

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_122154 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PERCEPTION OF THE COTTON GROWERS TOWARDS CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND CORRELATION WITH THEIR PROFILE |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>I like the manuscript because of the title is researchable, scientific and very important if it was done properly. I dislike the paper because the way in which the researcher conducted the research is in improper way. No discussion, no recommendation, no recent reference scientific in appropriate part of the document.</p> | |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>The title is suitable and researchable, but the title is incomplete because it is not indicating the site of the study. The title doesn't answer the question of where the study area was conducted.</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>The abstract part of the manuscript need reshape because it doesn't incorporate some of the key content of abstract. Like purpose of the study, gap filled, appropriate methodology applied, and no recommendation forwarded by the researcher.</p> | |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> | <p>The subsection and the structure need more modification because especially in result and discussion part the number given for each title and sub-title is incorrect. Some of titles need more modification because they are more or less the same.</p> | |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>The title of the research and the interest of the researcher is very important but the way in which the researcher conducted the research is not in using scientific tools and techniques. No software and models used to analyse the data collected</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>The number of reference listed in the document is not sufficient, more reference is needed in order to increase the reliability, validity of the paper. In order to enhance the paper acceptance large and recent authors cited must be incorporated.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language of the manuscript need some improvement, because there are number of problems in usage of tense, punctuation, and the statement usage in which they explain the issue concern of manuscript</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The general comment of the paper is that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Data collected analysed but the tools and techniques used is not incorporated in the manuscript ✓ There is no discussion used in order to check the reality and validity of the result of the finding with other researchers who concerned on similar issue ✓ The number of the reference and authors used in the document is not appropriate and very small ✓ The document need more of modification ✓ No discussion in whole document which is very important | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Belay Daba |
| Department, University & Country | Bule Hora University, Ethiopia |