

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Plant Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_APRJ_123315</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phytochemical analysis and effect of Myristica fragrans extract on Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from Automated Teller Machine, Bikaner, Rajasthan</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This plant <i>Myristica fragrans</i> is the subject of scientific research and will continue in the future. There are some news in this study, especially for scientists studying <i>Myristica fragrans</i> . For me, as a pharmacist-technologist, the issues related to extraction are interesting and relevant for planning the extraction process of phenolic compounds.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article corresponds to the research data presented in the article.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>I think the abstract is comprehensive.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Manuscript structure, subsections are perfect and appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The research methodology described in the article corresponds to the scientific and technical level of modern research. The Materials and Methods section is written as accurately as the others. For me, as a researcher, this is one example of scientific evidence for this article. As for the design, the article is technically correct in my opinion, with the exception of minor writing errors (indicated in the text)	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient and recent.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, it is.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Minor writing errors: 1. Abstract first line extra two words (using the) 2. P.3, line 9 word dioecious 3. P.3. line 17 word antidysenteric 4. P.4 between words "flavonoids,tannins," missing comma 5. P.6. $FeCl_3$ 6. P.7, line 4 were analysed 7. P.15. Fig.1 alcaloidin?	

Commented [A1]: the comma is missing

Commented [A2]:  $FeCl_3$

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nino Abuladze</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Akaki Tsereteli State University, Georgia</b>