

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_122154</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERCEPTION OF THE COTTON GROWERS TOWARDS CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND CORRELATION WITH THEIR PROFILE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Even though the title is interesting, the paper has some shortcomings that need to be addressed</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Please rewrite the abstract by incorporating the method of data collection, sampling technique, method of analysis, the result and implication of the research in short and precises manner.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The introduction is very shallow, it does not reflect the overall linkage between cotton growers, farmers perception and climate variability,</li> <li>✓ Please incorporate a clear statement of the problem or the research gap addressed in this study,</li> <li>✓ Put the source (reference) for any information written in the introduction,</li> <li>✓ The reference is too old please use uptodate references.</li> </ul> <p>Overall, the introduction needs major modification by incorporate this and other relevant information regarding the title.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Methods are not elaborated in terms of questions addressed, number of persons engaged, limitations encountered; and analysis done</li> <li>✓ Write reasonable sampling technique that you used to select the study district, sample village and sample farmers,</li> </ul> <p>Write the method of data collection and method of analysis in short and precises way,</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>=</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ What is the dependent variable of the study, is it one or two? "<u>perception</u>" and "<u>adaptability</u>" of cotton growers to climate variability. Make it clear.</li> <li>✓ Please note that I am not a statistical expert and cannot evaluate the rigor of your analysis. But from my point of view, it better to apply other econometric models that are suitable for analysis rather than correlation coefficient analysis.</li> <li>✓ Discussion part: Please re-write the results and discussion part. The results are not explained</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

	<p>well and the discussion is totally missed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ The discussion in comparison with previous finding is not written. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Conclusion is poorly written, please re-write it concisely.</li> </ul> </li> <li>✓ A conclusion is not merely a summary of the result of the study, but a synthesis of key points derived from the findings of your study</li> <li>✓ Recommendation/ Implications for research is totally missed. Please rewrite it.</li> </ul> <p>Explain new areas for future research.</p>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ References such as “Maddison (2006), Kerlinger (1973) Diggs, (1991); Semenza <i>et al.</i>, (2008)” is cited in the text but not listed in the reference list.</li> <li>✓ The paper needs a major modification on each of the sections.</li> <li>✓ Your study result is not supported by previous literatures.</li> <li>✓ The paper doesn’t clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership. It needs major modification.</li> <li>✓ It could benefit from editing regarding grammar, punctuation marks, spelling, and when to capitalize the beginnings of words.</li> <li>✓ Your article would benefit from the assistance/review of an English editor.</li> </ul>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Getasew Daru Tariku</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mekdela Amba University, Ethiopia</b>