

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123377
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of preparation techniques and pre-treatments on quality of carrot powder
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript entitled "Effect of preparation techniques and pre-treatments on quality of carrot powder" need significant revision. There are many comments to be addressed before consideration for publication. The I have outline some comments that should be addressed before it publication. Also, check the manuscript source file.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title should be modified 2. The abstract should be re-written 3. Improve the background with more recent citations. Also, the innovation behind this study should be stated in the background session of this study 4. The design of this study is not well-stated 5. What statistical method was used? 6. How was the sensory conducted? How many panelists were used? This is it stated in the M&M 7. There are lots of linguistic problems with this manuscript. Please check the manuscript source file. 8. References should be properly check to meet journal request 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibeogu, Isaiah H
Department, University & Country	Ebonyi State University, Nigeria