.33 ± 1.78
	Stem	99.6 ± 26.46	33.0 ± 1.41
Flow Rate (g/sec)	Leaf	1.25	0.33
	Stem	3.61	0.29
Angle of Repose (°)	Leaf	30.54	38.44
	Stem	41.21	30.28

Mean ± SEM (Standard Error of Mean) of Three (3) Replicate

### 3.2.2 Fluorescence Analysis of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* Leaf and Stem powder

Fluorescence characteristic of powdered leaf and stem *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* were observed for the resolution of doubtful specimen. They were observed in visible light, short and long ultra-violet light (Table 3 – 4).

**Table 3: Fluorescence analysis of *V. conferta* leaf and stem powder**

Reagent	Sample	Visible light	Under UV light Short Wave length (253.7 nm)	Under UV light Long Wave length (365 nm)
Sample acetate	Leaf	Green	Orange	Red
	Stem	Colorless	Red	Light red
Sample Methanol	Leaf	Green	Maroon	Red

	Stem	Light Brown	Light pink	Greenish brown
Sample + Water	Leaf	Light yellow	Yellowish green	Yellow
	Stem	Brown	Light orange	Orange
Sample +n-hexane	Leaf	Brown	Bright yellow	Red
	Stem	Colorless	Yellow	Brown
Sample + Ethanol	Leaf	Green	Red	Red
	Stem	Light brown	Light brown	Greenish brown

**Table 4: Fluorescence analysis of *V. colorata* leaf and stem powder**

Reagent	Sample	Visible light	Under UV light Short length (253.7 nm)	Under UV light Long length (365 nm)
Sample + Ethyl acetate	Leaf	Green	Red	Orange
	Stem	Colorless	Maroon	Light red
Sample + Methanol	Leaf	Green	Maroon	Pink
	Stem	Ligt green	Light pink	Greenish brown
Sample + Water	Leaf	Light pink	Yellowish green	Orange
	Stem	Brown	Light orange	Orange
Sample +n-hexane	Leaf	Grey	Bright yellow	Red
	Stem	Colorless	Yellow	Brown
Sample + Ethanol	Leaf	Green	Pink	Red
	Stem	Maroon	Light brown	Greenish brown

### **3.2.3 Ash values and Moisture Content Evaluation**

The moisture content and ash values for the leaf and stem of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* were evaluated and the results are summarized in Table 5.

**Table 5: Moisture Contents and Ash values of the leaf and stem of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta***

Test	<i>V. colorata</i>		<i>C. conferta</i>	
	Leaf	Stem	Leaf	Stem
Moisture content	12.3	4.45	3.0	15.8
Total ash	2.40	10.60	9.3	11.06
Water-soluble ash	1.19	8.20	3.96	3.87

Acid-insoluble ash      1.45      2.43      0.85      6.55

Value is represented in average % w/w

### 3.2.4 Chemomicroscopic Evaluation

The chemomicroscopic studies for the leaf and stem of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata* were evaluated and the results are summarized in Table 6.


**Table 6: Chemomicroscopic evaluation of the leaf and stem of *V. conferta* and *V. colorata***

Test	<i>V. conferta</i>		<i>V. colorata</i>	
	Leaf	Stem	Leaf	Stem
Lignin	+	+	+	+
Starch	+	+	+	+
Cellulose	+	+	+	+
Oils	+	+	+	+
Calcium oxalate crystals	-	-	-	-
Mucilage	+	+	+	+
Protein	+	+	+	+
Cutin	+	+	+	+


Key: + = Present, - = Absent

### 3.2.5 Solvents Extractive values

Figures 2 and 3 are the results of the extractive study on the leaf and stem of *V. colorata* and *v. conferta*



**Figure 2: Extractive values for leaf and stem of *V. colorata***



**Figure 3: Extractive values for leaf and stem of *V. conferta***

### 3.2.6 Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis of Dichloromethane Leaf and Stem Extracts of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta*.

The GC-MS analysis of the collected samples of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* confirmed the presence of various phytochemical constituents in the dichloromethane leaf and stem extracts. The constituents and their retention time (RT), compound name, molecular formula, molecular weight, percentage area (%) and class of constituents in the dichloromethane extracts of the *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* studied are presented in Tables 7 – 10. The results on the GC-MS chromatogram of the ethanol extracts led to identification of various compound on comparison with the National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST) library.

In total, 12 chemical constituents each were recorded for the leaves of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* respectively, while 10 and 12 were also recorded for the dichloromethane stem extracts of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta*, respectively.

