

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_123024
Title of the Manuscript:	A CRITICAL STUDY ON THE ADAPTATION OF WOMEN FARMERS TO CLIMATE CHANGE IN RICE CROP IN KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments		Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article provides a thorough analysis of the socio-economic profiles of women farmers, by highlighting the factors such as age, education, farming experience, and income levels. This inclusive approach will help the reader to understand the context in which these farmers are been operated.</p> <p>This article also, identifies various adaptation strategies that women farmers are being employed, such as in changing planting dates and been adopted to drought-tolerant varieties. This information is valuable for further development in studying the targeted intervention.</p> <p>Moreover, the article emphasizes the role of gender in agricultural practices and climate change adaptation, especially acknowledging the women's contributions and the need for gender-sensitive policies in agriculture is appreciable.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, Relevant Topic</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract highlight the article by focusing on the key-words as well based on the objectives of the study.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The methodology and methods used in the article are appropriate for the study's objectives. It focus on the existing conditions and behaviours among women farmers in response to climate change.</p> <p>The article effectively points the gender-based challenges and their contributions especially women in agriculture field, to understand the broader implications of climate change on food security.</p> <p>The research findings are encouraging for appointing the female officers to conduct more target training programs for women farmers to enhance their adaptation strategies.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References cited are relevant and relatively recent. But few references about adaptation measures in the context of women farmers, about barriers and challenges faced by women farmers in adapting strategies could be more comprehensive literature study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author clearly articulates with conventional academic structure and terminology by using appropriate research topic which is essential for scholarly work. Only the transition between sections seems little abrupt, makes to read little difficult. If the author explained some vocabulary it would be more accessible for the readers. Overall it sounds good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the article successfully highlights the adaptation of women farmers to climate change in Karnataka is approachable, it could be strengthened by addressing the limitations mentioned above. A more detailed exploration of broader implications would enhance its contribution to the field of agricultural adaptation research.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Sounderaraj Singh
Department, University & Country	Gurukul Lutheran Theological College and Research Institute, India