

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_122677
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution of institutional support for agricultural entrepreneurship in Benin
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is important however, the need to provide substantial data to prove the evolution of the policies. The reasons why the need to install laws.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Totally recast the Abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript should follow the Journal's format by integrating the theoretical and analytical framework with the Introduction etc.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The evolution of the policies should have a direct effect by presenting quantifiable results on how many (entrepreneurs) benefitted by these laws. - This manuscript does not provide science and technical evidence to justify the use or implementation of the laws effectiveness. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Reduce References	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Results and Discussion should be proven with quantifiable data gathered by the Author	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Myer Guerrero Mula
Department, University & Country	Philippines