

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123261
Title of the Manuscript:	A detailed survey to assess guava wilt incidence in Prayagraj and Kaushambi district
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author(s) had taken the guava wilt incidence in the Prayagraj and Kaushambi district of Uttar Pradesh and found to have highest wilt incidence in Allahabad Safeda and Allahabad Surkha. Since the farmers were not aware of the cultivation. Lack of training was observed in the farmers and effective implementation of the best practices will have an impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Importance and significant role of those in respect to Morphological and other microorganisms need to be included in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Results can be separated by sub headings for each area wilt incidence or with respect to plant variety.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Inference for the microorganisms plated and the medium used for growing can be explained. How the staining has been made, like method of staining can be incorporated? All plates must be mentioned with the use of microscope used with magnification power.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Alignment has to be maintained as per the journal specifications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Madhusudhanan
Department, University & Country	Anna University, India