

Constraints Perceived by Farmers towards Climate Change in Bundelkhand Region

Abstract

Aim: To study the various constraints perceived by farmers in the study area.

Study Design: Analytical and descriptive research designs were applied to this research.

Place & Duration of study: The study was conducted in Bundelkhand region in 2023, which is located in the north-central part of India, between the Indo-Gangetic plain's north-south boundary and the Vindhyan Ranges. It consists of 13 districts which lie between 23°8'to 26°30'N latitude and 78°11' to 81°30'E longitude that are divided by the states of Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh.

Methodology: A simple random sampling technique with equal allocation was employed in the selection of respondents. Based on the problem confrontation index (PCI), the constraints were categorized.

Result: Farmers face several challenges in adopting climate-smart agricultural practices, which they rank by severity. Based on the problem confrontation index constraints were categorized into several ranks such as high cost of farm inputs, inadequate farm labour, lack of training and exposure visits to climate change and so forth the other constraints too.

Keywords: Climate change, constraints, adaptation practices, climate-smart agriculture, problem confrontation index

Introduction

Greenhouse gas emissions from various farming practices contribute to climate change in agriculture, while temperature increases, decreased precipitation, and increased rainfall variability lower crop yields and jeopardize food security in low-income and agriculturally based economies (Obi et al., 2013). Agriculture is one of the industry's most vulnerable to the negative effects of climate change. Agricultural productivity is directly impacted by climate change. Put differently, agriculture is climate change-sensitive by nature (Edame et al., 2011; Banna et al., 2016). It's a current problem that impacts every aspect of the global economy. Developing nations like India are at risk from the disastrous consequences of climate change. Agriculture and climate change are highly interdependent. The economic, social and

environmental pillars of sustainable development must be integrated to meet the needs of the present without compromising the standard of living for future generations to address the challenges facing the agricultural sector today (Fischer et al., 2002). Climate change has the potential to significantly lower agricultural productivity, which would impact rural poverty and per capita income (Esham and Garforth, 2013).

However, there are barriers to climate change adaptation because of a lack of capital, inadequate technological know-how, institutional capacity and a lack of awareness of the challenges surrounding climate change (IPCC, 2007; Jones and Boyd, 2011; Gifford et al., 2011).

Previous studies have also confirmed that the majority of the land used for agriculture was already under cultivation ten years ago and that agriculture is spreading into regions with less precipitation, highlighting the necessity of implementing improved soil conservation techniques (Maeda et al., 2011; Pellikka et al., 2013; Pellikka et al., 2018).

Adaptive capacity is the ability of the farmer to adjust his farm plans and programmes in the face of emerging risks, constraints and currently available information. The effects of climate change on humans and the environment are so vital that they can hardly be ignored. Bundelkhand region is one of the most vulnerable continents to environmental and climate change because of multiple stress and low adaptive capacity.

Climate change has affected human and natural systems on every continent, albeit the evidence for natural systems is stronger (IPCC, 2014).

Unpredictable weather was the study's biggest obstacle to climate change adaptation plans. The additional limitations included low soil fertility, inadequate extension personnel, high input costs, land tenure concerns, inadequate government support, and limited access to weather information. Global warming is a phenomenon that causes climate change. Sea levels rose by between 10 to 25 millimeters throughout the 20th century, and estimates indicate that they will continue to rise by anywhere between 20 and 90 centimeters during the 21st century (IPCC, 2013).

Methodology

The present study was conducted in the Bundelkhand region, which is located in the north-central part of India, between the Indo-Gangetic plain's north-south boundary and the Vindhyan

Ranges. It consists of 13 districts which lie between 23°8'to 26°30'N latitude and 78°11' to 81°30'E longitude that are divided by the states of Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh. Two districts will be selected purposively from the Bundelkhand Region, namely Jalaun and Datia. According to Dr. J. S. Samra's report, on drought mitigation techniques for UP and MP Bundelkhand that states that throughout the 18th and 19th centuries, the region faced a catastrophic drought every 16 years, which tripled from 1968 to 1992 (Samra, 2008). Two blocks from each selected district were selected randomly. Therefore, blocks Mahewa and Kadaura from Jalaun district and Bhandar and Datia from Datia district respectively were selected by using a simple random sampling method after the selection of blocks, a block-wise list of villages was prepared from secondary sources. From each selected block, four villages were selected randomly. Thus, a total of sixteen villages were included in this study. From each village, twenty (20) farmers were selected as respondents through simple random techniques. Thus, the total sample size for the study was three hundred twenty (320).