File : C:\msdchem\1\data\vcolongaleaf.D  
 Operator : ae  
 Acquired : 13 oct 2023 12:43 using AcqMethod SCAN.M  
 Instrument : GCMSD  
 Sample Name: v colongaleaf  
 Misc Info :  
 Vial Number: 3




Figure 4: Chromatogram of the dichloromethane leaf extract of *V. colorata*

Table 7: Phytochemical composition of dichloromethane leaf extract of *V. colorata* by GC-MS analysis.

S/NO	Retention Time	Compound	Molecular Formular	Mol. Weight	Concentration (%)	Chemical Class
	5.079	n-Hexadecanoic acid	$C_{16}H_{32}O_2$	256	16.349	Fatty Acid
	7.832	l-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate	$C_{38}H_{68}O_8$	652	7.273	Ester
	7.872	Isopropyl palmitate	$C_{19}H_{38}O_2$	298	8.438	Fatty Acid Ester
	7.958	Hexadecanoic acid, 1,1-dimethyl-1,2-ethanediyl ester	$C_{36}H_{70}O_4$	566	10.297	Fatty Acid Ester
	8.038	Hexadecanoic acid, 1-tetradecyl-1,2-ethanediyl ester	$C_{48}H_{94}O_4$	734	14.635	Fatty Acid Ester
	8.181	Hexadecanoic acid, 1,3-propanediyl ester	$C_{35}H_{68}O_4$	552	14.075	Fatty Acid Ester
	8.261	Cycloheptasiloxane, tetradecamethyl-	$C_{14}H_{42}O_7Si$	518	7.840	Organosilicon Compound
	9.651	7,9-Di-tert-butyl-1-oxaspiro(4,5)deca-6,9-diene-2,8-dione	$C_{17}H_{24}O_3$	276	3.417	Organic Compound
	9.691	13-Docosen-1-ol, (Z)-	$C_{22}H_{44}O$	324	5.145	Alcohol
	9.754	Z-15-Octadecen-1-ol acetate	$C_{20}H_{38}O_2$	310	2.383	Ester

10.189	Hexacosane, 13-dodecyl-	C <sub>38</sub> H <sub>78</sub>	534	7.406	Hydrocarbon
10.681	Tetracosane, 9-octyl-	C <sub>32</sub> H <sub>66</sub>	450	2.742	Hydrocarbon

File : C:\msdchem\1\data\vcolongastem.D  
 Operator : ae  
 Acquired : 13 oct 2023 11:02 using AcqMethod SCAN.M  
 Instrument : GCMSD  
 Sample Name: v colongastem  
 Misc Info :  
 Vial Number: 1




Figure 5: Chromatogram of the dichloromethane stem extract of *V. colorata*

Table 8: Phytochemical composition of dichloromethane stem extract of *V. colorata* by GC-MS analysis

S/NO	Retention Time	Compound	Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight	Concentration (%)	Chemical Class
	3.958	Ethanol, 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)-	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	162	24.831	Alcohol
	5.257	Tricaproin	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	386	14.066	Flavonoid
	5.760	Pentanoic acid, 2-methyl-, anhydride	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	214	2.352	Organic Acid Anhydride
	6.739	Hexanoic acid, 2,4-dimethyl-, methyl ester, (2DL,4L)-	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	158	19.626	Fatty Acid Ester
	7.534	Octadec-9-en-1-al dimethyl acetal	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>40</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	312	24.831	Aldehyde
	7.740	Z-11-Pentadecenal	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O	224	1.166	Aldehyde
	7.923	Docosane, 10-ethyl-10-propyl-	C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>56</sub>	380	2.478	Hydrocarbon

8.020	Ethyl 9,12,15-octadecatrienoate	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	306	1.824	Ester
8.175	11-(3-Ethenylcyclopentyl)undec-10-enoic acid, ethyl ester	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	306	3.193	Ester
8.724	Androsta-5,7-dien-3-ol, 17-(1-methylpent-4-ynyl)-, benzoate	C <sub>32</sub> H <sub>40</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	456	3.351	Steroid
9.148	Androst-5-ene-3,17-diol, 17-methyl-, dipropionate, (3β,17β)-	C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>40</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	416	1.580	Steroid
10.052	Androst-5-ene-3,17-diol, 17-methyl-, dipropionate, (3β,17β)-	C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>40</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	416	0.700	Steroid

File :C:\msdchem\1\data\confetaleaf.D  
 Operator : ae  
 Acquired : 31 Oct 2023 23:20 using AcqMethod SCAN.M  
 Instrument : GCMSD  
 Sample Name: V confeta leaf  
 Misc Info :  
 Vial Number: 4





Figure 6: Chromatogram of the dichloromethane leaf extract of *V. conferta*

Table 9: Phytochemical composition of dichloromethane leaf extract of *V. conferta* by GC-MS analysis

S/N	Retention Time	Compounds	Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight	Concentration (%)	Chemical Class
1	5.343	Benzene, 1,1'-(oxydi-2,1-ethanediyl)bis[3-ethyl-	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O	282	4.327	Alkaloid
2	5.623	Benzaldehyde, 2-ethyl-	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O	134	21.504	Alkaloid

3	6.882	1-Piperidinyloxy, 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>18</sub> NO	156	8.797	Alkaloid
4	7.414	1-Nonene	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>18</sub>	126	27.600	Alkaloid
5	7.534	Cyclopropane, heptyl-2-methyl-	1- C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	154	12.593	Alkaloid
6	7.603	Divinyl sulfide	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S	86	9.298	Alkaloid
7	7.700	Benzofuran, dihydro-	2,3- C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O	120	11.887	Alkaloid
8	7.763	Indole	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>7</sub> N	117	0.972	Alkaloid
9	7.849	Hydrocinnamic acid	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	150	1.917	Flavonoid
10	11.969	2,4-Heptanedione	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	128	1.105	Alkaloid

File :C:\msdchem\1\data\1.D vconfetastem  
 Operator : ae  
 Acquired : 13 oct 2023 12:02 using AcqMethod SCAN.M  
 Instrument : GCMSD  
 Sample Name:v confetastem  
 Misc Info :  
 Vial Number: 2




**Figure 7: Chromatogram of the dichloromethane stem extract of *V. conferta***


**Table 10: Phytochemical composition of dichloromethane stem extract of *V. conferta* by GC-MS analysis**

S/N	Retention Time	Compounds	Molecular formula	Molecular weight	Concentration (%)	Chemical Class
1	2.539	Pentane, 3-methyl-	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>14</sub>	86	3.369	Alkaloid
2	5.371	Hexane, 2,2,4-trimethyl-	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>20</sub>	128	1.511	Alkaloid
3	5.675	Benzene, 1,1'-(1,1,10,10-tetramethyl-	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>46</sub>	406	13.277	Alkaloid

		1,10-decanediyl)bis[3,4-dimethyl-					
4	5.760	Benzoic acid, 4-propyl-, 4-cyanophenyl ester	$C_{17}H_{15}NO_2$	265	9.605		Alkaloid
5	6.499	Divinyl sulphone	$C_4H_6O_2S$	118	17.943		Alkaloid
6	6.716	Benzofuran, 2,3-dihydro-2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-	$C_{12}H_{16}O$	176	3.931		Alkaloid
7	6.745	Butanol, 1-[2,2,3,3-tetramethyl-1-(3-methyl-1-penynyl)cyclopropyl]-	$C_{17}H_{30}O$	250	5.644		Alkaloid
8	6.785	2,6-Nonadienoic acid, 7-ethyl-9-(3-ethyl-3-methyloxiranyl)-3-methyl-, methyl ester, [2R-[2 $\alpha$ (2E,6E),3 $\alpha$ ]]-	$C_{18}H_{30}O_3$	294	17.943		Alkaloid
9	7.122	9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, 2-(acetyloxy)-1-[(acetyloxy)methyl]ethyl ester, (Z,Z,Z)-	$C_{25}H_{40}O_6$	436	17.609		Alkaloid
10	7.157	Hydrocinnamic acid	$C_9H_{10}O_2$	150	5.127		flavonoid
11	11.437	Doconexent	$C_{22}H_{32}O_2$	328	2.608		Fatty acid
12	12.547	1H-Pyrrol-2(5H)-one, 4-benzyloxycarbonylmethoxy-3-benzyloxycarbonylmethyl-	$C_{22}H_{21}NO_6$	395	1.433		Alkaloid




2, 6-Nonadienoic acid, 7-ethyl-9-(3-ethyl-3-methyloxiranyl)-3-methyl-, methyl ester, [2R-[2 $\alpha$ (2E,6E),3 $\alpha$ ]]-




n-Hexadecanoic acid

Benzaldehyde,2-ethyl


1-Nonene




Cyclopropane, 1-heptyl-2-methyl-  
decanediyl)bis[3,4-dimethyl-




Benzene, 1,1'-(1,1,10,10-tetramethyl-1,10-  
decanediyl)bis[3,4-dimethyl-




Divinyl sulphone  
ester, (Z,Z,Z)-



9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, 2-(acetyloxy)-1-[(acetyloxy)methyl]ethyl  
ester, (Z,Z,Z)-



Hydrocinnamic acid



Doconexent (Omega 3 fatty acid)

**Figure 8: Structures of some abundant phytochemical constituent in the GC-MS analysis**

This study assessed variations of the leaf characters of two species of *Vernonia* using a set of diagnostic characters. Leaf morphometric analysis has been used in order to characterize and elucidate intraspecific variants and morphotypes. The epidermal cell shapes from the abaxial and adaxial surfaces were mostly irregular with undulate to sinuous anticlinal wall pattern. Similar study was reported on 14 *Vernonia* species [13]. Epidermal surfaces of the studied *Vernonia* revealed a number of micromorphological characters and these features showed interesting interspecific variations which were of diagnostic importance for delimitation and identification. The occurrence of undulate walls in the studied *Vernonia* species (*V. conferta* and *V. colorata*) surfaces agreed with the suggestion that undulate (curved) wall is a mesomorphic character and that environmental conditions such as

humidity play significant role in determining the pattern of anticlinal cell walls [14]. Epidermal cell shape was mostly polygonal with a few that were sinuous. Anticlinal wall patterns were mostly straight with a few that were undulate/curved or wavy/undulate. The taxonomic value of the leaf epidermal characters is well documented [15,16,17]. It was earlier noted that the epidermal cells of *V. cinerea* and *V. amygdalina* are slightly irregular to polygonal with wavy or undulating anticlinal walls on the adaxial surface and sinuous anticlinal walls on the abaxial surface [18].

Stomata in the study were hypostomatic for *V. conferta* and amphistomatic for *V. colorata* which is considered a character of unification and distinctive feature for these sets of *Vernonia*. Another study distinguished *Waltheria indica* from other species using the presence of paracytic stomata which was diagnostic [19]. Stomata was variable in the study on 14 species; *Vernonia amygdalina*, *Vernonia calvoana*, *Vernonia camporum*, *V. glabra* var. *occidentalis* and *Vernoniamigeodii* were amphistomatic whereas four others (*Vernonia glabra*, *Vernoniaglabra* var. *occidentalis*, *Vernonia guineense* and *Vernonia tenoreana*) were hypostomatic [13]. All other taxa studied possessed stomata at either the adaxial or abaxial surfaces. Parasitic and anomocytic stomatal complex type were reported for *V. conferta* and *V. colorata*, respectively. Also, the presence of parasitic stomata in *V. myriantha* which supports these findings was earlier reported [20]. Also, different shapes of epidermal cells, the type of arrangement of stomata, size and shape as well as trichomes are all vital in systematic botany [20]. Munir *et al*, [17] reported that the foliar epidermis is one of the fundamental taxonomic characters from biosystematics point of view and taxonomic studies of a number of plant families are made on the basis of leaf epidermis anatomy. Leaf epidermal features like the shape of epidermal cells, stomata and trichomes are useful anatomical tools. Unicellular trichome was reported in the abaxial and adaxial surface of *V. conferta*. The presence of multicellular trichome in *V. myriantha* and stomatal distribution of amphistomatic in *V. colorata*. *V. camporum*, *V. calvoana*, *V. amygdalina* and *V. migiodii* were also reported [13]. Unicellular trichomes were observed in *V. conferta* and were sparsely distributed. Studies have shown that trichome types can be used as a classificatory tool [21]

Quantitative microscopy was used to study some microscopic characters in the samples. The longest and widest stomata were found in *V. colorata* abaxial surface and *V. colorata* adaxial surface with  $16.88 \pm 0.41 \mu\text{m}$  and  $16.20 \pm 0.78 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively. The longest stomatal pore was found in *V. colorata* abaxial surface with  $22.39 \pm 0.63 \mu\text{m}$  and least in *V. conferta* abaxial surface with  $9.63 \pm 0.28 \mu\text{m}$  while the widest stomatal pore was found in *V. colorata* abaxial surface with  $7.66 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$  and the least in *V. conferta* abaxial surface with  $2.16 \pm 0.59 \mu\text{m}$  and this agrees to earlier study [20]. Study also delimited *Althaea* and *Alcea*, the two closely related genera in the family Malvaceae using anatomical sizes of the epidermal cells [22]. *V. conferta* had the highest stomata number ( $69.10 \pm 3.03$ ) abaxial surface and the least was found in *V. colorata* adaxial surface ( $9.90 \pm 0.78$ ). The occurrence of more stomata on the abaxial surface is an adaptation to water loss as this signifies a coping strategy to survive drought [23]. The longest and widest guard cell was recorded in *V. colorata* abaxial as  $14.47 \pm 0.60 \mu\text{m}$  and  $12.72 \pm 0.200 \mu\text{m}$  respectively while the least was recorded in *V. colorata* adaxial surface and *V. conferta* abaxial surfaces, respectively.

Stomatal index may be used as a diagnostic feature as it was highest in *V. conferta* abaxial surface (27.31 %) and the least in *V. colorata* abaxial surface (21.52 %) as recorded in Table 4. reported that stomatal index and the guard cell provide values that will serve as parameters for comparison among taxa, which can be useful for identification of the studied taxa. A study on three species of *Acalypha* occurring in Nigeria also reported that variation in stomata index and guard cell areas are useful diagnostic tools [25].

Venation pattern is useful in the number of vein termination number, vein islet number, average areole length and width for delimiting the studied species of *Vernonia*. The vein

termination number was highest in *V. colorata* adaxial and lowest in *V. colorata* abaxial surface ( $2.70 \pm 0.16$ ). *V. conferta* had quadrangular areolation. The longest and widest areole was found in *V. colorata* adaxial surface as  $166.09 \pm 3.13 \mu\text{m}$  and  $144.37 \pm 7.99$ , while the least was recorded in *V. conferta* adaxial surface with  $45.38 \pm 1.59 \mu\text{m}$  and  $38.12 \pm 0.55 \mu\text{m}$ . The appearance and characteristics of the areoles are termed areolation [19]. Foliar venation has been used to provide insight into relationship within the subfamilies and tribes [19].

The moisture contents in the study showed that all fell within the African pharmacopoeia limit of 8 - 14 % w/w for vegetable drug which shows their relatedness except for the stem of *V. conferta* with 15.80 %w/w (Table 5) thus implying that the powdered sample cannot be stored for a long period and would easily be attacked by microbes. High moisture content is uneconomical, and in the presence of suitable temperature could lead to enzymatic activation and hydrolytic reactions as well as proliferation of microbial growth which may ultimately lead to degradation of active constituents [26].

The total ash values for both leaf and stem ranges between (2.40 – 9.30 and 10.60 – 11.06), respectively as shown in Table 5. The European pharmacopoeia limit of total ash value for crude vegetable drugs range should not exceed 14 % w/w [24]. From the result of the study, the total ash value of the leaf and stem were found to be within acceptable limit. Ash value is an indicative of the impurities present in the drug since it is constant for a given drug. Therefore, the values obtained are one of the important diagnostic parameters of the drug as the index of purity which may be used in the delimitation and identification of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* to avoid adulteration [27].

Acid-insoluble ash values of the studied *Vernonia* were low ranging from 0.85 – 6.55 in Table 5 showed that a minute amount of the inorganic component is insoluble in acid. It indicates that adulteration of raw ingredients by substances, such as silica was less, and a low acid-insoluble ash value may also affect the amount of the component absorbed in the gastrointestinal canal when taken orally in drug after herbal formulation. The results recorded for the acid-insoluble ash shows their relatedness.

The result of the extractive values of water, methanol and ethanol showed high extractives in the leaf and stem powdered samples (Figure 2 and 3) while the least extractive was recorded in n-hexane for both leaf and stem ranging between 0.44 – 1.01 %w/w and this aligns in agreement with the a previous work [28]. Despite the fact that water as solvent of extraction was better, and is a universal solvent and mostly used as a primarily solvent by traditional healers, alcohol is still given preference in terms of choice of solvent when it comes to medicinal plant researches most especially where preservation is needed. The choice of solvent in research involving plants depend on so many factors among which include the diversity of different phytochemicals to be extracted and also what is intended with the extract. The differences in the extractive values might be caused by the distinct cellular structures of and/or the different dimensions of cells [29].

Angle of repose for the leaf powders of *V. colorata* were  $30.54^\circ$  -  $38.44^\circ$  while that of the stem powders were  $30.28^\circ$  -  $41.21^\circ$ , respectively as seen in Table 2 implies that all had a poor flow rate. The Hausner ratio and Carr's Index are parameters that are used to determine the powder flow property and powder characteristics. Hausner ratio values less than 1.25 indicate good flow while those greater than 1.25 indicates stand for poor flow [30]. From the study, Hausner ratio and Carr's index of both the leaf and stem were greater than 1.25 and 23 %, respectively and hence the poor flow property of the powders. This outcome may be as a result of moisture contents, temperature, particle size, particle shape (texture) and time of storage at rest that are usually linked to powder's flowability [32].

