

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_120568
Title of the Manuscript:	East Africa's Renewable Energy Diversity Landscape: A case of Kenya's Potential, Progress and Future Prospects
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Manuscript Number:2024_JENRR_120568            Manuscript Title: <b>East Africa's Renewable Energy Diversity Landscape: A case of Kenya's Potential, Progress and Future Prospects</b>            Manuscript Number: Ms_JENRR_120568</p> <p><b>Comments to editor/ authors:</b> Manuscript entitled " <b>East Africa's Renewable Energy Diversity Landscape: A case of Kenya's Potential</b> ", can be considered for publication in <a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>, after a <b>minor revision</b>.</p> <p><b>Comment:</b> The conclusion should contain some quantitative observations and findings. Literature survey is well-embedded into the manuscript. The authors should expand introduction section with an updated state of art works in relevant field.</p> <p><b>Here is a list of my specific comments:</b>            Please revise the references list to add recent references. New suggested references as given below</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Lal M, Gangotri KM. Innovative study in renewable energy source through mixed surfactant system for eco-friendly environment. <i>Environ Sci Pollut Res.</i>,2023;30(44): 98805–98813, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-28246-w">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-28246-w</a></li> <li>2. Lal M, Gangotri KM. Innovation in progressive study for prospective energy source through photo-galvanic-system: D-Xylose+MB+Brij-35+NaLS. <i>Int J Energy Res.</i> 2022; 46(14):19538-19547. Available from: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/er.8525">https://doi.org/10.1002/er.8525</a>.</li> <li>3. In the introduction part, see ref to East Africa's Renewable Energy Diversity Landscape special references to Kenya's Potential.</li> <li>4. How author has decided to work on East Africa's Renewable Energy Diversity Landscape? Is on the basis of charge carried out by the different electrolytes?</li> <li>5. What is role of East Africa's Renewable Energy Diversity Landscape?</li> <li>6. Provide better quality figures.</li> </ol>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		

### Review Form 3

<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>		
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shantanu Mishra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>M.G.S. University, India</b>