

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_123242 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact of host plants on economic characters of eri silkworm (Samia ricini Boisd.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The author(s) narrated the work precisely and the investigation was made in well organized manner. The parameters taken by the author(s) were nicely framed and presented with justifications. It would be better to have some comparative study with different parameters. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Growth, development and Economic characters of the eri silkworm (Samia ricini Boisd.) and its impact on the host plant leaves | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | NA | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Author(s) are advised to stick on to the journals format to maintain the quality policy of the journal. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | As same as mentioned in the MINOR revision column | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Some more research article can be incorporated as references | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Some areas need language improvement (e.g.) In 3.5 Cocoon weight – Need some clarified explanation. In 3.7 Pupal weight – How the weight differs? No weight values were supported for this statement. Can name the different host plants which play an role in weight difference. In 3.8 Shell ratio - borpat showed similar results like castor leaves fed on eri silkworm. The variation in the economic parameters of eri silkworm is highly influenced by the nutritional value of the food plants – this statement don't have any data regarding the nutritive value.. | |
| Optional/General comments | Found spelling mistakes (e.g) In abstract "Plants", "utilization". | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | J. Madhusudhanan |
| Department, University & Country | Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Anna University, India |