

# Determination of Heavy Metal Concentrations at Ewu-Elepe, Ikorodu Dumpsite, Lagos, Nigeria

## Abstract

Heavy metal contamination of soil at dumpsites poses risks and hazards to humans and the ecosystems through inhalation of dust particles or dermal contact with the contaminated soil. Dumpsites are usually located at the outskirts of residential areas, but due to population increase in the urban centers as result of rural-urban movement which has become living habitats without considering the health implications. Thus, Ewu-Elepe dumpsite, located on the outskirts of Ikorodu, Lagos may pose a serious threat to residents of this area due to the improper disposal and ineffective management of waste at the dumpsite also, due to the metallic health issue recorded in this area. Therefore, this study was designed to determine the Heavy Metal Concentrations (HMC) and identify the type of Key Environmental Indicators (KEIs) responsible for the heavy metal contamination at the dumpsite. The Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Nemerow Integrated Pollution Index (NIPI), and Pollution Index (PI) procedures were adopted. The Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) was employed to determine the best KEI responsible for the presence of a particular heavy metal on the dumpsite. The Heavy Metals (HMs) found on the dumpsite were Zinc, Copper, Lead, Cadmium, Calcium, Manganese, and Iron. The identified KEIs on the dumpsite were: potential Hydrogen (pH), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Total Nitrogen (TN), Phosphorous (P), and Carbon Exchange Capacity (CEC). The AIC at 5% showed that the most significant KEI responsible for Zn was EC with the least value (16.21), pH for Pb (26.70), P for Ca (20.71), TOC for Cu (24.61) and Mn (81.09), TN for Cd (44.97), and CEC for Fe (41.04). The PCA and NIPI estimates for the heavy metals across the 20 sample points were (1760.57, 3.00); (1825.85, 2.30); (1330.80, 2.60); (1644.68, 2.40); (1602.57, 9.70); (1469.93, 2.40); (1379.85, 3.20); (1872.82, 2.40); (1859.30, 8.40); (1397.56, 2.30); (1995.32, 4.70); (1518.62, 3.10); (1565.33, 1.80); (1332.29, 5.10); (1748.59, 2.50); (1664.70, 3.90); (1792.24, 4.10); (1801.79, 2.30); (1801.18, 2.30); (1743.27, 2.00), respectively, implying that the dumpsite was highly concentrated in HMs. Copper, lead, cadmium, calcium, manganese, and iron highly polluted Ewu-Elepe dumpsite with potential hydrogen, electrical conductivity, total organic carbon, total nitrogen, phosphorous, and carbon exchange capacity as the key environmental indicators for the heavy metals.

**Keywords:** Heavy metal contamination, Pollution levels, Key environmental dumpsite indicators, Nemerow integrated pollution index, Akaike information criteria.

## 1.0 Introduction

Increase in world population with its associated high industrial activities has resulted in the production of large volumes of domestic, municipal and industrial wastes, Large et. al. (2019). Dumpsites are usually located at the outskirts of residential areas, but due to population increase in the urban centers as result of

rural-urban movement, these reserved areas have become living habitats without considering their health. The accumulation of heavy metals and metalloids through emission from the rapidly expanding industrial areas and other human activities on the earth's surface such as mining failings, disposal of high metal wastes (Akanbi O. B. (2018)), leaded gasoline [Akanbi O. B. (2018), Akanbi O. B. (2022)] and paints, land application of fertilizers, animal manures, sewage sludge, pesticide, waste water irrigation, coal combustion residues [Akanbi and Oladoja (2019)], spillage of petrochemicals, and atmospheric deposition may have resulted into soil contamination Raymond et al (2011). Heavy metals released into the environment by human activities are mainly submerged into the soil, Kirpichtchikora et. al. (2006) and their total concentration in soil persists for a long time after their introduction Adriano (2003). The contaminated soil harms the food chain (Wei L et al (2023)), causing drinking of contaminated groundwater, reduction in food quality, and reduction in land availability for agricultural production causing food insecurity and land tenure problems McLaughlin et. al (2000); and Ling et al (2007). The indiscriminate and improper disposal and management of waste have posed immense threats to the environment and development of major towns worldwide, especially in Africa, Lebreton et al (2019). Nurudeen et. al (2013) investigated the concentration of heavy metals at the Oke-Afa refuse dump and found that the refuse dump was highly polluted with cadmium and copper which have adverse health implications for the residents around the refuse dump. Agbeshie et. al. (2020) conducted a study on soils around Sunyani municipal waste dumpsite in rural and urban areas in Ghana to determine the heavy metal concentration permissible level for food production, especially vegetables. Olorunfemi et al. (2020) investigated the heavy metal concentration level of the soil around the Ewu-Elepe dumpsite to determine the effect on the surrounding environment.

