

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_122650
Title of the Manuscript:	Study Bioecological of Banggai Cardinalfish in the Sea Waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Manuscript "Study on the Ecology of Banggai Cardinalfish in the Sea Waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia"</p> <p>The paper is significant for the conservation of the endemic Banggai Cardinalfish in the waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia. This study aims to examine the ecological conditions of Banggai Cardinalfish. . Pterapogon kauderni has been classified as an endangered species at the 17th CITES CoP in 2016, so this is a meaningful paper for the conservation of this economically valuable fish in the coral reef waters of Indonesia. Study the ecological characteristics to maintain the conservation of Pterapogon kauderni in the natural environment, in order to conserve this species for sustainable management, and maintain biodiversity in the sea areas where Banggai Cardinalfish lives</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the manuscript "Study Bioecological of Banggai Cardinalfish in the Sea Waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia"</p> <p>The title is concise, clear, and suitable for the purpose and content of the article,</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The full abstract of the article states the need to conduct research on the ecology of the Banggai Cardinal Fish (pterapogon kauderni), an endemic species found in the Banggai Archipelago, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. Summarize the research methods and results achieved. The abstract is written concisely, clearly and fully with the necessary information of the article.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The article "Study Bioecological of Banggai Cardinalfish in the Sea Waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia" is a scientific article, the sections and subsections are arranged logically, the sections and subsections are all related to the content of the article. The tables are arranged logically.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The article "Study Bioecological of Banggai Cardinalfish in the Sea Waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia" is written in the style of a scientific article. The article has a good overview, the research method is described in detail, using appropriate research methods in ecological research to conserve endemic fish species. The results are presented through tables in a scientific manner, the conclusions are consistent with the research content and the results obtained in the experiment. Use technical terms appropriate to the field of research.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>The article has cited 18 references, the references are relevant to the research content, many are new. The cited documents have been numbered scientifically, easy to follow, however, it is necessary to add the Internet address or DOI to easily check the origin of the cited documents</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article is written in English, using appropriate technical terms. Good quality English.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phạm The Hue
Department, University & Country	Tay Nguyen University, Vietnam