

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_123151
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT SYSTEMS ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF FREIGHT FIRMS IN MOMBASA COUNTY, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important to the scientific community as most organisations are now moving from the manual procurement system to Electronic procurement in the bid to improve transparency, and efficiency as well as to reduce the cost of the procurement process. The manuscript when published will help organisations leverage on the benefit of adopting the electronic procurement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is lengthy and I suggest the authors reduced it. For example statement like "The literature of related studies was reviewed as part of a data collection method for analysis" is not necessary in an abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate are appropriate, however the authors continued some of the discussion at the summary, which is not appropriate. Check paragraph 5 of the Summary.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The normal practice with in-text citation is that if there are three or more authors, only include the first author's last name followed by "et al."</p> <p>However the authors made several mistakes in in-text citations. Kindly check the following instances in the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. (Quesada, González, Mueller, & Mueller, 2010). 2. (Shetty, Raju, Ayedee, Singla, Naik, & Pavithra, 2020) 3. Masudin, Aprilia, Nugraha, & Restuputri, 2021. 4. Cherian, Munuswamy, & Jasim, 2020 <p>Also authors mentioned in the research methodology that Cronbach's Alpha was used to ascertain the reliability of the study's tool without indicating the Cronbach alpha value obtained. Authors should therefore indicate the cronbach alpha value or else delete such statement.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	<p>The following in-text citations were not found at the referenced.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ruzindana & Kalaskar, 2016 2. Asumba 2010, pg. 3 3. Masudin, Aprilia, Nugraha, & Restuputri, 2021 4. Quesada, González, Mueller, & Mueller, 2010. 	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Augustine Anane
Department, University & Country	Sunyani Technical University, Ghana