

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_122611
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Haemonchus contortus of Small Ruminants Slaughtered at Talata Mafara Central Abattoir, Talata Mafara Local Government, Zamfara State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors did a great job describing the prevalence of <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> of Small Ruminants Slaughtered at Talata Mafara Central Abattoir, Talata Mafara Local Government of Zamfara State Nigeria. I have a few comments to improve the article:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Ethical consent from the state government should be better proven with a registration number of any other evidence to prove this. 2. The authors should include a figure to describe the lifecycle of <i>Haemonchus contortus</i>. That would be easy to follow for readers. 3. The recommendation section will benefit greatly from a complete revamp. I suggest itemizing actionable points for easy understanding. What veterinary interventions can the government put in place to reduce the prevalence of the pathogen? 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akinsulie Olalekan Chris
Department, University & Country	University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom