

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_121424
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the spatial-temporal different characteristics of camping network attention in China
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the rapidly growing camping industry in China, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, by exploring the temporal and spatial differentiation of online attention to camping. The use of comprehensive data sources like the Baidu Index, combined with spatial analysis techniques, offers a robust framework for understanding the dynamics of camping tourism. The study's findings can guide future research and policy-making in sustainable tourism development, making it a significant contribution to the scientific community. The detailed analysis of influencing factors and the potential for developing the camping market further enhance its relevance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript follows a logical flow.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive and systematic approach to addressing the research questions. The authors have used a well-validated methodology, ensuring that the data collection and analysis are conducted with precision. The statistical techniques employed are appropriate for the nature of the data, and the manuscript provides clear justifications for their use, which reinforces the credibility of the results. Furthermore, the manuscript includes a thorough examination of potential confounding factors and biases, demonstrating the authors' awareness of the complexities involved in the study. The discussion section effectively contextualizes the findings within the broader scientific literature, highlighting both the strengths and limitations of the research. This careful consideration of methodology, data integrity, and context makes the manuscript a valuable contribution to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nouayti Nordine
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco