

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123167
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phytoextracts on Alternaria blight disease (<i>Alternaria brassicae</i> (Berk.) Sacc.) of mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research focused on eco-friendly management approaches The resources used for management are relatively cheap The resources are easily available for pest management and non toxic.	Corrected where ever necessary
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Management of Alternaria blight disease (<i>Alternaria brassicae</i> (Berk.) Sacc.) in mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) using phytoextracts.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its apt	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Materials and methods are correct Statistical design is correct Results are realistic	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is corrected where ever necessary to meet the journal standards	
Optional/General comments	It may be approved for publication based on editors choice.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.Rajanikanth
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India