

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_123248 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Community-Based Research is an Equity-informed Community Engagement Approach to Ensure Inclusion in Research with and on the South Asian Diaspora |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study evaluates the effectiveness of Community-Based Research (CBR) in promoting health equity among South Asian participants in Canada. Despite its effectiveness, CBR is underutilized in South Asian health research. Few studies involve South Asian communities as co-researchers, and findings are rarely disseminated. The scoping review encourages researchers to integrate community engagement, emphasize cultural sensitivity, and generate valid, relevant, and generalizable results. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, it's suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the article is comprehensive | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This study emphasises the value of using the Community-Based Research paradigm for conducting health research studies with South Asian individuals. Notably, research-inclusive methods, in which community members serve as research subjects and co-researchers, were the most successful. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | YES | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, it's suitable | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Ritika Rocque |
| Department, University & Country | India |