

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJHR_122957</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Indian Agri-Export doubling by natural farming management in horticulture &amp; Africa focus</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study clearly states the aims and provides a good overview of the study's purpose. However, the connection between doubling agricultural exports and doubling farmers' income could be made more explicit at the outset to emphasize the study's significance. The study does not discuss any limitations of the study or suggest areas for future research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Indian Agri-Export Doubling by Natural Farming Management in Horticulture & Africa Focus" provides a good indication of the key themes of the study but could be refined for clarity and impact. Here are a few suggestions:  "Doubling Indian Agri-Exports Through Natural Farming and Horticulture: A Strategic Focus on African Markets" "Enhancing Indian Agri-Exports with Natural Farming in Horticulture: Opportunities in African Markets" "Scaling Indian Agricultural Exports with Natural Farming and Horticulture: Leveraging Opportunities in Africa"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Overall, the abstract is informative and highlights the potential of escalating agricultural exports to improve farmers' incomes. Minor improvements in clarity, specificity, and language could further strengthen the presentation of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Write more literature review using current year study	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Makes the manuscript scientifically credible and relevant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are minor grammatical issues, Scholarly articles require a formal tone	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pooja Jain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Amity Business School, Amity University, India</b>