

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_123116
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Fuel Wood Consumption and Implications on the Environment
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A Review on Fuel Wood Consumption and Implications on the Environment," is important for the scientific community as it addresses a critical issue at the intersection of energy use and environmental sustainability. It highlights the widespread reliance on fuelwood, particularly in developing regions, and examines the associated environmental impacts such as deforestation, loss of biodiversity, and increased carbon emissions. I appreciate this manuscript because it raises awareness of the need for sustainable energy alternatives and provides a comprehensive review of the environmental consequences of current practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes its Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add some inferences in abstract – Refer Recently Published articles a) PALANISAMY, E., ARUNKUMAR, G., SHANMUGAMOORTHY, M. and VELUSAMY, S., 2023. SUN FLOWER--CORN STEM SOLID BIOMASS FUEL PHYSICAL PROXIMATE COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND ITS INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS. <i>Journal of the Balkan Tribological Association</i> , 29(6). b) https://doi.org/10.13074/jent.2024.03.241496 c) https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-04543-9	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A Review on Fuel Wood Consumption and Implications on the Environment" appears scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive analysis of existing literature and data on fuelwood consumption and its environmental impacts. The authors systematically review studies from diverse geographic regions, ensuring a well-rounded perspective on the global implications. The methodology used to assess the environmental consequences is clearly outlined, relying on established scientific principles and data sources, which enhances the credibility of the findings. Additionally, the manuscript effectively integrates quantitative data with qualitative insights, making its conclusions both reliable and relevant to the scientific community	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not Sufficient Need to Add more references – Mentioned Above articles should add	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Slightly need English Correction – Acceptable	
Optional/General comments	Not Applicable	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V.Sampathkumar
Department, University & Country	India