

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_122248</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Processing and health benefits of underutilized foxtail and barnyard millet for development of functional bakery products</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript as it is telling importance of millets in baking sector or bakery items.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Utilization and health benefits of underutilized foxtail and barnyard millet for development of functional bakery products	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is inappropriate. Author has not mentioned about millets anywhere in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The idea behind the manuscript is scientific but written inappropriately. Introduction is not written properly, sub sections are not divided properly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are very old. Need to add new references	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript has not been written properly. Change the title, write abstract and introduction properly.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ayushi Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>

---