

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123481
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Potting Media on Sprouting, Survival and Growth Performance of Bougainvillea (Bougainvillea spp.) Cuttings.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study "Effect of Different Potting Media on Sprouting, Survival and Growth Performance of Bougainvillea ( <i>Bougainvillea</i> spp.) Cuttings." is a new and innovative study in improving the provision of knowledge related to the improvement of growth parameters through the addition of different components of the growing medium. The author presented it well and it is highly reliable. However, there are still many edits to meet the publication requirements of the Journal. I have attached the comments at each location in the attached pdf file.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author needs to add the Abstract section according to the attached pdf file.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the author needs to clarify the Material and method section (attached in pdf file).	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific correctness of this manuscript is reliable, as demonstrated by the author's well-connected writing style and scientifically accurate language. The current data are highly reliable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References need to be added because the author is missing some places (attached in pdf file).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Luu Tang Phuc Khang</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chiang Mai University, Thailand</b>