The environmental issues require the analysis of several variables simultaneously; hence, Principal Component Analysis can be applied to maintaining the data structure and reducing the dimensions of multivariate data set into fewer principal components (PCs) Kejian et. al. (2018). Kejian Chu et al. (2018) developed a concept for identifying the key environmental indicators responsible for the determination of environmental variables and their nonlinear interrelationships. Shiguo Xu et al. (2021) applied fuzzy comprehensive evaluation and principal component analysis methods to assess the water quality to extract the principal pollutants of the Nansi Lake Basin and to evaluate the importance of various water quality per meter. Exposure to heavy metals has been linked to serious consequences for human health, such as heart and skeletal diseases, infertility, and various neurological disorders Briki, et al. (2017). The excessive

accumulation of heavy metals in the human body can cause various effects on different physiological functions, which leads to three pathogeneses: carcinogenesis, teratogenesis, and mutagenesis Dasharathy, et al. (2022). Miranzadeh, et al. (2020) observed that the heavy metals in soils can affect air quality because they can create particulate matter and dust. Most of the research conducted focuses on the contamination of surrounding soils of dumpsites by heavy metals without its estimation Agbeshie et. al, (2020); Olorunfemi et. al. (2020); Lagerkvist et al (2019). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the degree of pollution level in the Ewu-lepe dumpsite by heavy metals but not in its surroundings.

## **2.0 Review of Literature**

**Pepper et al. (2009)** observed that soil is an essential valuable commodity in the world that cannot be underestimated and is essential for the production and quality of food, provision of raw materials and services as well climate regulation.

**Khan, et al. (2006)** opined that despite the enormous scientific progress made to date, protection and monitoring of soil conditions at national and global levels still face various challenges, threatening the effective on-the-ground policy design and decision-making

**Scull and Okin, (2007)** opined The understanding and evaluation of environmental changes due to general public orientation and awareness has shown rapid growth in recent periods.

**Kirpichtchikora, et al.(2006)** stated that soil is the bedrock for the activities on the earth's surface and plays important role in the life of plants and animals, the rocks and amazing environment of the intricate natural system that is beyond what any machine that man created cannot be underestimated.

**Al-Swadi, et al. (2022)** opined that human activities in urban centers contributed to the accumulation of heavy metals and other environmental pollutants.

**Wang, et al. (2023)** stated that the exposure to heavy metals might pose threats to human health.

**Binner, et al. (2023)** discovered greater risks to human health in the urban center than the suburbs due to population concentration in cities.

**Piyawat et al. (2014)** adapted principal component analysis with varimax rotation in determining the key elements that influence sediment yield and applied multiple regression analysis to establish the relationships between yield and characteristics of the basin in terms of geomorphology and climate.

**Ghaemi et al. (2014)** adopted Principal Component Analysis in selecting more effective indicators that conformed with the minimum data set.

**Everitt et al. (2001)** used Principal Component Analysis to determine the relationship and variance in the data set and at the same time reduce the number of variables to smaller variables

**Wei Zhiyuan et al (2011)** applied the Principal Components Analysis and Geocumulation Index in determining the pollution status of heavy metals in the mining field of copper and compared the result with values from the Hakanson potential ecological risk index

**Tao et. al (2021)** applied Principal Components Analysis on multi confidence ellipse study, to determine weak information between data sets.

**Jollie et. al (2016)** applied Principal Component Analysis to minimize information loss and increase interpretability by reducing the dimensionality of large data sets

**Jin Ling et al (2008)** adopted a multivariate statistical method in determining the average regional concentration of some heavy metals, specifying their natural or anthropogenic sources and determining other sources causing contamination in topsoil.

### **3.0 Methods and Statistical Framework**

#### **3.1 Source of Data**

Data used for this study was obtained from the samples of soil collected from Ewu-Elepe dumpsite, Ikorodu, Lagos, Nigeria

#### **3.2 Sample Collection and Design**

The dumpsite was partitioned into two and an adaptive sampling technique was used to collect a total of sixty soil samples, 30 from each partition at three levels: the surface, 1.5m, and 3m depths respectively using a hand auger and stored in properly labeled sample tubes. The sixty sample estimates were averaged

over the three levels to have twenty sample point estimates for the two locations. The samples were air-dried at room temperature (21°C - 27°C) for seven days and later over-dried at 100°C for one hour to obtain a constant weight. The samples were then dissipated using mortar and pestle and then sieved. The samples sieved were then put into a prescription sachet well labeled to determine the quantity of the heavy metals. The process of determining the heavy metals was achieved by measuring 1g of the filtered samples into a conical flask and digesting the sample aqua regia (a combination of HCL and HNO<sub>3</sub> in a ratio of 3:1). Two drops of distilled water with necessary reagents were added to the samples put in the conical flask under laboratory condition to obtain the required solution for final results. The final solution was processed to determine the heavy metals presence in the samples. The Key Environmental Indicators were identified by some laboratory tests on the dumpsite's soil.

The types and estimates of the Key Environmental Indicators (KEIs): potential Hydrogen (pH), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Total Nitrogen (TN), Phosphorous (P), Carbon Exchange Capacity (CEC) and Heavy Metals (HMs): Zinc(Zn), Copper (Cu), lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd), Calcium(Ca), Manganese (Mn) and Iron(Fe) on the dumpsite were determined using the laboratory tests and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS)

### 3.3 Determination of Soil Contamination

The Pollution Index (PI) and the Nemerow Integrated Pollution Index (NIPI) are measures used in the assessment of the amount of heavy metal in the soil.