Statistics analysis

Analytical and descriptive research designs were applied to this research. Based on the problem confrontation index (PCI), the constraints were categorized. The formula for PCI calculation, $\{PCI=P_n \times 0 + P_1 \times 1 + P_m \times 2 + P_h \times 3\}$.

Results and Discussion

Farmers face several challenges in adopting climate-smart agricultural practices, which they rank by severity. Table 1 and Fig. 1 present data on the constraints identified through field surveys, including their ranked severity (PCI).

Data presented in the table indicate that the most significant constraint for climate change adaptation is the high cost of farm inputs, with a Problem Confrontation Index (PCI) of 637 and 44.37% of respondents reporting it as a high-frequency issue. The present results are in agreement with Masud et al., (2017) who reported that the most critical impediment was the high cost of farm inputs which had a constraint problem confrontation index (PCI) value of 797. This was followed by inadequate farm labour (PCI 588, 34.06% high constraints) and a lack of training and exposure visits (PCI 583, 37.18% high constraints). Other notable constraints include poor availability of drought and heat-tolerant crop varieties (PCI 555, 18.75% high constraints) and ineffective crop insurance policies (PCI 539, 25.00% high constraints). Constraints such as the lack of awareness about climate resilience (PCI 544, 19.68% high constraints) and unpredictable weather (PCI 498, 17.50% high constraints) were

also significant. Conversely, the lack of technical advisory services at the local level (PCI 449, 17.18% high constraints) and lack of awareness about long-term climate change (PCI 465, 18.43% high constraints) were among the least perceived constraints. The present findings align with Quayum and Ali (2012) and Kabir et al., (2020) who reported that a lack of knowledge can influence negatively adaptation strategies toward climate change. Uddin et al., (2014) and Billah et al., (2015) reported that a lack of water, shortage of land unpredicted weather, lack of credit money and lack of market access are the major problems with a growing population of cultivable land used as non-farm activities such as housing, construction facilities and other industrial purpose etc. Rohit et al., (2023) reported that lack of awareness about climate-smart agricultural technologies carries a major challenge apparent by farmers in the study area.

Table 1. Constraints perceived by respondents during adaptability towards climate change.

n= 320

Sl. No.	Statement	Degree of Constraints						
			NP	LP	MP	HP	PCI	Rank
1.	Lack of awareness about long-term climate change.	F	89	56	116	59	465	XIV
		%	27.82	17.50	36.25	18.43		
2.	Lack of awareness about climate resilience.	F	45	69	143	63	544	V
		%	14.08	21.56	44.68	19.68		
3.	Lack of timely information related to climate-resilient technologies.	F	57	49	149	65	542	VI
		%	17.82	15.31	46.56	20.31		
4.	Poor availability and accessibility of short-	F	52	41	167	60	555	IV

	duration drought and heat-tolerant crop varieties.	%	16.26	12.81	52.18	18.75		
5.	Lack of crop demonstration and trials on farmer's fields concerned with climate change.	F	53	60	149	58	532	VIII
		%	16.57	18.75	46.56	18.12		
6.	Unpredictable weather.	F	68	62	134	56	498	XII
		%	21.25	19.38	41.87	17.50		
7.	Difficult to work in the field due to the severe temperature.	F	65	48	145	62	524	X
		%	20.31	15.00	45.31	19.38		
8.	Decrease of groundwater level.	F	73	62	87	98	530	IX
		%	22.81	19.37	27.18	30.62		
9.	Inadequate farm labour.	F	48	65	98	109	588	II
		%	15.00	20.32	30.62	34.06		
10.	Lack of storage facilities nearby villages.	F	75	54	128	63	499	XI
		%	23.45	16.87	40.00	19.68		
11.	Lack of knowledge regarding appropriate adaptation measures.	F	84	53	117	66	485	XIII
		%	26.25	16.56	36.56	20.63		
12.	Ineffective crop insurance policies.	F	62	57	121	80	539	VII
		%	19.37	17.81	37.82	25.00		
13.	Lack of training and exposure visits related to climate change.	F	60	56	85	119	583	III
		%	18.75	17.50	26.57	37.18		
14.		F	78	90	97	55	449	XV

	Lack of technical advisory services at the local level.	%	24.37	28.12	30.33	17.18		
15.	High cost of farm inputs.	F	55	35	88	142	637	I
		%	17.18	10.95	27.50	44.37		

Note: {NP (Pn), LP (Pl), MP (Pm), HP (Ph)}

(NP=Not- perceived, LP= Low perceived, MP=Medium perceived and HP= High perceived)




