

Original Research Article

EFFECT OF INTEGRATED USE OF PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZERS AND BIOFERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GROUNDNUT (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

Abstract

A field experiment entitled “Effect of integrated use of phosphorus fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)” was conducted during *rabi*, 2022 at Wetland farm, S.V. Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh. The field experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eight treatments and three replications. Combined application of phosphorus fertilizers along with biofertilizers significantly influenced the growth and yield of groundnut crop. Most of the growth parameters and yield attributes *viz.*, dry matter production, plant height, number of nodules plant⁻¹, number of filled pods plant⁻¹, number of filled pods plant⁻¹ were numerically highest with application of 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₈) and 100 pod weight, 100 kernel weight, shelling percentage were recorded non-significant. Pod yield and kernel yield were recorded significantly highest with 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₈) followed by 100% RDP + liquid PSB (T₃).

Keywords: Groundnut, phosphorus, liquid PSB, AM.

Introduction

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is one of the major oilseed crops originated in Brazil and mostly grown in many Asian countries. It fixes the atmospheric nitrogen of nearly 72–77% of its N amounting to 150–200 kg N ha⁻¹ in 106–119 days in the soil and ameliorates the soil fertility status. India ranks second in terms of production (10.2 million tonnes) as well as consumption (5627.94 tonnes) of groundnut in 6.09 million hectares of area and productivity of 988 kg ha⁻¹ and it accounts for nearly 20 per cent of world’s groundnut production. Although the soil system is rich in P, 95–99 % of the soil total P is present in an insoluble form and thrives due to binding to the inorganic minerals or organic matter causing about 43 percent of the soils to be deficient in available phosphorus for plant growth. On the other hand, phosphate rock as a raw material for phosphate fertilizer is a limited resource, which also emphasizes the urgent need to improve the availability of soil phosphorus for plant growth. Concern over security of supply and fluctuating costs of phosphorus fertilizers has resulted in increased interest in microorganisms that aid plant uptake of phosphorus (P) from soil (Richardson and Simpson, 2011)^[7]. Use of only chemical fertilizers can cause soil degradation, nitrogen leaching, water pollution and reduction in soil organic matter content as well as beneficial microbial population and growth. Sole use of chemical fertilizers can increase the cost of cultivation, hence integration of organic, chemical, and biological sources of plant nutrients are essential in management and maintaining of soil health in sustainable agriculture.

Materials and Methods

Comment [D1]: Add your recommendation please

A field experiment entitled “Effect of integrated use of phosphorus fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)” was conducted during *rabi*, 2022 at Wetland farm, S.V. Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh which is geographically situated at 13° 57' 58.5" N latitude and 79° 68' 69.5" E longitude with an altitude of 183.7 m above the mean sea level, which falls under Southern Agro Climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh. According to Troll's classification, it comes under the Semi-Arid Tropics (SAT). The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture.

The analytical data indicated that the soil was slightly alkaline in reaction, non-saline, low in organic carbon and nitrogen and medium in available phosphorus and potassium. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with eight treatments and three replications. The treatments involve combination of phosphorus fertilizers with biofertilizers such as PSB and Arbuscular Mycorrhiza are: Control (T₁), 100% RDP (T₂), 100% RDP (T₃), 75% RDP + liquid PSB (T₄), 100% RDP + liquid PSB (T₅), 75% RDP + AM (T₆), 50% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₇), 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₈).

Results and Discussion

Growth Parameters

It was observed from the data presented in table 1 that combined application of biofertilizers and fertilizers significantly influenced the growth parameters *viz.*, dry matter production, plant height, number of nodules plant⁻¹ recorded difference when subjected to combined application of phosphorus fertilizers and biofertilizers including control. Further, it was found that numerically highest dry matter production (6073 kg ha⁻¹), plant height (44.70 cm), number of nodules plant⁻¹ (71.67) were observed with the T₈ treatment which constitutes 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM at harvest. Amongst the treatments the maximum growth parameters were recorded with the application of 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₈) might be due to solubilization of unavailable phosphorus to available phosphorus by PSB and AM. It results in overall development of plant in terms of root and shoot might have resulted in higher absorption of nutrients, increased metabolic activity in plants appears to have encouraged meristematic activity by supplying enzymes that led to apical development. (Ravikumar *et al.*, 2019^[6]). More dry matter is produced as a result of improved cytokinin and indole acetic acid activity caused by VAM. Similar results were reported by Donga and Mathukia (2021)^[11].

Yield attributes

The data pertaining to yield parameters *viz.*, number of filled pods plant⁻¹, number of illfilled pods plant⁻¹ recorded significant difference with combined application of phosphorus fertilizers and biofertilizers including control (Table 2). Whereas, there was no significant effect on 100 pod weight (g), 100 kernel weight (g) and shelling percentage. Further, it was found that among all the treatments including control, treatment T₈ that constitutes 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM showed significantly maximum number of filled pods plant⁻¹ (12.50) followed by T₃ (100% RDP + liquid PSB) (11.38). The treatments T₅, T₄, T₂, T₆ and T₇ were on par with each other while control (8.03) being the lowest. Significantly the lowest number of illfilled pods plant⁻¹ of 3.00 was recorded with the application of 75% RDP + Liquid PSB + VAM (T₈)

followed by 100 % RDP + Liquid PSB (T₃) (3.67). The enhanced availability of metabolites might have promoted better translocation of assimilates to the sink and resulted in improved yield attributes and resulted in a greater number of pods. Proper partitioning can lead to better pod development and, in the context, it can help to reduce the number of ill-filled pods. These results were concomitant with the findings of Vyshnavi *et al.* (2021)^[10] and Sunitha *et al.* (2023)^[8].

Yield

Pod Yield

Data pertaining to pod yield was given in table 3 and depicted in fig 1. Significantly the highest pod yield of 3704.33 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained with the application of 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₈) followed by T₃(100% RDP + liquid PSB). The treatments T₄, T₂, T₅, T₆ and T₇ treatments were on par with each other. The lowest pod yield of 2518.47 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded with the control (T₁). The increase in pod yield following the inoculation of P solubilizing bacteria may be attributable to a rise in P availability brought on by the solubilization of insoluble inorganic phosphate, the breakdown of phosphate-rich organic compounds, and the generation of chemicals that stimulate plant growth. The application of PSB or VAM biofertilizer together with a P source like SSP improved the pod yield, which could be due to increased availability of P and suitably matched the requirement of P by crop. Similar results were reported by (Rakesh *et al.*, 2021)^[5] Mohanty *et al.* (2022)^[3].

Haulm Yield

Data pertaining to haulm yield was given in table 3 and depicted in fig 1. The highest haulm yield of 4936.60 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained with the application of 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM followed by 100 % RDP + liquid PSB (T₃) (4876.17 kg ha⁻¹) and 75 % RDP + Liquid PSB (T₄) (4748.93 kg ha⁻¹) which in turn was on par with 100 % RDP + Liquid PSB (T₅) with the production of 4738.27 kg ha⁻¹. The next best treatment was 100 % RDP (T₂) (4662.33 kg ha⁻¹) followed by 75 % RDP + AM (T₆) (4510.87 kg ha⁻¹) and 50 % RDP + Liquid PSB + AM (T₇) (4395.97 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest haulm yield of 4336.33 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded in control (T₁). The increase in haulm yield due to application of 75 % RDP + Liquid PSB + AM might be due to the addition of inorganic phosphorus as well as phosphorus solubilizers and mobilizers may have enhanced plant nutrient absorption and led to the production of higher-quality photosynthates and a greater haulm yield. Similar results were reported by Rajbalasharma *et al.* (2020)^[4].

Kernel Yield

Data pertaining to kernel was given in table 3 and depicted in fig 1. The highest of 2643.75 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained with the application of 75% RDP + liquid PSB +AM The next best treatment was 100 % RDP + liquid PSB (T3) (4876.17 kg ha⁻¹) followed by 75 % RDP + Liquid PSB (T4) (2116.57 kg ha⁻¹). The treatments T4, T2, T5, T6 and T7 were on par with other. The lowest kernel yield of 1784.90 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded in control (T1). The significant increase in kernel yield was mainly due to the combined application of phosphorus and PSB and AM which plays a major role in energization processes, profuse nodulation and being constituent of ribonucleic acid, deoxyribonucleic acid and ATP, which regulate vital metabolic processes in the plant and also helps in root formation, nitrogen fixation results in a positive effect on photosynthetic organs (Tomar *et al.*, 2006, Erman *et al.*, 2009)^[9, 2].




Fig.1Effect of phosphorus, PSB and AM on pod, haulm and kernel yield (kg ha⁻¹) of groundnut crop.

Table 1: Effect of phosphorus, PSB and VAM on growth parameters of groundnut.

Treatment	Dry matter Production (kg ha ⁻¹)	Plant height (cm)	Number of nodules plant ⁻¹
T ₁ : Control	5772	34.10	64.67
T ₂ : 100 % recommended dose of phosphorous fertilizers (RDP)	5923	40.80	68.00
T ₃ : 100 % RDP + liquid PSB	6003	43.30	69.67
T ₄ : 75 % RDP + liquid PSB	5967	42.03	69.00
T ₅ : 100 % RDP + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	5934	41.06	68.67
T ₆ : 75% RDP + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	5887	39.70	66.67
T ₇ : 50 % RDP + liquid PSB + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	5821	38.80	65.67
T ₈ : 75 % RDP + liquid PSB + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	6037	44.70	71.67
S.Em±	11.33	0.439	0.512
CD@5%	34.35	1.33	1.55

Table 2: Effect of phosphorus, PSB and VAM on pod, haulm and kernel yield of groundnut.