Generally,

$$P_{ij} = \frac{C_{ij}}{S_j}, S_j > 0 \quad \forall j \quad (1)$$

$$NIPI = \sqrt{\frac{(P_{max}^2 + P_{ave}^2)}{2}} \quad (2)$$

where  $C_{ij}$  = concentration of heavy metal in the soil at location  $i$  for heavy metal  $j$ ,

$S_j$  = the environmental quality standard value of heavy metal  $j$

When  $p_i < 1$ , it implies no metal pollution; otherwise,

if  $P_{ij} > 1$ , it implies metal pollution.

The Nemerow Integrated Pollution Index (NIPI) consider not only the mean value ( $P_{ave}$ ) of all metals involved but also the maximum value ( $P_{max}$ ) of all heavy metals involved Yang et al., (2011).

## 4.0 Result and Discussion

### 4.1 Assessment of heavy metal concentrations Ewu-Elepe dumpsite by PCA, NIPI, and PI

The contents of heavy metals (*Zn, Cu, Pb, Cd, Ca, Mn, and Fe*) and assessment standard were shown in Table 1

**Table 1 Heavy metals concentrations statusf the dumpsite compared to WHO standard**

| Location | Zn       | Cu       | Pb       | Cd      | Ca        | Mg       | Fe        |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| $B_1$    | 118.8164 | 47.4711  | 30.2347  | 3.1087  | 3928.3430 | 114.1138 | 806.2452  |
| $B_2$    | 145.0692 | 38.4388  | 8.4114   | 2.3646  | 4164.2830 | 102.3076 | 741.5511  |
| $B_3$    | 83.6611  | 20.5187  | 21.9922  | 2.7900  | 2918.3420 | 108.1551 | 710.0920  |
| $B_4$    | 153.1641 | 51.7907  | 40.8047  | 1.3691  | 3624.1290 | 106.3960 | 779.5941  |
| $B_5$    | 136.9348 | 63.6403  | 33.1586  | 10.7278 | 3544.6020 | 107.7907 | 756.1394  |
| $B_6$    | 124.1598 | 46.9581  | 25.1240  | 2.4729  | 3335.5590 | 110.6935 | 801.5553  |
| $B_7$    | 116.3530 | 32.4583  | 63.2691  | 3.4183  | 2979.7620 | 107.9496 | 769.6873  |
| $B_8$    | 149.2570 | 80.9240  | 62.8542  | 0.7772  | 4220.3110 | 109.5657 | 822.2035  |
| $B_9$    | 172.1804 | 413.3322 | 53.0474  | 1.0088  | 4054.2200 | 110.0662 | 786.0355  |
| $B_{10}$ | 106.3813 | 28.1791  | 7.4037   | 2.3966  | 3001.2300 | 112.3331 | 826.0109  |
| $B_{11}$ | 167.1333 | 229.6797 | 49.7142  | 0.3073  | 4453.9630 | 115.4284 | 793.1297  |
| $B_{12}$ | 124.2802 | 87.2630  | 27.3261  | 3.1845  | 3292.5000 | 110.538  | 808.9314  |
| $B_{13}$ | 109.6553 | 42.9557  | 21.5009  | 1.7752  | 3448.7770 | 110.8665 | 784.5473  |
| $B_{14}$ | 149.4122 | 134.6934 | 51.9642  | 5.4376  | 2979.8010 | 111.4944 | 532.1158  |
| $B_{15}$ | 157.2165 | 41.4881  | 41.4244  | 2.0223  | 3894.4260 | 109.0698 | 774.9694  |
| $B_{16}$ | 136.1891 | 57.0831  | 61.7760  | 4.1620  | 3674.3770 | 110.4616 | 795.3494  |
| $B_{17}$ | 111.1982 | 45.9883  | 31.7000  | 4.3623  | 4014.6900 | 109.5253 | 804.6700  |
| $B_{18}$ | 135.2297 | 68.1279  | 52.9851  | 2.1864  | 4047.6230 | 108.9411 | 754.7232  |
| $B_{19}$ | 148.6097 | 72.0858  | 27.2405  | 0.5787  | 4005.3300 | 114.7938 | 808.5040  |
| $B_{20}$ | 108.9542 | 25.7974  | 28.5252  | 2.0313  | 3919.0120 | 105.6132 | 766.3681  |
| WHO Min  | 30.8000  | 28.5500  | 24.0000  | 0.0200  | 400.0000  | 30.0000  | 500.0000  |
| WHO Max  | 219.2300 | 115.2000 | 397.0000 | 0.8000  | 4500.0000 | 150.0000 | 2000.0000 |

|          |         |         |         |        |           |          |           |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| WHO Ave. | 50.0000 | 36.0000 | 85.0000 | 0.8000 | 2500.0000 | 100.0000 | 1000.0000 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|

**WHO:** World Health Organization gave the standard desirable maximum levels of elements for polluted soils [WHO (1996)], Ogundele et al. (2015).

