

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_122929
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Cercospora leaf spot disease of Urdbean
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides somewhat important messages for today scientific community especially on Cercospora leaf spot disease management on Urdbean what we call Black gram using mixed chemical fungicides and bio agents.This made to be interesting in spite of solely depending on chemical fungicides.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>If it is possible try to modify the title into "<u>Effectiveness of chemical fungicides and different bio agents for management of Cercospora leaf spot disease on Urdbean</u>".Since this manuscript discuss both chemical and mixed bioagents to evaluate Cercospora leaf spot.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Somewhat. Most of the time abstract highlights the further direction for the use of research out puts however, no recommendation either biogents effective for controlling the cercospora leaf spot disease or chemical fungicides. Since , you have discussed on disease incidence on control, carbendazim 50% WP @ 0.10 g/L (86.77%), Trichoderma harzianum 1% WP @ 10 g/L (18.33%), propineb 70% WP @ 0.15 % (19.53%), propiconazole 25% WP @ 0.10 g/L (20.43%), Trichoderma + Pseudomonas @ 0.10 g/L (22.27 %), chlorothalonil 75% WP @ 0.15 % (23.60%), mancozeb 75% WP @ 0.20 % (24.50%), and Pseudomonas fluorescens 1% WP @ 10 g/L (33.27 %).Please recommend the best for reducing disease incidence.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is sound full which incorporates biogents and chemical fungicides for controlling cercospora leaf spot. Similarly some pathogens not be effectively controlled single types of fungicides rather than mixed fungicides is the best approach to reduce disease incidence on the Urdbean.Therefore the combined utilization is the best approach.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The inconsistency of reference writing style please use the guidelines of documents.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Some important parts are missed from this manuscript is –Summary or Conclusion parts -Please take the last parts of table and figure to result and discussion part.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silas Chiko Sadamo
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia