

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_122163
Title of the Manuscript:	Gibberellin, auxin and cytokinin induced changes in vegetative, reproductive and quality attributes of Strawberry (<i>Fragaria xananassa</i>)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript contains valuable results on the crop improvement of strawberry. The results will benefit the farmers to increase their yield. Similarly, the morpho and physico-chemical traits of the strawberry fruits are necessary for the consumers. Thus, the results of the paper is vital	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The effect of select plant growth regulators (Gibberellin, auxin and cytokinin) on the morphological growth and physico-chemical characters of Strawberry (<i>Fragaria xananassa</i>)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The methods in the abstract is too long. The results must be stated briefly yet complete. Add the implication/ importance of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In the methodology part, it should be divided into different subheadings. For the results and discussion parts, please fuse all the morphological observations in one subheading and another subheading for the physico-chemical traits of the fruits	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is significant in the filed of crop production. The experiment was conducted correctly with proper number of samples and experimental units. The morphological and physio-chemical traits were observed comprehensively. The results of this study are vital in improving fruit yield of strawberry.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Additional references must be added to support the study	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some paragraphs that needs revisions	
Optional/General comments	Present the table of data in scientifically statistical manners, providing all the superscript which will clearly denote the significance of the data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mary Jhane G. Valentino
Department, University & Country	Central Luzon State University, Philippines