#### 4.2 Determination of concentration levels of Ewu-Elepe dumpsite soil by Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Component Analysis is a statistical tool used to reduce the original variables into smaller new uncorrelated variables called the principal components. These new uncorrelated variables are linear combinations of the original variables with the same number of new and old variables Johnson et al (1992). Principal Component Analysis (PCA), a multivariate statistical method, was proposed by Hotelling in 1933 and was cited by Haung et.al (2007). Based on the principal component scores, PCA can examine the multivariate relationships and explain the variance in the data while reducing the number of variables to several groups of individuals Everitt et.al (1992). Since Principal Component Analysis allows a considerable reduction in the number of variables and the detection of structure in the relationships of different variables; it was applied in different areas by researchers Rencher et, al 2002). To assess the soil heavy metal concentration levels by PCA, the principal components of the data set were identified. The principal components, which contain most of the information of assessed indexes, presented the contamination levels of heavy metals in soil correctly. During the processes of PCA, the variances of a linear combination of the variables datasets were maximized. The values of principal components were calculated by the contents of heavy metals in the sample soils collected from the dumpsite and the contamination levels of heavy metals in the soil were assessed by the weighted sum of different principal component values. Principal Component Analysis of normalized variables was performed to extract significant principal components and to reduce the effect of variables with minimal significance. Brumelis et. al. (2000), Singh et al., (2005a), Abdul- Wahab et al. (2005).

Let  $X = (C_{ijk})$  content of heavy metals in the soil sample collected from Ewu-Elepe dumpsite, where;  $C$  = concentration of heavy metals in the sample soils;  $i$  = different heavy metals ( $Zn, Cu, Pb, Cd, Ca, Mg, and Fe$ );  $j$  = sample numbers (location points ( $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{20}$ ))  $k$  = KEI of the sample point. The result of principal component analysis is presented in Table 2. For the fact that the first three principal components account for 74.2% of the total variance, they can represent the soil heavy metals concentration levels in the

Ewu-Elepe dumpsite. The values of these three principal components can be presented by the contents of heavy metals in soil and the Eigenvectors of principal components

$$Z_1 = 0.5285Zn + 0.4836Cu + 0.3495Pb - 0.3038Cd + 0.4526Ca + 0.2245Mg + 0.1309Fe \quad (3)$$

$$Z_2 = -0.2308Zn - 0.2257Cu - 0.4008Pb - 0.4559Cd + 0.2633Ca + 0.1172Mg + 0.6665Fe \quad (4)$$

$$Z_3 = 0.1988Zn - 0.1587Cu - 0.0328Pb + 0.0508Cd + 0.4228Ca - 0.8675Mg + 0.0156Fe \quad (5)$$

where  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$ , are respectively principal components values;  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are the Eigen vectors

**Table 2 The Eigen values and Eigen vectors obtained from Ewe-Elepe dumpsite data.**

| Component | Eigen values ( $\lambda$ ) | Proportion | Cumulative | Elements | Eigen vectors ( $e$ ) |         |         |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
|           |                            |            |            |          | Comp 1                | Comp 2  | Comp 3  |
| 1         | 1.7250                     | 0.4251     | 0.4251     | Zn       | 0.5285                | -0.2308 | 0.1988  |
| 2         | 1.0842                     | 0.1679     | 0.5930     | Cu       | 0.4836                | -0.2257 | -0.1587 |
| 3         | 1.0214                     | 0.1490     | 0.7420     | Pb       | 0.3495                | -0.4008 | -0.0328 |
| 4         | 0.8426                     | 0.1014     | 0.8434     | Cd       | -0.3038               | -0.4559 | 0.0508  |
| 5         | 0.7314                     | 0.0764     | 0.9198     | Ca       | 0.4526                | 0.2633  | 0.4228  |
| 6         | 0.6223                     | 0.0553     | 0.9751     | Mg       | 0.2245                | 0.1172  | -0.8675 |
| 7         | 0.4163                     | 0.0248     | 1.0000     | Fe       | 0.1309                | 0.6665  | 0.0156  |

To obtain the overall contamination level of heavy metals, the values of  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$  were weighed and summed by each of the respective eigenvalues, hence the Principal Component Analysis Model was given by:

$$PCA_{B_i} = \frac{Z_{1B_i}(\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} + \frac{Z_{2B_i}(\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} + \frac{Z_{3B_i}(\lambda_3)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \quad (6)$$

Where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_3$  are the eigenvalues,  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n$ , are sample points and  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$ , are respectively principal components values.

The results obtained were used to determine the heavy metals concentrations at the dumpsite for

the first sample point (i=1), and are presented below;

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{1B_1} &= 0.5285Zn + 0.4836Cu + 0.3495Pb - 0.3038Cd + 0.4526Ca + 0.2245Mg + 0.1309Fe \\ &= (118.8164) + 0.4836(47.4711) + 0.3495(30.2347) - 0.3038(3.1087) + 0.4526(3928.3430) + \\ &\quad 0.2245(114.1138) + 0.1309(826.2452) \\ &= 2004.4980 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2B_1} &= -0.2308Zn - 0.2257Cu - 0.4008Pb - 0.4559Cd + 0.2633Ca + 0.1172Mg + 0.6665Fe \\ &\quad -0.2308(118.8164) - 0.2257(47.4711) - 0.4008(30.2347) - 0.4559(3.1087) + \\ &\quad 0.2633(3928.343) + 0.1172(114.1138) + 0.6665(806.2452) \\ &= 1533.3970 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{3B_1} &= 0.1988Zn - 0.1587Cu - 0.0328Pb + 0.0508Cd + 0.4228Ca - 0.8675Mg + 0.0156Fe \\ &\quad 0.1988(118.8164) - 0.1587(47.4711) - 0.0328(30.2347) + 0.0508(3.1087) + \\ &\quad 0.4228(3928.343) - 0.8675(114.1138) + 0.0156(806.2452) \\ &= 1589.3970 \end{aligned}$$

