

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_123151
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT SYSTEMS ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF FREIGHT FIRMS IN MOMBASA COUNTY, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is very important in the 21 <sup>st</sup> century. The fourth industrial revolution calls for use of technology in business.  The title is ok. Speaks to the contents.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very suitable.  There is need to correct use of tenses.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well summarised. Showed the purpose of the research, Objectives, methodology, findings and recommendations. It's a snapshot of the whole research carried out.  Well summarised.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Correct  Correct. Followed a funnel approach which is recommended.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Inferential statistics was used to present data. Validity and reliability of the data was tested which gives readers confidence in the article.  All relevant tests done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Relevant sources used.  ok	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To attend to a few grammatical errors.  Add a few words as suggested in the topic to show that its an investigation.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Very important publication. Findings very informative.  This article is worth publishing. Those in the procurement profession will benefit a lot from this publication.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marian Tukuta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe</b>