

### Review Form 3

M

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_123248</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Community-Based Research is an Equity-informed Community Engagement Approach to Ensure Inclusion in Research with and on the South Asian Diaspora</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The document is not SMART, let the author write using the Standard order of writing research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not SMART panel pit  <b>Community-Based Research is an Equity-informed Community Engagement Approach to Ensure Inclusion in Research with and on the South Asian Diaspora NOT SMART title review</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Suggested in the text	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not clear review, please	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Not clear review, please	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not clear review, please	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Major corrections are required to fit the health sciences context	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Correct the entire research work may be required to fit research or scholarly work in health sciences context	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Maurice B. Silali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mount Kigali University, Rwanda</b>