Recall:

$$PCA_{B_1} = \frac{Z_{1B_1}(\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} + \frac{Z_{2B_1}(\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} + \frac{Z_{3B_1}(\lambda_3)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} PCA_{B_1} &= 2004.498(1.725)/(3.806) + 1533.397(1.0842)/(3.806) + 1589.397(1.0214)/(3.806) \\ &= 902.6678 + 434.0074 + 423.892 \\ &= 1760.5670 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the result of the comprehensive concentration levels of heavy metals for the whole sample points using the Principal Component Analysis procedures are presented in Table 3.

Table 3 shows the comparison of the results with the NIPI criteria, sample points B<sub>5</sub> and B<sub>9</sub> were highly polluted while other sample points are moderately polluted. On the other hand, Pollution Index (PI) showed that lead and iron are less than I, which indicated that the dumpsite was not polluted with lead and iron while other heavy metals (zinc, copper, cadmium, calcium, and manganese) are above 1 which show that the dumpsite is polluted by the heavy metals.

**Table 3 The Results of PCA, NIPI and PI.**

| Location        | PCA       | NIPI   | PI     |         |        |         |        |        |        |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
|                 |           |        | Zn     | Cu      | Pb     | Cd      | Ca     | Mg     | Fe     |
| B <sub>1</sub>  | 1760.5700 | 3.0000 | 2.3800 | 1.3200  | 0.3600 | 3.8900  | 1.5700 | 1.1400 | 0.8100 |
| B <sub>2</sub>  | 1825.8500 | 2.3000 | 2.9000 | 1.0700  | 0.1000 | 2.9600  | 1.6700 | 1.0200 | 0.7400 |
| B <sub>3</sub>  | 1330.8000 | 2.6000 | 1.6700 | 0.5700  | 0.2600 | 3.4900  | 1.1700 | 1.0800 | 0.7100 |
| B <sub>4</sub>  | 1644.6800 | 2.4000 | 3.0600 | 1.4400  | 0.4800 | 1.7100  | 1.4500 | 1.0600 | 0.7800 |
| B <sub>5</sub>  | 1602.5700 | 9.7000 | 2.7400 | 1.7700  | 0.3900 | 13.4100 | 1.4200 | 1.0800 | 0.7600 |
| B <sub>6</sub>  | 1469.9300 | 2.4000 | 2.4800 | 1.3000  | 0.3000 | 3.0900  | 1.3300 | 1.1100 | 0.8000 |
| B <sub>7</sub>  | 1379.8500 | 3.2000 | 2.3300 | 0.9000  | 0.7400 | 4.2700  | 1.1900 | 1.0800 | 0.7700 |
| B <sub>8</sub>  | 1872.8200 | 2.4000 | 2.9900 | 2.2500  | 0.7400 | 0.9700  | 1.6900 | 1.1000 | 0.8200 |
| B <sub>9</sub>  | 1859.3000 | 8.4000 | 3.4400 | 11.4800 | 0.6200 | 1.2600  | 1.6200 | 1.1000 | 0.7900 |
| B <sub>10</sub> | 1397.5600 | 2.3000 | 2.1300 | 0.7800  | 0.0900 | 3.0000  | 1.2000 | 1.1200 | 0.8300 |
| B <sub>11</sub> | 1995.3200 | 4.7000 | 3.3400 | 6.3800  | 0.5800 | 0.3800  | 1.7800 | 1.1500 | 0.7900 |
| B <sub>12</sub> | 1518.6200 | 3.1000 | 2.4900 | 2.4200  | 0.3200 | 3.9800  | 1.3200 | 1.1100 | 0.8100 |
| B <sub>13</sub> | 1565.3300 | 1.8000 | 2.1900 | 1.1900  | 0.2500 | 2.2200  | 1.3800 | 1.1100 | 0.7800 |
| B <sub>14</sub> | 1332.2900 | 5.1000 | 2.9900 | 3.7400  | 0.6100 | 6.8000  | 1.1900 | 1.1100 | 0.5300 |
| B <sub>15</sub> | 1748.5900 | 2.5000 | 3.1400 | 1.1500  | 0.4900 | 2.5300  | 1.5600 | 1.0900 | 0.7700 |
| B <sub>16</sub> | 1664.7000 | 3.9000 | 2.7200 | 1.5900  | 0.7300 | 5.2000  | 1.4700 | 1.1000 | 0.8000 |
| B <sub>17</sub> | 1792.2400 | 4.1000 | 2.2200 | 1.2800  | 0.3700 | 5.4500  | 1.6100 | 1.1000 | 0.8000 |
| B <sub>18</sub> | 1801.7900 | 2.3000 | 2.7000 | 1.8900  | 0.6200 | 2.7300  | 1.6200 | 1.0900 | 0.7500 |
| B <sub>19</sub> | 1801.1800 | 2.3000 | 2.9700 | 2.0000  | 0.3200 | 0.7200  | 1.6000 | 1.1500 | 0.8100 |
| B <sub>20</sub> | 1743.2700 | 2.0000 | 2.1800 | 0.7200  | 0.3400 | 2.5400  | 1.5700 | 1.0600 | 0.7700 |

### 4.3 Key Environment Indicators

The analysis of the samples of soil collected from the Ewu-Elepe dumpsite revealed the listed Key Environmental Indicators (KEI) that added to the concentration level of heavy metals of the dumpsite: Potential of Hydrogen (pH);. Electrical Conduction (s/cm) (EC); Total Organic Carbon (%) (TOC);. Total

Nitrogen (%) (TN); Phosphorus (mg/kg) (P); Carbon Exchange Capacity (Cmol/kg) (CEC)

**Table 4 Descriptive Statistics of Key Environmental Indicators (KEI).**

| KEI | Minimum | Maximum | Mean   | Std. Deviation |
|-----|---------|---------|--------|----------------|
| Ph  | 3.29    | 5.76    | 4.49   | 0.56           |
| Ec  | 140.98  | 497.40  | 320.81 | 53.21          |
| TOC | 0.46    | 1.44    | 0.89   | 0.22           |
| TN  | 0.09    | 0.15    | 0.12   | 0.02           |
| P   | 51.42   | 80.42   | 65.92  | 8.73           |

The descriptive statistics of the key environmental indicators obtained from the soil samples collected at Ewu-Elepe dumpsite in Table 4 revealed that Total Nitrogen has minimum value among KEIs, Electrical Conductivity has highest maximum value, Total Nitrogen displays the minimum value for mean and standard deviation among other key environmental indicators. The comparison with the results of Oviasogie et.al. (2007), showed that the dumpsite is moderately polluted by the key environmental indicators.

Table 5 presents the Karl Pearson correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) and their corresponding p-values for the key environmental indicators. It showed that there is no significant correlation among all the key environmental indicators; hence there is no multicollinearity among the key environmental indicators, which are the independent variables in the models.

**Table 5 Karl Pearson Correlation Coefficient ( $r$ ) for Key Environmental Indicators**

|     | pH | Ec             | TOC           | TN             | P              | CEC            |
|-----|----|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| pH  | 1  | -0.370 (0.108) | 0.028 (0.908) | 0.272 (0.246)  | 0.100 (0.676)  | 0.306 (0.189)  |
| Ec  |    | 1              | 0.009 (0.971) | -0.360 (0.119) | -0.036 (0.881) | -0.210 (0.374) |
| TOC |    |                | 1             | -0.238 (0.313) | 0.421 (0.065)  | -0.419 (0.066) |
| TN  |    |                |               | 1              | 0.116 (0.627)  | 0.109 (0.647)  |
| P   |    |                |               |                | 1              | 0.006 (0.979)  |
| CEC |    |                |               |                |                | 1              |

The relationship between the key environmental indicators and the heavy metals was determined using linear models (Gaussian and Gamma distributions) with their logarithms to form eight models used for the analysis. To establish the key environmental indicator, responsible for the presence of a particular heavy

metal in the dumpsite, Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) were used. The six key environmental indicators were used as independent variables and NIPI as the dependent variable using the four models for the analysis. The model selection using Akaike Information Criteria was used to determine the best model and key environmental indicators with the most significant independent variable responsible for the concentration of a given heavy metal in the dumpsite. The eight models considered eventually resulted in the best fit with the selection of the most significant independent variable. The AIC result showed the most significant independent variable KEI responsible for Zn was EC with the least value (16.21), pH for Pb (26.70), P for Ca (20.71), TOC for Cu (24.61) and Mn (81.09), TN for Cd (44.97), and CEC for Fe (41.04). PCA, NIPI, and PI showed that the dumpsite is polluted with heavy metals.

The comprehensive results of PCA, NIPI, and PI in Table .2 showed that the dumpsite was highly polluted with heavy metals and had the highest concentration at points  $B_{11}$ ,  $B_8$ , and  $B_9$  with values 1995.32, 1872.82, and 1859.30 respectively. Also, NIPI showed that sample points  $B_5$  and  $B_9$  with values of 9.7 and 8.4 of the dumpsite are highly polluted and sample point  $B_{14}$  with a value of 5.1 is highly polluted with heavy metals. However, the PI values of lead and iron were below the standard revealing that the duo posed no environmental threats Ewu-Elepe dumpsite. On the other hand, zinc, copper, cadmium, calcium, and manganese were highly polluted in the dumpsite. Thus, based on the findings of this study, it has been established that Ewu-Elepe dumpsite is highly polluted with heavy metals concentrations.

## 5.0 Conclusion

Based on the findings obtained from the analysis of the soil samples collected from Ewu-Elepe dumpsite using Principal Component Analysis, Nemerow Integrated Pollution Index, Pollution Index and Akaike Information Criteria revealed that copper, lead, cadmium, calcium, manganese, and iron highly polluted Ewu-Elepe dumpsite with potential hydrogen, electrical conductivity, total organic carbon, total nitrogen, phosphorous and carbon exchange capacity as the key environmental indicators for the heavy metals.

### Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

## 6.0 Reference

- Abdul-Wahab, S. A., Bakheit, C. S., and Al-Alawi, S. M. (2005). Component Multiple Regression Analysis in Modelling of ground level Ozone factors affecting its concentration. *Environ Model Software* 20.10: 1263-1271.
- Adriano, D.C. (2003). Trace Elements in Terrestrial Environments. Biogeochemistry, Bioavailability and risks of metals. *Springer New York, NY, USA*, 2nd edition.
- Agbashie, A. A., Adjei, R., Anokye, J. and Banunle, A. (2020). Municipal Waste Dumpsite. Impact on Social properties and heavy metal concentrations, Sunyani, Ghana. *Scientific Africa* 8 e00390.
- Akanbi O. B. (2018). Modelling non Evacuation of Waste Bin in North Western Part of Nigeria using Bayesian Approach. *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Mathematics* 5 (3), 16-21
- Akanbi O. B. (2018). Determinants of Greenhouse Gasses in Nigeria Using A Bayesian Approach. *Researchjournal's Journal of Mathematics* 5 (3), 1 – 13
- Akanbi O. B. (2022). Spatial Analysis of Soil Radon Gas Concentration in Southwestern Nigeria: A Bayesian Approach. *International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematics* 9 (3), 36 – 46
- Akanbi O. B. and Oladoja O. M. (2019). Application of a Modified g-Parameter Prior in Bayesian Model Averaging to CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in Nigeria *Mathematical Theory and Modeling* 9 (11), 57-71
- Al-Swami, H.A.; Usman, A.R.A.; Al-Farraj, A.S.; Al-Wabel, M.I.; Ahmad, M., and Al-Faraj, A. (2022). sources, Toxicity Potential, and Human Health Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals-Laden Soil and Dust of Urban and Suburban Areas as Affected by Industrial and Mining Activities. *Sci. Rep.* 12, 8972.
- Briki, M.; Zhu, Y.; Gao, Y.; Shao, M.; Ding, H., and Ji, H. (2017). Distribution and Health Risk Assessment to Heavy Metals near Smelting and Mining Areas of Hezhang, China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 189, 458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Binner, H.; Sullivan, T.; Jansen, M.A.K., and McNamara, M.E. (2023). Metals in Urban Soils of Europe: A Systematic Review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 854, 158734. [CrossRef].
- Brumelis, A., Lapina, L., Nikodemas, O., and Tabors, G. (2000). Use of an Artificial Model of Monitoring Data to aid interpretation of Principal Component Analysis. *Environ Model Software* 15.8: 755-763.
- Dasharathy, S.; Arjunan, S.; Maliyur Basavaraju, A.; Murugasen, V.; Ramachandran, S.; Keshav, R., and Murugan, R. (2022). Mutagenic, Carcinogenic, and Teratogenic Effect of Heavy Metals. *eCAM* 2022, 8011953. [CrossRef]
- Everitt, B. S., and Dunn, G. (2001). *Applied Multivariate Data Analysis*. Arnold/Hodder Headline Group, London U.K

- Everitt, B. S. and Dunn, G. Applied multivariate data analysis (Oxford University Press, New York, 1992)
- Ghaemi, M., Astaraei, A. R., Emami, H. M., Nassiri, Mahalati., and Sanaeinejad, H. S. (2014). Determining Soil Indicators for soil sustainability assessment using Principal Component Analysis of Astan Quds-east of mashad-Iran. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 14.4: 987-1004.
- Hotelling, H. (1933). Analysis of Complex Statistical Variables into Principal Components. *Warwick and York* 1933.
- Huang, Y. and Wu, P.: Statistical Analysis and application of SAS (China Machine Press, Beijing, 2007)
- Jinling, Li., Ming, He., Wei, Han. and Yifangu, Gu. (2008). Analysis and assessment of heavy metal sources in the costal soils, developed from alluvial deposits using multivariate statistical methods. *Journal of Hazardous materials* 164: 976-981. [www.elsevier.com/locate/hazmat](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/hazmat).
- Johnson, R. A. and Wichern, D. W. (1992). Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis 3rd Ed. Prentice Hall Inc, New Jersey USA.
- Jolliffe, I. T. and Cadima, J. 2016. Principal Component Analysis A review and recent developments. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* A374: 20150202.
- Kejian, Chu., Wenjuan, Liu., Yutong, She., Zulin, Hua., Min, Tan., Xi-aodong, Liu., Li, Gu., and Yongzhi, Jia. (2018). Modified Principal Component Analysis for identifying Key Environmental Indicators and Application to a Large-Scale Tidal flat Reclamation. *Water* 2018, 10, 69 [www.mdpi.com/journal/water](http://www.mdpi.com/journal/water)
- Khan, S., Cao, Q., Zheng, Y.M., Huang, Y.Z, and Zu, Y.G. (2008). Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with waste water in Beijing, China. *Environmental pollution* vol. 152.3: 686-692
- Kirpichtchikora, T.A., Mancea, U. A., Spadin, L., Panfili, F., Marcus, M.A., and Jacquet, T. (2006). Specification and solubility of heavy metals in contaminated soil using X-ray micro fluorescence, EXAFS spectroscopy, chemical extraction and thermodynamic modelling. *Geochemica et Cosmochimica Acta* vol.70.9: 2163-2190.
- Largerkvist, A., and Dahlen, L. (2019). Solid Waste Generation and Characterization in Recovery of Materials and Energy from Urban Wastes. *A volume in the Encyclopedia of Sustainability Science and Technology*. 2nd ed: 7-20.
- Lebreton, L., and Andrady, A. (2019). Future scenarios of global plastic waste generation and disposal, *Palgravecommun* 5.1: 1 -11

Ling, W., Shen, Q., Gao, Y., Gu, X., and Yang, Z. (2007). Use of bentonite to control the release of copper from contaminated soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* vol.45.8: 618-623.

