

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_123204</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Efficacy of neem oil and selected plant extracts against anthracnose of soybean caused by <i>Colletotrichum truncatum</i> (Schw.) Andrus and Moore</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The efficacy of neem oil and selected plant extracts against anthracnose in soybeans is crucial as it offers a sustainable, eco-friendly alternative to chemical fungicides, helping reduce crop loss caused by <i>Colletotrichum truncatum</i>. This approach promotes healthier agricultural practices while protecting the environment and supporting global food security.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Yes, it's very suitable but needs some improvement I already mentioned in the comments section of the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound due to its clear focus on evaluating the efficacy of neem oil and plant extracts, which are well-recognized for their bioactive properties, against <i>Colletotrichum truncatum</i> in soybean. The study addresses an important agricultural issue, applying established methodologies such as controlled experiments and proper pathogen identification. Additionally, by including multiple plant extracts and comparing them to neem oil, the manuscript offers a comprehensive analysis of alternative treatments, increasing the relevance of its findings. The data-driven approach enhances the reliability of the conclusions drawn.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Yes, it's correct but it's also cited Pathogenicity and Survey of Root Rot Disease of Cotton in Different Villages of Dir Upper and Dir Lower Khyber Pakhtoon Khwa Pakistan</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, But need some improvement I already suggested the correction in the main manuscript please follow at</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It's very interesting work</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shakir Ullah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chinese Academy of Science, China</b>