The angle of repose is considered to be the most classical technique used for characterizing the flow properties of powders. Angle of repose is a characteristic related to interparticulate friction or resistance to movement between particles [30].

Chemomicroscopic studies of the powdered leaves and stems of the studied *Vernonia* were found to have cellulose cell wall, lignin, starch, oil, protein and mucilage except calcium oxalate crystal which was absent in the leaves and stems respectively. The structures, chemical reactions and colour changes are most valuable in the identification of powdered drug as their identification is largely based on the form, the presence or absence of certain cell wall types and cell inclusions. Most of these cell wall materials such as cellulose, lignin and cutin perform the functions of protection, insulation, strengthening and reinforcing vascular plants without which they will topple over [31].

The results of the fluorescence analysis of the powdered leaf and stem of the studied *Vernonia* species when viewed in visible light and under UV light showed different colours ranging from green, light green, brown, red, pink, orange, yellow etc. at both short wavelengths (253 nm) and long wavelength (365 nm). This is due to the presence of different phytochemical constituents in the plant material [32]. Some phytochemicals fluoresce when illuminated. The fluorescence colour is specific for each compound (Tables 3 and 4). The fluorescent method is adequately sensitive and it enables the accurate assessment of the analyte over a satisfactory concentration range without several time-consuming dilution steps prior to analysis of pharmaceutical samples. The studied *Vernonia* species possessed various phytochemicals which explains the use of the plants for treating different ailments. They have the potential of providing useful drugs for human use.

In recent times, in addition to morphological markers, anatomical, cytological, biochemical and molecular markers, GC-MS maybe a valuable tool using suggested identified phytochemicals to categorize taxa and bring about delimitation [33].

In total, 12 chemical constituents each were recorded for the leaves of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* respectively, while 10 and 12 were also recorded for the dichloromethane stem extracts of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta*, respectively. Of all the suggested phytochemical constituents identified, the most prevailing constituents were n-Hexadecanoic acid, Hexadecanoic acid, 1-tetradecyl-1,2-ethanediyl ester, Hexadecanoic acid, 1,3-propanediyl ester, Benzaldehyde, 2-ethyl-, 1-Nonene and Cyclopropane, 1-heptyl-2-methyl- for the leaves of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* respectively.

The major components that delimited the leaves of the species were as follows; In *V. colorata* was n-Hexadecanoic acid (16.349%), Isopropyl palmitate (8.438%), Hexadecanoic acid, 1,1-dimethyl-1,2-ethanediyl ester (10.297 %), Octadec-9-en-1-al dimethyl acetal (24.831 %); in *V. conferta*; Benzaldehyde, 2-ethyl- (21.504 %), 1-Nonene (27.600 %), 4-Benzofuran, 2,3-dihydro- (11.887 %), Benzaldehyde, 2-ethyl- (21.504 %), Hydrocinnamic acid (1.917 %) The major chemical components that delimited the species based on stem were as follows; In *V. colorata*, Ethanol, 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)- (22.4 %), 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural (24.831 %), Tricaproin (14.066 %) and Octadec-9-en-1-al dimethyl acetate (24.831 %), Octadec-9-en-1-al dimethyl acetal (24.831 %); in *V. conferta*; Divinyl sulphone (17.943 %), 2,6-Nonadienoic acid, 7-ethyl-9-(3-ethyl-3-methyloxiranyl)-3-methyl-, methyl ester, [2R-[2 $\alpha$ (2E,6E),3 $\alpha$ ]- (17.943 %), 9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, 2-(acetyloxy)-1-[(acetyloxy)methyl]ethyl ester, (Z,Z,Z)- (17.609 %), 4H Hydrocinnamic acid (5.127 %); These were the most abundant phytoconstituents in the leaves and stems of the studied *Vernonia*.

