

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122931
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Nutritional Quality of Meals Served at the Abidjan Penitentiary Center: Implications for Inmate Health
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Even though the number of prisoners increased, the governments did not control it, especially in Africa. The health of the inmates was overlooked, and different stakeholders didn't have strategic plans to address their needs, particularly the nutritional intervention	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes,	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Significant deficits in calories, lipids, potassium, and vitamin B1 were reported, however, the recommendation and implication for policymakers are not specific, it should be focused on significant problems	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because the measurement variables of meal and outcomes(Micro and Macro) were clear, but its measurement should be using different standards tools and the tool must be explained	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Partially yes, specially (Bennett and Coninx, 2005; Ahoua <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Mathenge <i>et al.</i> , 2007) are to old	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is grammer and language problem , it is better to checked and edited .For your information in abstract : This study aims to assess, but it is better to write, This study assessed Again in abstract : The results reveal that two meals are served daily at PPA, in accordance with national regulations, it is better to write following national guidelines	
Optional/General comments	Before discussing the specific findings all major main findings must be stated in the first paragraph, and the main findings (statistically significant problems) must be explained in discussion after comparing with other reports and the difference must be justified. The author tries to conclude and recommend the concluded problem for specific bodies	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should

Review Form 3

		<i>write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mengistu Handiso Nunemo
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia