

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_123125
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensitivity of Nitrofurantoin V/S Fluoroquinolones in E. Coli Isolated from Urinary Tract Infection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Antibiotic resistance is a growing global public health concern which is affecting the health and financial state of the patients and the community. Despite growing awareness among medical professionals there remains some gaps somewhere which needs to be bridged. If prompt actions are not taken it can become the next pandemic. This makes the topic of the paper very significant scientifically. I liked the manuscript because it covers the important concern of antibiotic resistant to common antibiotics in common uropathogens. The finding could guide the clinical community in making simple cost-effective guidelines for their patients.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title in itself is simple yet informative, tells us wat is the issue and what was done.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The structured abstract is sufficient but has some corrections and I am making some comments for those. Please look for them in the attached manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, adequate subsections with titles were noticed.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>No question about scientific correctness of the manuscript or methodology. It is overtly simplified research. What is lacking is number of references. Please add adequate references on the passages I commented/marked. The paper also should discuss in introduction and discussion section about safety profile of nitrofurantoin more elaboratively.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Need to add more references on appropriate passages which I have marked in my comments on the manuscript.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I don't feel that it is my field of expertise to comment on the quality of language as it's not my first language. So far, I did not find sever glitches.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Badar Uddin Umar
Department, University & Country	Central Asian University, Uzbekistan