The suggested phytochemicals; n-Hexadecanoic acid (fatty acid) was reported as anti-ageing, analgesic, antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antidermatitic, antileukemic, anticancer, vasodilator, anticoronary, antiulcerogenic and antibronchitic in action. Pentanoic acid, 2-methyl-anhydride (Organic Acid Anhydride) as anticonvulsant. Hydrocinnamic acid

(flavonoid) was reported as antioxidant, antitumor, antimicrobial and antidiabetic [34]. Hexadecanoic acid (fatty acid) reported as antimicrobial and chemopreventive [37]. Hexadecanoic acid, 1-tetradecyl-1,2-ethanediyl ester (Fatty acid Ester) reported to possess antioxidant, antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory activities [35]. Tricaporin (flavonoid) also reported to possess anticancer properties [36]. Benzofuran, 2,3-dihydro- (alkaloid) reported to possess several biological properties such as anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antifungal, antihyperglycemic, analgesic, antiparasitic and antitumor activities [38, 39].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The current investigation revealed pharmacognostic features, physicochemical and phytochemical properties of *V. colorata* and *V. conferta*. These parameters could be useful in the preparation of the herbal section of proposed Nigerian Pharmacopoeia. Any crude drug which is claimed to be *V. colorata* and *V. conferta* but whose characters significantly deviate from the accepted standard above would then be rejected as contaminated, adulterated or faked. The high content of polyphenolic secondary metabolites (alkaloids and flavonoids) in the studied *Vernonia* species attest to their uses in ethnomedicine.

#### CONSENT

Not applicable

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

Not applicable

#### REFERENCES

1. Ghani A. Medicinal plants of Bangladesh: Chemical constituents and uses. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Asiatic Society of Bangladesh. 1998; 89.
2. Tomlinson TR, Akerele O. Medicinal plants: their role in health and biodiversity. University of Pennsylvania Press. Philadelphia. 2015; 67 – 78.
3. Dahanukar SA, Kulkarni RA, Rege NN. Pharmacology of medicinal plants and natural products. Indian Journal of Pharmacology. 2000; 32:81-118.
4. Harvey AL, Edrada-Ebel R, Quinn RJ. The re-emergence of natural products for drug discovery in the genomics era. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2015; 14(2):111-29.
5. Keeley SC, Jones SB. Distribution of the pollen types in *Vernonia* (Vernonieae: Asteraceae). Systematic Botany. 1979; 4:195–202.
6. Quattrocchi, U. CRC World Dictionary of Plant Names. New York: Routledge. 2000; 49-64.
7. Hutchings A, Scott AH, Lewis G, Cunningham A. Zulu Medicinal plants: an inventory. University of Natal Press, Pietermaritzburg. 1996; 130: 43–53.

8. Merlin NJ, Parthasarathy V, Manavalan R, Kumaravel S. Chemical investigation of aerial parts of *Gmelina asiatica* Linn by GC–MS. *Pharmacognosy Research*. 2009; 1:152–156.
9. Killedar GS, Harianth N, Sameer J, Nadaf S, Karade R. Phytochemical potential of *Memecyclon umbellatum*. Burm. leaf extracts. *Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics*. 2014; 4(2):30-5.
10. Evans WC. *Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy*. 16th edition. Elsevier Ltd. United Kingdom. 2009; 560-70.
11. Kumar D, Gupta J, Kumar S, Arya R, Kumar T, Gupta G. Pharmacognostic evaluation of *Cayratia trifolia* (Linn.) leaf. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. 2012; 2(1):6-10.
12. Khandelwal KR. *Practical pharmacognosy techniques and experiments*. New Delhi. Nirali Prakashan. 2002;115-163.
13. Nwakanma NMC, Adekoya KO, Kadiri AB, Oboh BO. Leaf epidermal features in 14 species of *Vernonia*. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Science*. 2018; 9(1): 59-73.
14. Stace CA. Cuticular studies as an aid to plant taxonomy. *Bulletin of British Museum (Natural History) Bot.* 1965; 4: 3-78.
15. Jayeola AA, Thorpe JR, Adenegan JA. Macromorphological and micromorphological studies of the West African *Rhizophora* L. *Feddes Repertorium*. 2001; 112: 349-356.
16. Adedeji O, Illoh HC. Comparative foliar anatomy of ten species in the genus *Hibiscus* Linn. in Nigeria. *New Botanist*. 2004; 31: 147-80.
17. Munir M, Khan MA, Ahmad M, Abbasi AM, Zafar M, Khan KY, Tariq K, Tabassum S, Ahmed SN, Habiba U, Bano A. Taxonomic potential of foliar epidermal anatomy among wild culinary vegetables of Pakistan. *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*. 2011; 5(13): 2857–62.
18. Adedeji O, Jewoola OA. Importance of leaf epidermal characters in the Asteraceae family. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*. 2008; 36(2): 7-16.
19. Metcalfe CR, Chalk L. *Anatomy of the dicotyledons*. 2nd edition. Vol. 1. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 1979; 806.
20. Nwachukwu CU, Mbagwu FN. Morphological features in some species of *Indigofera* L. (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae). *J. Fish. Int.* 2006; 1: 50-54.
21. Adedeji O, ajuwon OY, Babawale OO. Foliar Epidermal Studies, Organographic Distribution and Taxonomic Importance of Trichomes in the Family Solanaceae. *International Journal of Botany*. 2007; 3(3): 34-8.
22. Shaheen N, Khan MA, Yasmin G, Hayat MQ, Munsif S, Ahmad K. Foliar Epidermal Anatomy and pollen morphology of the genera *Alcea* and *Althaea* (Malvaceae) from Pakistan. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2010; 12(3): 329-34.
23. Aworinde DO, Ogundairo BO, Erinoso SM. Comparative leaf architectural studies of some *Vernonia* SCHREB (Asteraceae) in Nigeria. *Current Botany*. 2013; 4: 43-47.
24. *European Pharmacopoeia*. *Pharmacopoeial limits of crude drugs*. Strasbourg: Council of Europe. 2007; 6: 124-164.

