

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_122896 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Role of Pharmacist in Cardiovascular disease-related health promotion and in Hypertension and Dyslipidemia Management: a cross-sectional study in Lahore |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | HYPERTENSION AND DYSLIPIDEMIA ARE VERY COMMON AMONGST THE POPULATION TODAY. THIS ARTICLE ADDRESSES THE ROLE OF PHARMACISTS IN PROMOTING HEALTH AND IN CONTROL OF THE RISK FACTORS IN THE GENERAL POPULATION OF PAKISTHAN. THE NUMBER OF SUCH STUDIES IS VERY FEW AND EVEN MORE FEWER SPECIFIC TO PAKISTHAN. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | THYE TITLE IS APPROPRIATE AND SUITABLE. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | THE ABSTRACT IS COMPREHENSIVE AND SUMMARIZES THE STUDY IN BRIEF. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | THE SUBSECTIONS ARE APPROPRIATE. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | THE AUTHORS HAVE TAKEN A GOOD EFFORT IN CARRYING OUT THE STUDY.THE ARTICLE ADDRESSES THE PROBLEM OF HYPERTENSION AND DYSLIPIDEMIA AMONG THE GENERAL POPULATION OF PAKISTHAN. THE QUESTIONNAIRE COVERS ALL ASPECTS AND IS APPROPRIATE. THE DISCUSSION IS ALSO APPROPRIATE AND THE RESULTS HAVE BEEN DEBATED WELL. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | THE REFERENCES ARE SUFFICIENT AND RECENT. | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | YES. THE LANGUAGE IS GOOD. | |
| Optional/General comments | IT IS A GOOD ARTICLE AND CAN BE PUBLISHED. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Kanchana. R. |
| Department, University & Country | Dr. Chandramma Dayananda Sagar Institute of Medical Education and Research, Dayananda Sagar University, India |