

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_122516
Title of the Manuscript:	Usefulness of Colonoscopy in Paediatric Lower Gastrointestinal Disorders
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic colonoscopy in lower GI diseases in children is an emerging topic . Colonoscopy although an older tool for adults, very few studies are done in children as previously due to non availability of paediatrics gastroenterologists and more complications. Its helpful for planning further prospective studies and RCTs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be more specific. E.g ; COLONOSCOPY AS A DIAGNOSTIC METHOD IN PEDIATRIC LOWER GI DISEASES.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive. Well written. I will suggest to add few demographic data here.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are appropriate, But statistical section, method, tool is not mentioned.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The data collected properly. Method of writing and subsections correct. Number of study subjects adequate. Ethical clearance taken. Consent taken. But statistics is completely forgotten. In discussion there was no comparison done with older studies and references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its fine.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhashree Kar
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Health Sciences, India