Fig. 1 Constraints faced by farmers

Conclusion

The study found that farmers in the Bundelkhand region were having difficulty adapting to climate change. Based on the study's findings, it was determined that farmers are dealing with several obstacles in their attempts to adapt to climate change, the main one being the high cost of agricultural inputs. The study's conclusions led to the recommendation that the agricultural development program raise awareness and spread tried-and-true methods to increase farmers' capacity to adapt to climate change. The need for appropriate and reasonably priced technologies and inputs is still a major concern. Farmers also face serious problems from shifting weather patterns and rising climate variability. It will take a combination of climate information services, efficient water management, and adaptable agricultural varieties to adapt to these changes. Therefore, different development organizations should encourage farming communities to implement long-term mitigation strategies for climate hazards and should offer sufficient technical support and extension services in addition to training, education, and opportunities for earning revenue.

References

- Banna H, Afroz R, Masud M M, Rana M S, Koh E H, Ahmad R. Financing an efficient adaptation programme to climate change: A contingent valuation method tested in Malaysia. *Cahiers Agricultures*. 2016;25(2).
- Billah M M, Sarker M A, Miah M A M, Kabir K H. Adaptation of farming practices by the smallholder farmers in response to climate change. *Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*. 2015;7(2):33-40.
- Edame G E, Ekpenyong A B, Fonta W M, Duru E. Climate change, food security and agricultural productivity in Africa: issues and policy directions. *International journal of humanities and social science*. 2011;1(21):205-223.
- Esham M, Garforth C. Agricultural adaptation to climate change: insights from a farming community in Sri Lanka. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*. 2013;18(5):535-549.
- Fischer G, Shah MM, van Velthuisen HT. *Climate Change and Agricultural Vulnerability*. IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria. 2002.
- Gifford R, Kormos C, McIntyre A. Behavioral dimensions of climate change: drivers, responses, barriers, and interventions. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews. Climate Change*. 2011;2(6): 801-827.
- Ifeanyi-Obi C C, Issa F O. Barriers faced by cassava farmers in adapting to climate change in Oron agricultural zone of Akwa Ibom State. *IOSR. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*. 2013; 4(6):19-26.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report*. Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L.A., (Eds.), Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. 2014;151.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis; Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; 2013.

- IPCC, Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; 2007.
- Jones L, Boyd E. Exploring social barriers to adaptation: Insights from Western Nepal. *Global Environmental Change*. 2011;21(4):1262-1274.
- Kabir M H, Azad J, Islam N. Exploring the determinants and constraints of smallholder vegetable farmers' adaptation capacity to climate change: A case of Bogura District, Bangladesh. *Journal of Agricultural and Crop Research*. 2020;8(9):176-186.
- Maeda E E, Pellikka PKE, Clark B J F, Siljander M. Prospective changes in irrigation water requirements caused by agricultural expansion and climate changes in the eastern arc mountains of Kenya. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 2011;92: 982–993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.11.005>
- Masud M M, Azam M N, Mohiuddin M, Banna H, Akhtar R, Alam A F, Begum H. Adaptation barriers and strategies towards climate change: Challenges in the agricultural sector. *Journal of cleaner production*. 2017;156: 698-706.
- Pellikka P K, Clark B J, Gosa A G, Himberg N, Hurskainen P, Maeda E, Ombe JM, Omoro LMA, Siljander M. Agricultural expansion and its consequences in the Taita Hills, Kenya. In *Developments in earth surface processes*. Elsevier. 2013;16:165-179.
- Pellikka P, Heikinheimo V, Hietanen J, Schäfer E, Siljander M, Heiskanen J. Impact of land cover change on aboveground carbon stocks in Afromontane landscape in Kenya. *Applied Geography*. 2018; 94: 178–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2018.03.017>
- Quayum MA, Ali AM. Adoption and diffusion of power tiller in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2012;37:307-325.
- Rohit, Singh H C, Verma A K, Patel R R, Prajapati C S. Constraints perceived by the farmers regarding opportunity and challenges of climate-smart agriculture in central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2023; 13(10):4366-4372.
- Samra J S. Report on Drought Mitigation Strategy for Bundelkhand Region of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh. Inter-ministerial Team, New Delhi. 2008.

Uddin M N, Bokelmann W, Entsminger J S. Factors affecting farmers' adaptation strategies to environmental degradation and climate change effects: A farm level study in Bangladesh. *Climate*. 2014;2(4):223-241.

UNDER PEER REVIEW