

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_123165</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Knowledge, attitudes and practices of the farmers towards antibiotic usage in layer birds in Haryana, India: A cross-sectional survey</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is sound and meet the topics. Usage of antibiotics is well described and the research shows the level of applications among farmers towards antibiotics. Author need to add more relevant journal pertaining to topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes fine	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written and is fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	Author could add more about technology usage in the farms. This enable to notify the level of application of technology in farms, especially towards layer.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references need to follow alphabetical order, others are fine.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is good and acceptable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	As a whole the authors did a good job in elaborating the topic.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zul Ariff Abdul Latiff
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia