

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_122896</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of Pharmacist in Cardiovascular disease-related health promotion and in Hypertension and Dyslipidemia Management: a cross-sectional study in Lahore</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Globally, CVDs continue to be the primary cause of morbidity and mortality. Pharmacist are the most accessible health care provider, they can play a substantial role in the care of patients with CVD namely hypertension and dyslipidemia management through educational material, counselling to help patients understand their condition and how to take their medication. They can also offer guidance on lifestyle changes. Thus, the study will be helpful in the prevention and management of CVD for the scientific community.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is adequate.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is adequately addressed except sample size and ethical consideration which is not included in this section. it should be clearly written in the line of methods.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	All the subsections are written properly except in methodology. -Calculated sample size should be written with proper reference It will validate the study. -Additionally, statistical technique should be described if it is used in the study such as if the researcher used proportion test to explain the relationship between variables, so it should be discussed with the dependent and independent variables. -If the questionnaire is self-prepared what was the Cronbach's alpha value through which we can determine the validity and reliability of questionnaire.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	If the above- mentioned changes are made, the manuscript will become scientifically robust and technically sound. Apart from this, On the basis of data, review and statistical test analysis the study is scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Minor changes needed in citation. for example-page no 2 paragraph 1(Phipps & Cronin, 2020)(Virani et al., 2020) It should be written like this: (Phipps & Cronin, 2020; Virani et al., 2020) If possible one or two recent studies should be included in support of this study. -check punctuation marks.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is adequate but quite changes needed. In a study involving 113 community pharmacists, from different pharmacies of Lahore, about 53.1% participants were <b>in the bracket of</b> 26-35 years old and only 2% pharmacist were older than 45 years *highlights should not be presented in this way. This should be written in footnotes of the table like: figure in parentheses represents percentages. Suggestion: Table footnotes should be written.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Roshina Bano</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aligarh Muslim University, India</b>