

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122269
Title of the Manuscript:	"Effect of Biochemical parameters in Traditional varieties of rice against Sitophilus oryzae (L.) infestation"
Type of the Article	Original article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The topic developed in the review is of great importance in human food. -The rice consumption is basic in Asia. - It is important the study of the factors that affect the rice cultivation. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is necessary to incorporate the name of the principal changes of biochemical parameters obtained in the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript is scientifically robust. - The approach of the study is clear. - The study has an important role in the human food consumption. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent, but it is necessary to include references of authors from other countries, most of them are only Asian. This would enrich the study. Also, it is necessary to correct some misspelled words.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the manuscript has several misspelled words in English such as: resilience, india, reserch, SEm, analiser. It is necessary to correct.	
Optional/General comments	The study is important to prevent damage to rice crops and ensure their production.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anabel Jimenez-Anguiano
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Mexico