Mclaughlin, M.J., Hamon, R.E., McLaren, R.G., Speir, T.W., and Rogers, S.I. (2000). Review: a bioavailability based rationale for controlling metal and metalloid contamination of Agricultural land in Australia and New Zealand. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* Vol.38, no.6: 1037-1086.

Nurudeen T. S and Aderibigbe T. A. (2013). Health Implications of Heavy Metal Concentration on Soils: An Appraisal of Oke-Afa Refuse -Dump, Isolo, Lagos, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)*, Vol.5 Issue 4, Sept.-Oct. 2013, pp 7-11

Ogundele, D. T., Adio, A. A., and Oludele, E. O. (2015). Heavy Metal Concentrations in Plants and Soils along Heavy Traffic Roads in North Central Nigeria. *J. Environ Anal Toxicol* 5(6): 1-5 DOI: 10-4172/2161-0525. 1000334.

Olorunfemi, A. O, Alao, A. B., Adesiyun, T. A. and Onah, C. E. (2020). Geochemical Assessment of Heavy Metal Impact on Soil around Ewu-Elepe Dumpsite, *Journal of Science* Vol. 22 no. 3

Oviasogie, P. O. and E. Omoruyi (2007). Levels of heavy metals and physicochemical properties of soil in a foam manufacturing Industry. *Journal of Chemical Society of Nigeria* 32 (1): 102-106

Pepper, I. L., Newby, D. T., and Rice, C. W. (2009). Soil: A public Health threat or savior? Critical reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 39.5: 416-432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380701664748>

Piyawat, Wuttichaikitcharoen. and Mukand, S. B. (2014). Principal Component and Multiple Regression Analysis for the Estimate of Suspended Sediment Yield in Ungauged Basins of Northern Thailand. *Water* 2014, 6, 2412-2435; doi: 10.3390/w 6082412.

Raymond, W. A. and Okieimen, F. E. (2011). Heavy metals in contaminated soils, A Review of Sources, Chemistry, Risks and Best Available Strategies for Remediation: Interecher, A. C. Methods of multivariate analysis (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 2002)

Scull, P., and Okin, G. S. (2007). Sampling challenges posed by continental scale soil landscape modelling. *Sci. Total Environ* 372, 645-656.

Shiguo, Xu., Yixiao, Cui., Chuanxi, Yang., Shujing, Wei., Wenping, Dong., Lihui, Huang., Changqing, Liu., Zongming, Ren. and Weiliang, Wang. (2021). The fuzzy comprehensive evaluation (FCE) and the Principal Components Analysis (PCA) model simulation and its applications in water quality assessment of Nansi Lake Basin, China. *Environ. Eng. Res.* 26(2): 200022.

Singh, K. R., Malik, A., Sinha, S., Singh, V. K. and Murthy, R. C. (2005a). Estimation of source of heavy metal concentration in soils of Gomti River India using Principal Component Analysis. *Water Air Soil Pollution* 166: 321-341.

Tao, Pang., Haitao, Zhang., Liliang, Wen., Jun, Tang., Bing, Zhou., Qianxu, Yang., Yong, Li., Jiajun, Wang., Aiming, Chen. and Zhongda, Zeng. (2021). Quantitative Analysis of a Weak Correlation between Complicated Data on the Basis of Principal Component Analysis. *Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry* Volume Article ID 8874827 12 pages.

Wang, Y.; Cao, D.; Qin, J.; Zhao, S.; Lin, J.; Zhang, X.; Wang, J., and Zhu, M. (2023). Deterministic and Probabilistic Health Risk Assessment of Toxic Metals in the Daily Diets of Residents in Industrial Regions of Northern Ningxia, China. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 1–15. [CrossRef]

Wei Zhiyuan, Wang, Dengfeng., Zhou, Hoiping. and Qi, Zhiping. (2011). Assessment of soil heavy metal pollution with Principal Component analysis and Geoaccumulation index. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 10: 1946-1952. [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Wei R., Chen C., Kou M., Liu Z., Wang Z., Cai J., and Tan W. (2023). Heavy Metal Concentrations in rice that meet safety standards can still pose a risk to human health. *Communications earth & environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00723-7>

World Health Organization (2018). Heavy metals and its impact; [www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheet/detail](http://www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheet/detail).

Yousof, H., Altun, M., Ramires, T. G., Alizadeh, M., and Rasekhi, M. (2018). A new family of distributions with properties, regression models and applications, *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems* 21.1: 163-188

Zhang, M. K., Liu, Z. Y., and Wang, H. (2010). Use of single extraction methods to predict bioavailability of heavy metals to polluted soils to rice. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis* vol 41, no. 7 pp 820-831