25. Essiett UA, Etukudo IS. Leaf epidermal studies of three species of *Acalypha* Linn. (Euphorbiaceae). *Advances in Applied Science Research*. 2012; 3(5): 3185–99.
26. African pharmacopoeia. General method of analysis Pharmacopoeia 1986; 11: 121-208.
27. Lalitharani S, Mohan VR, Maruthupandian A. Pharmacognostic investigations on *Bulbophyllum albidum* (Wight) Hook. f. *International Journal of Pharm Tech Research*. 2011; 3(1): 556-562.
28. Ajazuddin SS. (solvents extraction on phytochemical components and biological activities of Tunisian date seeds (var. Korkobbi and Arechti). 2010). Evaluation of physicochemical and phytochemical properties of Safoof-E-Sana, a Unani polyherbal formulation. *Pharmacognosy research*. 2(5): 318-322.
29. Thouri A, Chahdoura H, El Arem A, Hichri AO, Hassin RB, Achour L. Effect of BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2017; 17:248.
30. Mbah CC, Builders PF, Akuodor GC, Kunle OO. Pharmaceutical characterization of *Bridelia ferruginea* Benth (Euphorbiaceae). *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 2012; 11(4):637- 644.
31. Graca J, Cabral V, Santos S, Lamosa P, Serra O, Molinas M. Partial depolymerization of genetically modified potato tuber periderm reveals intermolecular linkages in suberin polyester. *Phytochemistry*. 2015; 117: 209-19
32. Li Q, Rudolph V, Weigl B, Earl A. Interparticle van der Waals force in powder flowability and compactibility. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 2004;280:77– 93.
33. Wu H, Li C, Li Z, Liu R, Zhang A, Xiao Z, Ma L, Li J, Deng S. Simultaneous extraction of oil and tea saponin from *Camellia oleifera* Abel.seeds under subcritical water conditions. *Fuel Process. Technol*. 2018; 174:88–94.
34. Dias C, Moore KT, Murphy J, Ariyawansa J, Smith W, Mills RM, Weir MR. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of single-dose rivaroxaban in chronic hemodialysis. *American Journal Nephrology*. 2016; 43(4):229-36.
35. Sheela, D. and Uthayakumari, F. GC-MS analysis of bioactive constituents from coastal sand Dune taxon-*Sesuvium portulacastrum*. *Bioscience Discovery*. 2013; 4. 47-53.
36. Mir SA, Dar A, Hamid L, Nisar N, Malik JA ,Ali T, Bader GN. Flavonoids as promising molecules in the cancer therapy: An insight. *Current research in Pharmacology and Drug Discovery*. 2024; 6: 1000167
37. Devi J, Muthu AK. Hypolipidemic effect of ethanolic extract from whole plant of *Lactuca runcinata* (Dc.) in atherogenic dietinduced hyperlipidemic rats. *Afr. J. Pharm. Pharmacol*. 2015; 9: 1080 – 87.
38. Habtemariam S. Antiinflammatory activity of the antirheumatic herbal drug, gravel root (*Eupatoriumpurpureum*): further biological activities and constituents. *Phytotherapy Res*. 2001; 15(8): 687-690.
39. Wrobel D, Boguta A and Ion, Rodica-Mariana. Mixtures of synthetic organic dyes in a photoelectrochemical cell. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*. 2001; 138. 7-22.