

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_123027
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Etymology of Freshwater Fish Fauna of Pakistan
Type of the Article	Review article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is a valuable study on the etymology of aquatic fish species distributed in Pakistan. An important resource has been created that will shed light on scientists working in the field of fish systematics. A detailed and meticulous study has been presented.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No need to any changes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study was carried out using important online references such as Etymonline-online Etymology Dictionary (https://www.etymonline.com) and ETYFish Project (https://etyfish.org/). There is no doubt about the existence of the product of energy consumption, whose output has already been accepted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	As a scientist working in the field of fish systematics, I saw a study that all countries should do for Pakistan. I liked it very much. The MS is very well structured and written. Therefore, I do not have any suggestions for changes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erdogan Cicek
Department, University & Country	Nevsehir Hacı Bektas Veli University, Türkiye