

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122623
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of genetic diversity in okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) genotypes with various quantitative traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Genetic diversity in okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) genotypes was assessed with various quantitative traits. Data analysis showed that different clusters were formed by genotypes from the same location, and vice versa. This is implied that choosing parents for crop improvement should be focused more on genetic variety than on the geographic areas' clustering patterns, which demonstrated that surroundings have a significant impact on D² statistics. Clusters I and III had the greatest inter cluster distance and the highest cluster means, indicating that these clusters could be most effectively used in breeding for high producing okra</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article has some shortfalls with the language that needs to be attended to by thoroughly editing the manuscript's language. Please make sure that the manuscript is thoroughly reviewed. Numerous typos and syntax issues are still present.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghassen Abid
Department, University & Country	Centre of Biotechnology of Borj-Cedria, Tunisia