

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_123534
Title of the Manuscript:	Revolutionizing Food Safety and Crop Production: Harnessing Novel Biosensors
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A suitable text that comprehensively examined various aspects of a topic	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it describe the contents	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it could be better	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The continuity and coherence of the text encouraged me to continue reading. Also, the examples given were appropriate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reduce the number of old references	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some sentences and phrases need to rewrite	
Optional/General comments	Generally the content of paper is suitable but presence of pictures are recommended to improve the comprehension	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	FatemehSadat Mir Mohammad Makki
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran