

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_123314
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic Pain in a Pediatric Patient: Case Report and Literature Review of chronic pain management and osteomyelitis
Type of the Article	Case report - pediatric orthopedics

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Neglected chronic osteomyelitis complicated by fracture neck femur it is rare case This case need to be published	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Research give complete treatment to this case He did compilation of the surgical debridement of pus and necrotic tissues of osteomyelitis and histopathology and complete course of intravenous antibiotics to improve outcome	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Miss diagnosis of acute osteomyelitis develop to chronic osteomyelitis in uncommon area like nech femur and delay active surgical management was cause of complications in this case is fracture neck femur this sinario is dangerous and cause bad prognosis of primary disease So need to publish this case to improve the practice	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good arrangement of article in goid language explanation	
Optional/General comments	Researcher give good explanation of case report and he suggeste to do early MRI to diagnosis osteomyelitis early and start active early mangment to avoid complecation He did his article in good arrangement and step by step he suggest complete treatment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahamed Haji
Department, University & Country	Saudi Arabia

Review Form 3