Treatments	Pod yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Kernel yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : Control	2518.47	4342.70	1784.90
T ₂ : 100 % recommended dose of phosphorous fertilizers (RDP)	2903.30	4662.33	2064.40
T ₃ : 100 % RDP + liquid PSB	3470.43	4876.17	2473.43
T ₄ : 75 % RDP + liquid PSB	2971.17	4748.93	2116.57
T ₅ : 100 % RDP + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	2839.45	4738.27	2015.80
T ₆ : 75% RDP + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	2801.63	4510.87	1994.92
T ₇ : 50 % RDP + liquid PSB + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	2709.50	4396.00	1914.34
T ₈ : 75 % RDP + liquid PSB + Arbuscular Mycorrhiza	3704.33	4936.60	2643.75
S. Em ±	52.59	18.671	37.066
CD @5 %	159.5	56.63	112.43

Table 3: Effect of phosphorus, PSB and VAM on yield attributes of groundnut.

Treatments	Filled pods	Ill-filled pods	100 pod weight(g)	100 kernel weight (g)	Shelling %
T ₁	8.03	6.00	94.90	39.33	70.87
T ₂	10.00	4.33	97.00	40.33	71.10
T ₃	11.38	3.67	97.33	39.67	71.27
T ₄	10.57	4.30	96.97	40.67	71.23
T ₅	10.70	3.97	97.33	40.33	71.00
T ₆	9.12	4.67	96.00	39.67	71.20
T ₇	9.07	5.17	96.33	40.00	71.14
T ₈	12.50	3.00	97.50	40.67	71.37
S. Em ±	0.331	0.211	11.199	0.381	0.1
CD @5 %	1.00	0.64	NS	NS	NS

Recommended dose of fertilizer: 20- 50- 0 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O ha⁻¹

Conclusion

Finally, it can be concluded that the application of 75% RDP + liquid PSB + AM (T₈) over 100% RDP and control improved the growth and yield attributes of groundnut crop. It indicates that the reduction in RDP of 25% could be able to get the good yield by substituting this with PSB and VAM.

References

1. Donga, S. and Mathukia, R.K.2021. Effect of vermicompost enriched with biofertilizers, bioagents and micronutrients on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*.11(7):52-58.
2. Erman, M., Yildirim, B., Necat, T and Fatih, C.2009.Effect of phosphorus application and *Rhizobium* inoculation on the yield, nodulation and nutrient uptake in field pea (*Pisum sativum*L.). *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*.8(2):301-304.
3. Mohanty, P., Pany, B.K., Sahu, G., Mohapatra, S. and Nayak, B.K.2022. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield attributes, yield and quality parameters of groundnut (*Arachishypogaea*) in an Acidic Upland of Odisha. *Indian Journal of Ecology*.49(1):119-123.
4. Rajbalasharma., Sarita., Bamboriya, J.S and Yadav, S.S. 2020. Nutrient uptake, quality traits and yield of groundnut as influenced by integrated phosphorus management. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 11(2): 195-200.
5. Rakesh, B., Prasanthi, A., Naidu, M.V.S and Reddy, S.T. 2021. Effect of phosphorus management with bio-agents on yield and yield attributes of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.).*The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 10(7): 1059-1061.
6. Ravikumar,C.,Ganapathy,M.,Ganesamoorthy,D.,Karthikeyan,A.,Saravanaperumal, M and Murugan, G. 2019. Effect of INM on growth,yield and quality parameters of irrigated groundnut (*Arachis hypoagea*L.)varietyVri-2 forsandy loamsoils.*PlantArchives*.19(2):745-749.
7. Richardson, A.E. and Simpson, R.J., 2011. Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus availability update on microbial phosphorus. *Plant physiology*.156(3):989-996.
8. Sunitha, N., Reddy, G.K., Reddy, S.T., Reddy, M.R. and Nagamadhuri, K.V., Performance of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management under fertigation. *Legume Research-An International Journal*.1(6).
9. Tomar, S.S., Jain, P.C and Agarwal, R.L.2006.Effect of nutrient management practices on yield and quality of soybean.*Research Crops*. 7(1):96-99.
10. Vyshnavi, A., Rao, P.M., Kumari, A.L and Lakshman, K. 2021. Impact of phosphorus management along with FYM and bio-inoculants on yield and yield attributes of groundnut. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 10(8): 393-397.

Comment [D2]: All these references are not cited in the text

Comment [D3]: All these references are not cited in the text