

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_122644
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Seed Quality of Grain Corn Varieties through Accelerated Ageing
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is nicely written, the accelerated ageing test is the physiological seed vigour test which easily defines the storage potential and viability of seed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There should we some data in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, the discussion part is not there, which is very important aspects of any manuscript and also no graphs are there.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript contain the tetrazolium and accelerating ageing these test are seed vigour and viability test which rapidly estimate the liveliness of any particular seed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, these references portion are not sufficient please add new and recent references according to the journal format.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The discussion portion is not there in journal 2. The statistical analysis also is not clear in tables, there is no CD, CV and error is not mentioned. 3. The biochemical parameters should be added than it will be very nice studies which will be directly correlated with the results. 4. Mention the Mean germination time formula and how you calculated the MGT. 5. follow ISTA rules. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Rao
Department, University & Country	Amity University, India