

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123644
Title of the Manuscript:	Structural changes in Haryana Agriculture
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article called "Structural changes in Haryana Agriculture" is really important for readers of the Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology because it talks about a big topic that a lot of people are interested in. Additionally, the result, conclusion and the recommendation in the paper is important and useful to the policymakers in making policy decisions on agriculture in Haryana and the country of India.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title "Structural changes in Haryana Agriculture" does a great job of showing what the research is about and what it aims to do, without any confusion. It makes the article clear and on point.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The summary does a good job of giving a quick snapshot of what the article is about, including its background and what it aims to do, the findings and conclusions reached.</p> <p><i>The reviewer noted that the research only listed three (3) keywords. It's advised that the research add more keywords to the keywords section of the abstract. A standard paper should have a minimum of six (6) keywords.</i></p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriately positioned, and they follow a reasonable sequence that made the understanding of the research paper easy.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The paper showed a robust approach to understanding the structural changes in Haryana agriculture.</p> <p>The paper utilized Microsoft Excel line charts to show the pictorial trends and patterns about the economy of Haryana between 1970 – 2021.</p> <p>The paper further established the trends and patterns in the Haryana economy which established the structural changes in the economy and the agricultural industry of Haryana.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>:</p>	<p>The articles credibility, reliability, and impact are strengthened by the extensive use of citations, allowing readers to verify sources and obtain a deeper understanding.</p> <p>By including a comprehensive reference list at the end, the article enhances credibility and assists readers in exploring and confirming information through further research.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used (English) in writing the paper has great quality. No typographical error was noted.</p> <p>The writing style of the article is clear, concise, and effective, enabling the delivery of information without ambiguity. The sentences are well structured, and the use of jargon is minimal. Although some simplification might increase accessibility, the overall reading experience is positive.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is advised that the researcher add section for recommendations. This section should detail what the research intends to recommend to the readers and policymakers as result of the findings and conclusions reached based on the research. 2. It also advised that the research add a section for suggestion for further research. This section discusses any limitations of the study and suggests areas for future research that could build on the findings of the current research paper. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oladapo Sola Olaluwoye
Department, University & Country	Osun State University, United States of America