

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122555
Title of the Manuscript:	Overweight school children in the urban community of Niamey according to their eating habits, level of physical activity and social and economic characteristics
Type of the Article	Descriptive cross-sectional study (article on scientific study)

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Obesity is a significant public health problem that can lead to many health issues and a poor quality of life. This study on obesity can help identify prevalence of obesity in Niamey Urban Community in Africa due to dietary and lifestyle habits. The study is relevant since its results can help public health authorities take a good move towards various health programmes in schools.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article is much suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is much comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of manuscript are very appropriate. Suggestions: The operational definition of key terms could have been included in the study	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The method used in this study is appropriate with anthropometric parameters and questionnaire. The conclusions are supported by valid data. In-text references are used and sufficient number of references are given at the end. The language used in this study is formal and clarity and precision are maintained. The study ended with logical conclusions too.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and included latest studies in 2023.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in this study is good.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The organization of the study is very much attractive. The introduction provides clear background information to the readers. The materials and methods used are much relevant and potential. The result section provides a clear explanation of what is being done by the researcher during the experiment. The discussion session provides us with the chance to interpret the implications of the study. The conclusion of the study once again explains the significance of the study.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Remesh R</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt. Higher Secondary School, Kalanjoor, India</b>