

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_122650
Title of the Manuscript:	Study Bioecological of Banggai Cardinalfish in the Sea Waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, The study is environmental only, so the title should be rephrased as mentioned in the body of the research. "Study the ecology of the Banggai Cardinalfish in the sea waters of Banggai Laut Regency, Indonesia"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO The summary should concisely restate the study's purpose, methods, findings, conclusions, and relevance, accurately summarizing the key points.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The names of the journals should be written in either full or abbreviated form for all references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript has many grammatical errors, incomplete sentences, and inconsistent wording.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The summary should concisely restate the study's purpose, methods, findings, conclusions, and relevance, accurately summarizing the key points. 2.The author/s should have referred to previous studies on this fish species in the introduction. 3.The necessity of conducting a statistical analysis of the study results to analyze and interpret data in various fields, identify statistical differences, and understand the complex relationships and interactions between fish and their environment and the overlap between environmental factors. 4.The author/s should have explained the reason for the high abundance of fish in the third station despite the high salinity value there. Is the presence of fish affected by salinity or not? 5.Conclusions should be rewritten. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laith F. Lazem
Department, University & Country	Open Educational Collage, Iraq