

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_122600</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>APPLICATION OF ODD PAIRS OF PARTITIONS OF AN EVEN NUMBER OF A NEW FORMULATION IN VALIDATING THE TWIN PRIME CONJECTURE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article.</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article presents an interesting theory about number theory.</p> <p>Number theory is a very interesting field of mathematics. The article presents interesting examples that bring an application of the theory to the scientific community, as can be seen in example 2.</p> <p>The article ends with a well-crafted conclusion, and good references for readers to consult.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The article summary provides a good explanation of what happens in theory.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article presents a broad and general introduction to the theory.</p> <p>It has well-explanatory preliminaries, and a methodology of results that is well suited to the purpose in question.</p> <p>The applications through examples provide reliability for the theory in question.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>The references are well suited to the size of the article.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>In my opinion, the article can be published, because it presents a consistent mathematical theory.</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carlos Henrique Tognon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of São Paulo, Brazil</b>