

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_121164
Title of the Manuscript:	New Report of Mites Occurring on Commercial Mushrooms from Western Himalayas
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, manuscripts are crucial for the scientific community. The manuscripts serve as primary means through which researchers can communicate their findings, methodologies, and conclusions to their peers and the broader scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate. No alternative title needed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract of the article comprehensive. no addition required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are some reports about the infection of mites on mushrooms. The meats cause economic losses of 70 to 80% in the mushrooms grown, due to which the farmers have to suffer huge losses. By doing such research in the future, technology can be developed which will prevent the infection of mites on mushrooms. This will benefit both economically and society, which will Beneficial this both scientifically and technically.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references sufficient and recent. But References are not uniform in the entire manuscript. Some references have been marked that do not follow a specific format. The manuscript needs to be reviewed once again by the author.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the language of the article appears to be suitable for scholarly communication and does not require any changes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaurav Kothiyal
Department, University & Country	Himalaya University, India